## Report on test step RLC problems - v110

produced on: 14/02/2002 11:06:02

page 1 of 1

| Nr. of<br>error report | Test step name | Version | Problem   | Comment from MCC Task 160  | Done date  |
|------------------------|----------------|---------|---|--|------------|
| 20009                  |                |         |   |  |            |
| pc                     | p_RB_Release   | v1.1.0  | The separate release of RAB RB on line 2 is not needed (?). The RAB will be released automatically when doing RRC connection release on line 4.   | Rejected - Assuming this comment is regarding<br>po_GenericCleanupProcedures. The comment is<br>correct, however the current implementation is<br>more in line with the philosophy of always<br>deconfiguring anything that has been configured. | 07/02/2002 |
| pc                     | o_RB_Release   | v1.1.0  | In constraint cbs_RB_RIs_DCH, which is used to release<br>the RAB, that rb_InformationAffectedList is filled in with<br>info about RB1-4 (SRBs, RRC connection). Why is this<br>necessary? those RBs are not affected by the release of<br>the RAB as I see it. They should remain just the same as<br>before. Also, why is ul_deletedTransChInfoList and<br>dl_deletedTransChInfoList omitted? | Accepted - This constraint will be updated based<br>on an RRC RB release test case once such a<br>test case is available.  | 07/02/2002 |
| ts_                    | _RxUMD_PRBS    | v1.1.0  | At the end of ts_RxUMD_PRBS there is a check if the right PDU is returned or not. If it is not, line 6 will execute. Why having it this way and not just letting the default RLC_Defualt take care of it, at its line 3? Then remove line 6   | Rejected - The extra line is included to<br>distinguish between reception of the correct ASP<br>type, with incorrect data (handled in the test<br>case), and an incorrect ASP (handled in the<br>default) - Comment added to clarify this.       | 16/01/2002 |

Number of problems: 3

Completed problems: 3