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## 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. An abbreviation defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same abbreviation, if any, in TR 21.905 [1].

API Application Programming Interface

AR Augmented Reality

DTLS Datagram Transport Layer Security

FFS For Further Study

FoV Field of View

HTTP Hyper-Text Transfer Protocol

ICE Interactive Connectivity Establishment

IMU Inertial Measurement Unit

MNO Mobile Network Operator

NAT Network Address Translation

OTT Over-The-Top

PSI PDU Set Importance

RGB Red-Green-Blue colour space

RTC Real-Time Communication

RTP Real-time Transport Protocol

RWT Response Wait Time

SCTP Stream Control Transmission Protocol

SRTCP Secure Real-time Transport Control Protocol

SRTP Secure Real-time Transport Protocol

SSE Server-Sent Events

STUN Session Traversal Utilities for NAT

SWAP Simple WebRTC Application Protocol

TLS Transport Layer Security

TURN Traversal Using Relays around NAT

UPF User Plane Function

WebRTC Web Real-Time Communication

XR Extended Reality

\* \* \* \* Next change \* \* \* \*

## 10.3 Dynamic Policy API

### 10.3.1 Introduction

The Dynamic Policy API allows a Dynamic Policy invoker (i.e., the RTC Media Session Handler of the RTC Client or the ICE Function of the RTC AS or the WebRTC Signalling Function of the RTC AS) to request a specific QoS and/or charging policy to be applied to the application flows of an RTC session. The Dynamic Policy API is invoked as a result of SDP negotiation during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

The relevant procedures are specified in clause 5.3.3 of TS 26.510 [3].

The resource structure and the data model are specified in clause 9.3 of TS 26.510 [3].

### 10.3.2 Enabling PDU Set handling in dynamic policies

If specific QoS with PDU Set parameters is desired, and PDU Set marking is not enabled for the selected Policy Template as specified in clause 5.3.3.2 of TS 26.510 [3], the Dynamic Policy invoker, i.e., the RTC Media Session Handler or the RTC AS, shall additionally populate the mediaTransportParameters property of the Application‌Flow‌Description object (see clause 5.5.4.13 of TS 29.571 [36]) as follows when creating or updating a Dynamic Policy Instance based on that Policy Template:

- The transportProto property shall be set to the value SRTP.

- The rtpHeaderExtInfo object (see clause 5.5.4.14 of TS 29.571 [36]) shall be omitted.

- The rtpPayloadInfoList property shall contain a single member populated as follows:

- rtpPayloadTypeList shall be set to the *RTP Payload Type* value(s) to be used by the RTC endpoint (e.g., the RTC Access Function of an RTC Client) for the negotiated SRTP session(s) to be carried by the application flow in question.

- rtpPayloadFormat shall be populated as appropriate in the absence of RTP header extensions.

- When the unmarked-pdu-info attribute (as specified in clause 6.1 of TS 26.522 [37]) is present at the session level with the *unmarked-proto* attribute property set to the value “*ANY”* in the SDP offer/answer, the unmarkedPduInfoList property shall contain only one unmarkedPduInfo member. The properties of the single unmarkedPduInfo member of the unmarkedPduInfoList are negotiated by the RTC Access Function of the RTC Client via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session using the SDP attribute a=unmarked-pdu-info. The properties of the unmarkedPduInfo object (see clause 5.5.4.17 in TS 29.571 [36]) shall be populated as follows:

- unmarkedProtocol shall be set as indicated by the attribute property *unmarked-proto* in the SDP offer/answer.

NOTE 1: In this version of the present document, protocol-level differentiation and *unmarkedProtocol* values other than *ANY* (e.g., *RTP*. *RTCP*, *STUN* etc.) are not supported for N6-unmarked PDUs.

- pduSetImportance shall be set to the desired PSI value for N6-unmarked PDUs on the application flow in question. The setting shall follow the semantics defined for PSI in clause 4.2.4 of TS 26.522 [37], with a value in the range of 1 to 15 (inclusive).

NOTE2: The use at the UPF of the single PSI value applicable to all protocols, as indicated in the *unmarked*‌*Pdu*‌*Info*‌*List* property of the downlink Protocol Description, is not specified in the present document.

NOTE 3: A new unmarkedPduInfoList property corresponding to the presence of unmarked-pdu-infoSDP attributedefinedin clause 6.1 of TS 26.522[37] is expected to be specified in TS 29.571.

If PDU Set marking is required by the selected Policy Template as specified in clause 5.3.3.2 of TS 26.510 [3], the Dynamic Policy invoker, i.e., the RTC Media Session Handler or the RTC AS, shall additionally populate the mediaTransportParameters property of the Application‌Flow‌Description object (see clause 5.5.4.13 of TS 29.571 [36]) as follows when creating or updating a Dynamic Policy Instance based on that Policy Template:

- The transportProto property shall be set to the value SRTP.

- The properties of the rtpHeaderExtInfo object (see clause 5.5.4.14 of TS 29.571 [36]) shall be populated as follows:

- rtpHeaderExtType shall be set to PDU\_SET\_MARKING.

- rtpHeaderExtId shall be set to the value of the *ID* field to be used by the RTC endpoint (e.g., the RTC Access Function of an RTC Client) in the *RTP Header Extension for PDU Set Marking* on the application flow in question, as specified in clause 4.2 of TS 26.522 [37]. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

- longFormat shall be set according to the use of the one- or two-byte *RTP Header Extension for PDU Set Marking*, as specified in clause 4.2.1 of TS 26.522 [37]. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

- pduSetSizeActive shall be set to reflect the presence of the *PDU Set Size* field in the *RTP Header Extension for PDU Set Marking*, as specified in clause 4.2.4 of TS 26.522 [37]. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

- pduSetPduCountActiveshall be set to reflect the presence of the *Number of PDUs in the PDU Set* in the RTP Header Extension for PDU Set Marking, as specified in clause 4.2.4 of TS 26.522 [37]. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

- The rtpPayloadInfoList property shall contain a single member populated as follows:

- rtpPayloadTypeList shall be set to the *RTP Payload Type* value(s) to be used by the RTC endpoint (e.g., the RTC Access Function of an RTC Client) for the negotiated SRTP session(s) to be carried by the application flow in question.

- rtpPayloadFormat shall be omitted because RTP header extensions are present.

- When the unmarked-pdu-info attribute (as specified in clause 6.1 of TS 26.522 [37]) is present at the session level with the *unmarked-proto* attribute property set to the value “*ANY”* in the SDP offer/answer, the unmarkedPduInfoList property shall contain only one unmarkedPduInfo member. The properties of the unmarkedPduInfo members of the unmarkedPduInfoList are negotiated by the RTC Access Function of the RTC Client via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session using the SDP attribute a=unmarked-pdu-info. The properties of the unmarkedPduInfo object (see clause 5.5.4.17 in TS 29.571 [36]) shall be populated as follows:

- unmarkedProtocol shall be set as indicated by the attribute property *unmarked-proto* in the SDP offer/answer*.*

NOTE 4: In this version of the present document, protocol-level differentiation and *unmarkedProtocol* values other than *ANY* (e.g., *RTP*. *RTCP*, *STUN* etc.) are not supported for N6-unmarked PDUs.*.*

- pduSetImportance shall be set to the desired PSI value for N6-unmarked PDUs on the application flow in question. The setting shall follow the semantics defined for PSI in clause 4.2.4 of TS 26.522 [37], with a value in the range of 1 to 15 (inclusive).

NOTE 5: The use at the UPF of the single PSI applicable to all protocols, as comprised in the *unmarked*‌*Pdu*‌*Info*‌*List* property of the DL Protocol Description, is up to SA2 TS 23.501 specification.

NOTE 6: A new unmarkedPduInfoList property corresponding to the presence of unmarked-pdu-infoSDP attributedefined in clause 6.1 of TS 26.522[37] is expected to be specified in TS 29.571.

In all PDUs it contributes at reference point RTC‑4m or RTC‑12 that fall within the scope of the application flow description, an RTC endpoint sender as the RTC Access Function (Media Access Function) or the Media Function of the RTC AS shall use the protocol indicated in transportProto; the sender shall set the SRTP header fields in accordance with rtpPayloadInfoList; and the sender shall include a one- or two- byte (consistent with the signalled length) *RTP Header Extension for PDU Set Marking* in the SRTP header with fields set according to the values declared in the rtpHeaderExtInfo property per above.

### 10.3.3 Enabling dynamically changing traffic characteristics marking in dynamic policies

#### 10.3.3.1 Dynamically changing traffic characteristics marking for data bursts

If any dynamically changing traffic characteristics marking for data bursts is required by the selected Policy Template, as specified in clause 5.3.3.2 of TS 26.510 [3] (i.e., downlinkData‌Burst‌Size‌Marking‌Required is present and set to true, and/or downlinkTime‌To‌Next‌Burst‌Marking‌Required is present and set to true in the policy binding of the Service Access Information), the Dynamic Policy invoker, i.e., the RTC Media Session Handler or the RTC AS, shall additionally populate the media‌Transport‌Parameters property of the Application‌Flow‌Description object (see clause 5.5.4.13 of TS 29.571 [36]) as follows when creating or updating a Dynamic Policy Instance based on that Policy Template:

- The transportProto property shall be set to the value SRTP.

- The properties of the RtpHeaderExtInfo type (see clause 5.5.4.14 of TS 29.571 [36]) as either a rtpHeaderExtInfo object or as an element of the addRtpHeaderExtInfo object (see clause 5.5.4.13 of TS 29.571 [36]) shall be populated as follows:

- rtpHeaderExtType shall be set to DYN\_CHANGING\_TRAFFIC\_CHAR.

- rtpHeaderExtId shall be set to the value of the *ID* field to be used by the Media Function of an RTC AS in the *RTP Header Extension for Dynamically Changing Traffic Characteristics Marking* on the application flow in question, as specified in clause 4.5 of TS 26.522 [37]. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

- longFormat shall be set according to the use of the one- or two-byte *RTP Header Extension for Dynamically Changing Traffic Characteristics Marking*, as specified in clause 4.5.1 of TS 26.522 [37]. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

If any dynamically changing traffic characteristics marking for data bursts is required by the selected Policy Template (see clause 5.2.7.1 of TS 26.510 [3]), in all PDUs it contributes for media delivery at reference point RTC-4m that fall within the scope of the application flow description, the Media Function of the RTC AS shall use the protocol indicated in transportProto and in addition shall behave as follows:

- If data burst size marking is required (i.e., downlink‌Data‌Burst‌Size‌Marking‌Required is present and set to true in the Policy Template instantiated by the Dynamic Policy Instance), the Media Function of the RTC AS shall include in at least one SRTP header of each downlink data burst it transmits a one- or two-byte (consistent with the signalled length) *RTP Header Extension for Dynamically Changing Traffic Characteristics Marking* with fields set according to the values declared in the rtpHeaderExtInfo property per above and a data burst size indication, BSize, per clause 4.5.4 of TS 26.522 [37].

- If time to next burst marking is required (i.e., downlink‌Time‌To‌Next‌Burst‌Marking‌Required is present and set to *t*rue in the Policy Template instantiated by the Dynamic Policy Instance), the Media Function of the RTC AS shall include in at least one SRTP header of each downlink data burst it transmits a one- or two-byte (consistent with the signalled length) *RTP Header Extension for Dynamically Changing Traffic Characteristics Marking* with fields set according to the values declared in the rtpHeaderExtInfo property per above and a time to next burst indication, TTNB, per clause 4.5.4 of TS 26.522 [37].

NOTE 1: The frequency and occurrence of *RTP Header Extension for Dynamically Changing Traffic Characteristics* relative to associated dynamically changing traffic characteristics is left to sender implementation. For more details, see guidelines provided in clause 4.5 of TS 26.522 [37].

NOTE 2: Procedures to configure the required RTC AS behaviour via reference point RTC‑3 are not defined in this version of the present document

#### 10.3.3.2 Dynamically changing traffic characteristics marking for expedited data transfers

If dynamically changing traffic characteristics marking for expedited data transfers is required by the selected Policy Template, as specified in clause 5.3.3.2 of TS 26.510 [3] (i.e., downlink‌Expedited‌Transfer‌Indication‌Marking‌Required is present set to *true* in the policy binding of the Service Access Information), the Media Session Handler shall additionally populate the media‌Transport‌Parameters property of the Application‌Flow‌Description object (see clause 5.5.4.13 of TS 29.571 [36]) as follows when creating or updating a Dynamic Policy Instance based on that Policy Template

- The transportProto property shall be set to the value SRTP.

- The properties of the RtpHeaderExtInfo type (see clause 5.5.4.14 of TS 29.571 [36]) as either a rtpHeaderExtInfo object or as an element of the addRtpHeaderExtInfo object (see clause 5.5.4.13 of TS 29.571 [36]) shall be populated as follows:

- rtpHeaderExtType shall be set to *EXPEDITED\_TRANSFER\_IND*.

- rtpHeaderExtId shall be set to the value of the *ID* field to be used by the Media Function of an RTC AS in the *RTP Header Extension for Expedited Transfer Indication Marking* on the application flow in question, as specified in clause 4.7 of TS 26.522 [37]. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

- longFormat shall be set according to the use of the one- or two-byte *RTP Header Extension for Expedited Transfer Indication Marking*, as specified in clause 4.7.1 of TS 26.522 [37]. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC session.

If dynamically changing traffic characteristics marking for expedited data transfers is required by the selected Policy Template (see clause 5.2.7.1 of TS 26.510 [3]), in all PDUs it contributes for media delivery at reference point RTC-4m that fall within the scope of the application flow description, the Media Function of the RTC AS shall use the protocol indicated in transportProto and shall include in all SRTP headers of downlink packets a one- or two-byte (consistent with the signalled length) *RTP Header Extension for Dynamically Changing Traffic Characteristics Marking* with fields set according to the values declared in the rtpHeaderExtInfo property per above.

NOTE 1: PDUs contributed within the scope of the application flow description at RTC-4m that cannot be marked (e.g., RTCP, STUN, see clause 4.7.6 of TS 26.522 [37]) are not expedited and can be handled by the 5G System on a default QoS flow depending on the User Plane Function configuration, see TS 29.244 [x1].

NOTE 2: Procedures to configure the required RTC AS behaviour via reference point RTC‑3 are not defined in this version of the present document.

### 10.3.4 Enabling multiplexed media flow handling in dynamic policies

If an RTC Session multiplexes multiple media flows into a single RTP Session as described in section 4.4 of RFC 8834 [RFC8834] (because the RTC endpoints involved have successfully negotiated media multiplexing as specified in clause 4.6 of TS 26.522 [37]) and differentiated QoS handling is required for the multiplexed media streams, the Dynamic Policy invoker (i.e., the RTC Media Session Handler or the RTC AS) shall additionally populate the downlink‌Multiplexed‌Media‌Infos and/or uplink‌Multiplexed‌Media‌Infos properties of the Application‌Flow‌Description object (see clause 7.3.3.2 of TS 26.510 [3]) as follows when creating or updating a Dynamic Policy Instance:

- For uplink application flows, including those terminating on the RTC AS, the uplink‌Multiplexed‌Media‌Infos property shall be present and the array shall contain at least one MpxMediaInfoobject for each media stream in the multiplexed media application flow.

- For downlink application flows, including those originating from the RTC AS, the downlink‌Multiplexed‌Media‌Infos property shall be present and the array shall contain at least one MpxMediaInfoobject for each media stream in the multiplexed media application flow.

- For application flows between the RTC Access Function of two Media Clients (i.e., uplink followed by downlink via the UPF at reference point RTC‑12 or via the Media Function of the RTC AS at reference point RTC‑4m, as applicable to the 5G System deployment configuration), the uplink‌Multiplexed‌Media‌Infosand downlink‌Multiplexed‌Media‌Infos arrays shall be populated with identical Mpx‌Media‌Info objects according to the respective specifications above. It is an error for these to be set differently by the Dynamic Policy invoker in the context of an RTC session.

NOTE 1: In this case, uplink‌Multiplexed‌Media‌Infosdescribes the multiplexed media stream transmitted by the sending Media Client in its uplink and downlink‌Multiplexed‌Media‌Infos describes the same multiplexed media stream received by the peer Media Client in its downlink.

- The properties of the MpxMediaInfoobject are in all cases negotiated by the RTC Access Function of the RTC Client or the RTC AS (e.g., using the BUNDLE group attribute) in the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC Session. The properties of each MpxMediaInfo object (see clause 5.6.2.61 of TS 29.514 [29514]) shall be populated to aid traffic identification of each corresponding media stream in the 5G System, based on the RTP packet header values to be used by the sending RTC endpoint (i.e., the RTC Access Function of an RTC Client or the Media Function of the RTC AS) on the media stream in question, as follows:

- ssrcId may be set to the *synchronization source* (SSRC) value (if known) to be used by the sending RTC endpoint.

- payloadType shall be set to the *RTP Payload Type* value(s) to be used by the sending RTC endpoint. The value of this parameter is negotiated via the SDP offer/answer procedure during the WebRTC signalling phase of the RTC Session.

- identificationTag shall be set to the value of the identification tag or media description identifier (MID) to be used by the sending RTC endpoint in the *SDES RTP Header Extension for MID* or the *RTCP MID SDES Item for MID*, as specified in clause 4.6 of TS 26.522 [37]*.*

- rtpSdesHdrExtId shall be present when the sending RTC endpoint includes the *SDES RTP Header Extension for MID* in SRTP packets, and it shall be set to the value of the local identifier or *ID* field to be used by the sending RTC endpoint in the *SDES RTP Header Extension for MID*, as specified in clause 4.6 of TS 26.522 [37].

- rtcpPacketType may be set to the RTCP Packet Type (PT) value to be used by the sending RTC endpoint.

NOTE 2: A combination of SSRC, Payload Type and/or MID values is required for multiplexed media identification.

In all PDUs it contributes at reference point RTC‑4m or RTC‑12 that fall within the scope of the application flow description, the sending RTC endpoint (i.e., the RTC Access Function of an RTC Client or the Media Function of the RTC AS) shall set the SRTP header fields in accordance with the MpxMediaInfoobject for the media stream in question; and it shall include a one- or two- byte *RTP SDES Header Extension for MID* in the SRTP header with MID fields set according to the values declared in the MpxMediaInfoobject per above to indicate the multiplexed media identification information.

NOTE 3: When multiplexed media identification marking is used in this way, multiplexed media traffic identification is performed by the 5G System for differentiated QoS treatment using the *IP Filter Set with (S)RTP Multiplexed Media Identification Information* feature defined in clause 8.2.5 of TS 29.244 [29244], which inspects certain SRTP header fields −specifically the Synchronization Source identifier and the Payload Type(s) – in combination with the media description identifier information present in the relevant MpxMediaInfoobject.

Next change

# B.1 General

The normative code specifying the APIs defined in clauses 6 and 10 of the present document, including JSON Schema representations of HTTP message bodies to be used with these APIs, is published on 3GPP Forge according to the OpenAPI 3.0.0 specification [34]. The YAML files corresponding to this version of the present document shall be published to the following location:

https://forge.3gpp.org/rep/all/5G\_APIs/-/tags/TSG109-Rel19

Informative copies of these YAML files shall be distributed with the present document for the convenience only. Where any discrepancy exisits, the version on 3GPP Forge shall be considered definitive.

End of changes