## 3GPP TSG-SA WG3 Meeting #37 Sophia Antipolis, France, 21–25 February 2005

CR-Form-v7.1						
CHANGE REQUEST						
<b>33</b>	.246 CR	<b>054</b>	rev	<b>2</b>  #	Current version	on: <b>6.1.0</b>
For <u>HELP</u> on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the symbols.  Proposed change affects: UICC apps   ME   X   Radio Access Network   Core Network   X						
Title: # MB	BMS download p	rotection deta	ils			
	MS Drafting grou					
Work item code:	BMS				Date: ⊯	18/02/2005
Deta	one of the following fraction	o a correction in ture), dification of feat fication) of the above ca	ture)		Ph2 ( e) R96 ( R97 ( R98 ( R99 ( Rel-4 ( Rel-5 ( Rel-6 (	Rel-6 he following releases: (GSM Phase 2) (Release 1996) (Release 1997) (Release 1998) (Release 1999) (Release 4) (Release 5) (Release 6) (Release 7)
Reason for change:  #	Align with the OMA where a					se to suggestion from (V2.0)
Summary of change:	The name of MBMSSignature Box is changed because of the generic nature of this feature.  The definition of the special value 1 of the flags field in the CommonHeaders box is unnecessary as the structure of the value of the RightsIssuerURL field is enough information to prevent misunderstanding of a MBMS DCF in a general OMA DRM context, or vice versa.  Deletion of the editor's note in 6.6.3  Addition of note in 6.6.3.2 to clarify specific version of OMA specification to be used.  List of the OMA DCF boxes that the MBMS DCF implementation shall support is added.  The word "content" is replaced with "data" since MBMS by definition is not content protection in the DRM sense.					
Consequences if # not approved:	Incomplete sp	ecification				
Clauses affected: #  Other specs #  affected:  Other comments: #	Y N Other co	ore specificatio ecifications ecifications	ons [	<b>36</b>		

## 6.6.3 Protection of download datacontent

Editor's Note: The details of MBMS download protection are subject to the response from OMA BAC DLDRM.

SA3 has asked OMA BAC DLDRM whether it is possible to include the extensions and deviations needed for using the DCF format for MBMS download protection to OMA DRM v2.0 DCF specification. If the answer is positive, some material in this section will be removed and the OMA specification referenced instead.

## 6.6.3.1 General

Data that belongs to a download MBMS User Service is decrypted as soon as possible by the UE, if the MSK needed to provide the relevant MTK is already available on the UE.

## 6.6.3.2 Usage of OMA DRM DCF

NOTE: If the OMA DRM V2.0 DCF [15] specification is upgraded, these upgrades do not apply for the present document.

When it is required to protect MBMS download contentdata, OMA DRM V2.0 DCF as defined in reference [15] shall be used. In particular, minor version 0x00000003 of OMA DRM V2.0 DCF specifies how DCF is used to protect MBMS download data. MBMS download data are therefore indicated by minor version 0x00000003 in a DCF. MBMS download contents are indicated by the 3GPP MBMS DCF flag in the Common Headers Box of a DCF. OMA DRM Rights Objects are not utilized. Instead, encryption and authentication keys are generated from MTK. For integrity protection, an OMADRM MBMS Signature as specified below is attached inside the optional Mutable DRM information box ('mdri') in the FreeSpaceBox of the DCF.

The <u>MBMSOMADRM</u>Signature Box is an extension to OMA DRM V2.0 DCF for use by MBMS, and is defined as follows:

The range of data for the HMAC calculation shall be according to section 5.3 of reference [15].

The correct MTK for decrypting and verifying the integrity of the download content data is indicated by the key\_id in the RightsIssuerURL field as follows:

```
mbms-key://<key_id>
```

where key\_id is defined as the base64 encoded concatenation (Key Domain ID  $\parallel$  MSK\_ID  $\parallel$  MTK ID).

In case the FDT of the FLUTE protocol needs to be protected, the FDT may also be wrapped in a different DCF. Confidentiality and/or integrity protection of FDT can be provided this way.

The MBMS DCF implementation shall support the following boxes specified in OMA DRM V2.0 DCF [15]:

- Fixed DCF header;
- Mutable DRM information Box;
- OMA DRM Container Box.

Editors' note: The optionality of FDT protection is still under study (i.e. whether it should be mandated).