Agenda Item:	IMS
Source:	Ericsson (Comments by Gemplus, Axalto, OCS)
Title:	Comments on S3-050064 Access Security Requirements
Document for:	Discussion/Decision

1. Introduction

Today, IMS is also going to be deployed on wired environments. These wired environments impose somewhat different requirements to the access security solution than the wireless environments. ETSI TISPAN is one of these parties that are currently specifying how to use IMS with wired access networks. In this document, the term 'wired environment' is meant in a broad sense, e.g. it includes also the internet-based access environments. The purpose of this contribution is to examine some of the requirements that wired access networks pose to the access security solution.

2. Requirements

There are five major differences on the wireless and wired access networks that affect to the access security solution. Current situation in wired access networks is the following:

- Lot of NAT devices are deployed.
- UEs are very different from wireless devices used in RANs, e.g. PCs.
- Fixed UEs seldom contains smart card readers.
- Large number of subscribers can contact P-CSCF directly.
- There is a need to provide same services for both wireless and wired environment.

From these differences we can derive five requirements for the access security solution:

- NAT traversal has to be possible.
- Legacy UEs (including PCs) need to be supported with minimum changes.
- Use of IMS without smart cards should be possible.
- Support for fixed-mobile convergence.
- P-CSCF requires additional protection.

NAT traversal is a very important requirement. As IMS is going to be deployed in networks with NAT devices, a solution for NAT traversal is needed.

There is a clear requirement for supporting already deployed UEs with minimum changes. The most common UE in wired environments is currently a PC, while there of course are also other client devices. Access security solution should accommodate these legacy clients as well as possible.

With a term *fixed-mobile convergence* we mean that eventually the UEs in wireless and wired networks would need to be able to use the same services. The situation where one service network, namely IMS network, can provide services for all different networks and UEs, is desirable for all players in the telecom industry. In fact, this is one of the main reasons why TISPAN is founded in the first place.

• One other important requirement is that legacy UEs on the wired access networks seldom have smart card readers. In order to support these legacy UEs, we need to come up with novel ways to do subscriber authentication and key sharing. On wired environment, the most typical situation is that subscribers can connect P-CSCF more or less directly. Also this matter needs to be tackled on the security specifications.

[Gemplus, Axalto, OCS]: In 3GPP the presence of the smart card in the 3GPP UE is mandatory. The extension of IMS to wired access networks in the scope of 3GPP shall not challenge this 3GPP working assumption. Moreover, the security level of IMS security extensions should be the same that the security level of IMS Rel-6. The current IMS authentication relies on the ISIM (or USIM) application residing on the smart card (tamper resistant device).

So, the use of UEs without smart card shall not be allowed.

Some other requirements have also come up. One of them is a support for seamless session mobility. *Seamless session mobility* means the procedure where UE changes its network layer address and still maintains active sessions. It still is not clear whether this is a requirement or not. It is not, for example, included in NGN R1, but it might become as a requirement in the future for many wired access network types.

One of the organizations standardizing the use of wired access network with IMS is ETSI TISPAN. The work in TISPAN is still in early stages. Nevertheless, some studies regarding the access security solution have already been made. For example, a feasibility study of IPsec and TLS for securing access networks [2] has been done.

3. Suggestions

We propose that the current access security solution needs to be expanded to accommodate these new requirements. Furthermore, this access security solution should be done in 3GPP, since it inherently has a lot of competence on IMS security related issues.

ETSI TISPAN and other parties that are standardizing the use of IMS with wired access network now and in the future will do their own studies on security requirements and solutions. These requirements and solutions should be used as an input for the 3GPP's specification process. The goal would be to find an access security solution that would satisfy all concerned parties. In other words, it would be desirable that only one specification would be produced.

We have attached a R7 CR to 33.203 that proposes some new access security requirements to IMS.

3. References

- [1] S3-040990, "IMS security extensions", Ericsson's contribution, submitted to 3GPP SA3 #36.
- [2] 05TD161, "Feasibility of IPsec and TLS to provide SIP signalling security on the access in NGN/IMS", Ericsson's and Alcatel's contribution, submitted to ETSI TISPAN#05.

3GPP TSG SA WG3 Security – S3#37 21-25 February 2005, Sophia-Antipolis, France

		CHANG	GE REQ	UEST	•		CR-Form-v7.1
æ	33.203	CR <mark>078</mark>	<mark>ж</mark> rev	_	Current vers	sion: 6.5.	0 ^ж
For <u>HELP</u> c	n using this for	m, see bottom of	this page or	look at th	e pop-up text	over the <mark></mark> #	symbols.
Proposed chan	ge affects:	JICC apps <mark>#</mark>	MEX] Radio A	ccess Netwo	rk Core	Network
Title:	業 Access S	ecurity Requirem	ents				
Source:	# Ericsson	(Comments by G	emplus, Axal	to, OCS)			
Work item code	: <mark>Ж IMS</mark>				Date: <mark>೫</mark>	08/02/200	5
Category:	B Use one of F (con A (cor B (add C (fun D (edi Detailed exp be found in	the following categorection) responds to a corre lition of feature), ctional modification torial modification) blanations of the ab 3GPP <u>TR 21.900</u> .	ories: ection in an ear n of feature) pove categories	lier releas	Release: Use <u>one</u> of Ph2 e) R96 R97 R98 R99 Rel-4 Rel-5 Rel-6 Rel-7	Rel-7 the following (GSM Phase (Release 199 (Release 199 (Release 199 (Release 4) (Release 5) (Release 5) (Release 6) (Release 7)	releases: 2) 96) 97) 98) 99)

Reason for change:	Requirements for access security are increasing, because IMS is going to be used also on the wireline side (e.g. from the internet and from the access network on NGN). These new requirements should be documented by 3GPP in order to avoid the birth of many separate specification by different standardization bodies.
Summary of change	P Now requirements for the access accurity colution
Summary of change.	New requirements for the access security solution.
Consequences if	3GPP's access security solution cannot be used with wired access networks.
not approved:	
Clauses affected:	£
•	

Other specs affected:	¥	Y	N	Other core specifications Test specifications O&M Specifications	Ħ	
Other comments:	¥					

att_S3-050095

**** Begin of Change ****

5.5 Fixed-mobile convergence

In order to gain secure access to the IMS from the wired access networks, the IMS access security solution shall accommodate the following:

- NAT traversal: NAT devices are widely deployed.
- Legacy UEs: These are most typically PCs.

The use of UEs, which do not contain smart card: PCs do not have smart cards.

<u>P-CSCF shall be protected in secure manner, when it is used with wired access networks. This is due to a fact that P-CSCF is more vulnerable to external security threats on wired access networks, since subscribers typically have a direct IP connection to it.</u>

**** End of Change ****