

14 - 17 May 2002, Victoria, Canada

CR-Form-v5

CHANGE REQUEST

⌘ **33.203** CR ⌘ rev **-** ⌘ Current version: **5.1.0** ⌘

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.

Proposed change affects: ⌘ (U)SIM ME/UE Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	⌘	Integrity protection indicator	
Source:	⌘	Hutchison 3G UK	
Work item code:	⌘		Date: ⌘ 09/05/02
Category:	⌘	F	Release: ⌘ Rel-5
		Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:	Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:
		F (correction)	2 (GSM Phase 2)
		A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)	R96 (Release 1996)
		B (addition of feature),	R97 (Release 1997)
		C (functional modification of feature)	R98 (Release 1998)
		D (editorial modification)	R99 (Release 1999)
		Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .	REL-4 (Release 4)
			REL-5 (Release 5)

Reason for change:	⌘	The exact use of the integrity protection indicator has not be described yet.
Summary of change:	⌘	Describes the conditions under which the P-CSCF informs the S-CSCF that integrity protection was applied to a REGISTER request
Consequences if not approved:	⌘	The S-CSCF uses the integrity protection indicator to decide whether to challenge a registration or not. Without the text the indicator could be implemented in different ways, which would mean the S-CSCF is not making a consistent choice on whether to authenticate the subscriber or not. This could introduce problem if a message got lost in a previous registration attempt.

Clauses affected:	⌘	6.1.5
Other specs affected:	⌘	<input type="checkbox"/> Other core specifications ⌘ <input type="checkbox"/> Test specifications <input type="checkbox"/> O&M Specifications
Other comments:	⌘	

6.1.5 Integrity protection indicator

In order to decide whether a REGISTER request from the UE needs to be authenticated, the S-CSCF needs to know about the integrity protection applied to the message. The P-CSCF attaches an indication to the REGISTER request to inform the S-CSCF that the message was integrity protected if

- the P-CSCF receives a REGISTER containing an authentication response and the message is protected with the SA created during this authentication procedure ; or
- the P-CSCF receives a REGISTER not containing an authentication response and the message is protected with the SA created by latest successful authentication (from the P-CSCF perspective).

For all other REGISTER requests the P-CSCF attaches an indication that the REGISTER request was not integrity protected or ensures that there is no indication about integrity protection in the message.