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\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Start of the Change \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

###### 4.2.2.2.3.2 Authorization token verification failure handling in different PLMNs

*Requirement Name*: Authorization token verification failure handling in different PLMNs

*Requirement Reference:* TS 33.501 [10], clause 13.4.1.2

*Requirement Description*:

"The NF service producer shall check that the home PLMN ID of audience claim in the access token matches its own PLMN identity."

*Threat References*: TR 33.926 [4], clause 6.3.3.1, Incorrect Verification of Access Tokens

NOTE: The test case below only applies to the NFs which support identifying and understanding the producerPlmnId claim.

*Test Case*:

**Test Name:** TC\_AUTHORIZATION\_TOKEN\_VERIFICATION\_FAILURE\_DIFF\_PLMN

**Purpose:**

Verify that the NF service producer does not grant service access if the verification of authorization token from a NF service consumer in a different PLMN fails.

**Procedure and execution steps:**

**Pre-Conditions:**

- Test environment with a NF service consumer and two SEPPs (one cSEPP, one pSEPP).

- The NF service consumer and SEPPs may be simulated.

- The network product under test has already mutually authenticated with the NF service consumer in a different PLMN via the SEPPs.

- The tester has the NRF’s private key or the shared key.

- The network product under test is preconfigured with the NRF’s public key or the shared key.

- The tester shall have access to the interfaces of the NF service consumer and the network product under test.

**Execution Steps**

The network product under test receives the access token sent from the NF service consumer, verifies the access token in accordance with the execution steps in 4.2.2.2.3.1, with the following additional test cases:

Test Case 1: incorrect PLMN ID of the NF service producer in the access token

1) The test computes an access token correctly, except that the PLMN ID in the producerPlmnId claim of the access token is empty or different from the home PLMN ID of the network product under test, and then includes the access token in the NF Service Request sent from the NF service consumer to the network product under test through the SEPPs.

2) The network product under test receives the access token sent from the NF service consumer through the SEPPs, verifies that the PLMN ID in the producerPlmnId claim of the access token is different from its own home PLMN identity**.**

Test Case 2: absent PLMN ID of the NF service producer in the access token

1) The test computes an access token correctly, except that no producerPlmnId claim is included in the access token, and then includes the access token in the NF Service Request sent from the NF service consumer to the network product under test through the SEPPs.

2) The network product under test receives the access token sent from the NF service consumer through the SEPPs, verifies that the access token is not a token to be used by the NF service consumer in a different PLMN, based on the absence of PLMN ID of the NF service producer in the access token.

**Expected Results:**

For both test cases 1 and 2, the network product under test rejects the NF service consumer’s service request based on Oauth 2.0 error response defined in RFC 6749 [12].

**Expected format of evidence:**

Evidence suitable for the interface, e.g., Screenshot containing the operational results.
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