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\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Start of the changes \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

### E.2.2.X Incorrect UP security policy configuration for 5G LAN service

* *Threat name:* Incorrect UP security policy configuration for 5G LAN service
* *Threat Reference*: Tampering data, Information Disclosure
* *Threat Description*: It is assumed that two UEs are belonging to one 5G LAN group. In case where the UDM is configured to set and provide User Plane Security policy to the SMF, if the UP security policies set for all the UEs belonging to a specific 5G LAN service are not consistent, e.g. the UP security policy1 for the UE1 is set to "required", and the UP security policy2 for the UE2 is set to "not needed", the 5G LAN service data transferred from gNB to UE2 may be removed, tampered or intercepted by the attacker, even if the service data transferred to the UE1 is protected. That means, the 5G LAN service data will be in the risk of being attacked with the lowest security level set in the the UP security policy.
* *Threatened Asset*: User Subscription Data
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