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##### 4.2.2.2.x Authentication for Indirect Communication

###### 4.2.2.2.x.1 Correct handling of client credentials assertion validation failure

*Requirement Name*: Correct handling of client credentials assertion validation failure

*Requirement Reference:* TS 33.501 [10], clause 13.3.8.3

*Requirement Description*:

"The verification of the Client credentials assertion shall be performed by the receiving node, i.e., NRF or NF Service Producer in the following way:

* It validates the signature of the JWS as described in RFC 7515 [45].
* If validates the timestamp (iat) and/or the expiration time (exp) as specified in RFC 7519 [44].

If the receiving node is the NR F, the NRF validates the timestamp (iat) and the expiration time (exp).

If the receiving node is the NF Service Producer, the NF service Producer validates the expiration time and it may validate the timestamp.

* It checks that the audience claim in the the client credentials assertion matches its own type.

It verifies that the NF instance ID in the client credentials assertion matches the NF instance ID in the public key certificate used for signing the assertion".

*Threat References*: TR 33.926 [4], clause 6.3.x.1, Incorrect validation of client credentials assertion

Note: the following test case is conducted only when cient credentials assertion is required to be implemented in the NF under test.

*Test Case*:

**Test Name:** TC\_CLIENT\_CREDENTIALS\_ASSERTION\_VALIDATION

**Purpose:**

Verify that the NF (NRF, NF service producer) does not provide service to a NF service consumer if the validation of client credentials assertion from the NF service consumer fails.

**Procedure and execution steps:**

**Pre-Conditions:**

- Test environment with a consumer NF, which may be simulated.

- The NF under test is preconfigured with the certificate of the consumer NF.

- The NF under test is configured to require assertions for NF consumer authentication for at least one of its services.

- The tester has the private key of the consumer NF.

- The tester has access to the interface between the consumer NF and the NF under test.

**Execution Steps**

Test Case 1: Failed verification of the client credentials assertion integrity

1) The tester computes a client credentials assertion correctly, except that the signature is incorrect, and then includes the client credentials assertion in the service request sent from the consumer NF to the NF under test.

2) The integrity verification of the client credentials assertion by the NF under test fails.

Test Case 2: Incorrect audience claim in the client credentials assertion

1) The tester computes a client credentials assertion correctly, except that the audience claim is incorrect, i.e., the audience claim in the client credentials assertion does not match the type of the NF under test, and then includes the signed client credentials assertion in the service request sent from the consumer NF to the NF under test.

2) The NF under test verifies that the audience claim in the client credentials assertion does not match its type.

Test Case 3: Expired client credentials assertion

1) The tester computes an access token correctly, except that the expiration time (exp) has expired against the current time, and then includes the signed client credentials assertion in the service request sent from the consumer NF to the NF under test.

2) The NF under test verifies that the expiration time in the client credentials assertion has expired against the current time.

**Expected Results:**

For test cases 1~4, the NF under test rejects the consumer NF’s service request and sends back an error message.

Editor's Note: the result needs to be aligned with the relevant error handling description to be added in TS 29.500.

**Expected format of evidence:**

Evidence suitable for the interface, e.g. screenshot containing the operational results.
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