1. **Slice priority opinion collection (please state the opinion clearly by using accept, object, can live with it)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Slice priority | | |
| **Company Name** | **UE implementation**  **(SP-220301)** | **RAN control via RRC release**  **(SP-220319)** | **5GC control via NAS signaling**  **(No solution yet available)** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

1. **Slice Group opinion collection(please state the opinion clearly by using accept, object, can live with it)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Slice group granularity | | |
| **Company Name** | **Per TA granularity to configure the UE (SP-220302/220303)** | **Per PLMN granularity to configure the UE (SP-220317/220318)** | **Both TA and PLMN support(No such solution but we can work on this way If most people can accept)** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

1. **An S-NSSAI can be associated with multiple slice group per granularity (please state the opinion clearly by using accept, object, can live with it)**

NOTE: this aspect is proposed because in RAN2 LS：“A slice is not associated with multiple slice groups for the same purpose within a slice to slice group mapping “granularity”. A slice can be associated at most with one slice group for RACH and with one slice group for reselection, within the same granularity”. While for SA2, we almost get the consensus that an S-NSSAI can be associated with more than two (multiple) slice groups

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Slice group association | |
| **Company Name** | **An S-NSSAI can be associated with none, one or two slice groups** | **An S-NSSAI can be associated with more than two slice groups** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |