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**1. Overall Description:**

SA2 thanks RAN2 for the LS Reply on restricting the rate per UE per network slice (S2-2008501/R2-2011104).

SA2 has noticed that for Solution #22, RAN2 clearly indicates “*In this solution RAN enforces uplink and downlink SMBR of UEs. This is a similar function as UE-AMBR enforcement at slice level.* *With proper configuration (LCG and LCH restrictions), the RAN is able to obtain and control the UL data volume of a slice.*” But there are following sentences “many companies think the solution can be supported without changes to RAN2 specifications, but some companies do not agree, so RAN2 has no consensus on the matter and will continue to discuss.”

Some companies think based on the feedback, RAN is able to obtain and control the UL data volume of a slice. RAN2 just has no consensus on whether to update RAN2 specifications accordingly.

Some other companies think RAN2 is still discussing the feasibility of Solution #22.

For the sake of progress, SA2 would like to further ask RAN2:

Whether the RAN is able to obtain and control the UL data volume of a slice? No matter whether needs to update RAN2 specification.

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN2 group.**

**ACTION:** SA2 asks RAN2 group to

Kindly answer the above question.

**3. Date of Next TSG SA WG2 Meetings:**
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