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\* \* \* \* Start of Changes \* \* \* \*

#### 5.7.1.2a Alternative QoS Profile

The Alternative QoS Profile(s) can be optionally provided for a GBR QoS Flow with Notification control enabled. If the corresponding PCC rule contains the related information (as described in TS 23.503 [45]), the SMF shall provide, in addition to the QoS profile, a prioritized list of Alternative QoS Profile(s) to the NG-RAN. If the SMF provides a new prioritized list of Alternative QoS Profile(s) to the NG-RAN (if the corresponding PCC rule information changes), the NG-RAN shall replace any previously stored list with it.

An Alternative QoS Profile represents a combination of QoS parameters to which the application traffic is able to adaptand has the same format as the QoS profile for that QoS Flow.

When the NG-RAN sends a notification to the SMF that the QoS profile is not fulfilled, the NG-RAN shall, if the currently fulfilled values match an Alternative QoS Profile, include also the reference to the Alternative QoS Profile to indicate the QoS that the NG-RAN currently fulfils (see clause 5.7.2.4).

A NG-RAN supporting the Alternative QoS Profile features, when sending a notification that the "GFBR can no longer be guaranteed" provides an indication that the lowest priority Alternative QoS Profile cannot be supported.
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