

# SA WG2 Meeting #135

## 14 - 18 October, 2019, Split, Croatia

---

S2-1909900

Source: Qualcomm Incorporated

Title: Discussion on 5G\_MBS Objectives A) and B)

Document for: Discussion

---



Agenda Item: 8.7

Work Item / Release: 5G\_MBS / Rel-17



---

# Key Diverging Requirements between Objectives A) and B)

- Key difference 1:
  - Objective B) Requires support of legacy LTE radio, including procedures, TMGI, etc.
  - Objective A) Opportunity for clean slate solution end-to-end, removing “baggage” from 8+ releases ago.
- Key difference 2:
  - Objective B) Focus only on broadcast service only
  - Objective A) Requires efficient support of services with both unicast and broadcast components.
- Key difference 3:
  - Objective B) Service layer for TV and radio broadcast only
  - Objective A) Supports a variety of different services

# Motivation for a new native 5G MBS architecture (Objective A)

## **Key deficiencies of carrying 4G approach into 5G MBS:**

- Complexity of eMBMS which results from the tight integration of radio and service layer.
- Initial tight service/transport design with only video streaming in mind.
- This however implies the need to deploy additional centralized architectural entities (BM-SC) to manage the integration of radio and service layer and the related TMGIs
- Other services with very different requirements (C-V2X, MCPTT, Public Safety, C-IoT group communication, etc) were added, carrying the baggage of original design.

# Towards a clean slate 5G MBS solution

- 5G needs to provide a solution for transporting multiple different services.
  - A common “fit all services” service layer approach, will produce a complex service layer and hence complex overall system.
- For many uses cases, e.g. ethernet broadcast, IP multicast, the 3GPP service layer is not needed
  - Example: multiple devices need to receive multicast data from the same multicast IP address. The multicast address may have been pre-provisioned as part of the related application or may be delivered to the device by other means.
- Efficient unicast/broadcast delivery switching is needed
- Reliable delivery with low latency requirements

## **BOTTOMLINE:**

- On one hand a clean slate end-to-end 5G solution can provide a more efficient MBS flow delivery mechanism, with more efficient unicast/broadcast switching and reliability at lower requirements, Objective A shall not carry the baggage of LTE solution.
- On the other hand, 5G Broadcast TV and Radio services requires to offer broadcast over legacy LTE broadcast solution, where many aspects of LTE solution are unavoidable in RAN and CN.
- The two Objectives should be considered separately.

---

# Thank you!

---

