TSG-SA Working Group 1 (Services) meeting #3   **TSGS1#3(99)233**
Hampton Court, London, 10th-12th May 1999   Agenda: 5.1.5

SMG4/TSG-CN3/TSG-T2

London, 15-19 March 1999   **TSGT2#2(99)248**

Liaison Statement

To: **TSG S1,TSG S2**

Source: **TSG T2**

Title: **Answer to LS TSGS1#1(99)089:**
                Work distribution between TSG S1 and TSG T2

_____

TSG T2 wants to thank TSG S1 for their Liaison statement concerning the split of work between the two groups. It is also T2's intention, that responsibilities should be clarified in order to avoid overlap in the work being performed.

TSG T2 is aware that S1 is the group that creates service requirements (stage 1). According to our understanding S2 will then define the overall service architecture and possibly split the service into building-blocks. The building-blocks concerning the terminal and applications should be handled in T2.
It is T2's current working assumption, that this would include the counterparts to the terminal in the network such as a WAP-gateway, a FAX- or a MExE-server, which could possibly interact with other network entities.
A service development process overview was sketched in the TSG T2#1 meeting in Nice and was presented to TSG T#2 in Fort Lauderdale, Tdoc TP-99003. The LS was also sent to the other TSG:s.

It should be made clear, that T2 does _not_ intend to create new service requirements.
T2 has created a subgroup (SubWorkingGroup 4) to consider Services End-to-End Interworking. This SWG4 originally was to consider the following scenarios:

- Report on Services over IP
- Report on VHE/SAT/MExE scenarios
- Review of end to end services

The present understanding of SWG4's tasks is:

- Concerning _Report on Services over IP:_

SMG4 has been working on a report on fax over IP, which could be considered as an example service over IP. We are aware, that until now no requirements for fax or other services over IP (like multimedia) have yet been formulated, but as a working assumption, we have agreed to develop a report into fax over IP. If additional requirements are foreseen then T2 will consider the technical aspect of the service(s) end-to-end and report on possible technical solutions.

- Concerning *Report on VHE/SAT/MExE scenarios*

It was envisaged, that SWG4 should create a report on technical scenarios for VHE using the toolkits SAT, MexE and CAMEL. However it was felt in the group, that it would be better for S1 to elaborate the required service scenarios before any work is done in T2.. Therefore T2 would like S1 to consider continuing their work on VHE scenarios (as e.g. in the in the - unofficial - 22.77 document). These scenarios could be an input to S2 and could be helpful to any TSG to assess the impact of a service in their specific area.

- Concerning *Review of end to end services.*

The aim of this work is to initiate an analysis of the impact of a service to the terminal. This might result in a technical report. The focus is to ensure - from a terminal point of view - the correct end-to-end functioning of the service. At the moment T2 is not aware of any requirements for end-to-end services that have been agreed by S1.

With the present understanding of T2's tasks T2 does not see any conflict or overlap with the activities of S1 as stated in their terms of reference.

T2 would consider it helpful to have a list of services, that should be supported and which have an impact on service capabilities. This list should preferably be maintained by TSG S1 or S2.

T2 will voluntarily provide TSG S1 and S2 with reports generated in this group.