

# China Telecom Views on SA2 Rel-19 Items

---

SP-231638

Contact: Biao Long

([longbiao@chinatelecom.cn](mailto:longbiao@chinatelecom.cn); [longb@189.cn](mailto:longb@189.cn))

## High priority items (10)

- FS\_MASSS
- FS\_5GSAT\_ARCH\_Ph3
- FS\_5G\_ProSe\_Ph3
- FS\_AIML\_CN
- FS\_ISAC\_ARC
- FS\_XRM Ph2
- FS\_AmbientIoT
- FS\_EnergySys
- FS\_eUI5
- FS\_eEDGE\_5GC\_ph3

## FS\_5GSAT\_ARCH\_Ph3

- We have priorities on WTs in the following orders: WT#1, WT#2 (except for the 5GS scenario), WT#3.
- The current TUs for WT#1 and WT#3 are not enough and should be increased.

## FS\_XRM Ph2

- If Working Agreement SP-231234 can be agreed, WT#1.3 can be removed from Rel-19 SID and TU can be reduced to 13.
- Regarding WT#2.3, QoS flow handing for tethered device should contain **both UL and DL**, otherwise, prefer to remove WT#2.3.

## FS\_EnergySys

- Key issues were approved for three WTs with 15% completion in two meetings.
- Our priority is the exposure part (i.e. WT#1), but it will be nice to keep all WTs.
- As GSMA suggested, we agree and support that we can move some or maybe all normative work of stage2 to CT instead of cutting down any WT for down-scoping.

## FS\_5G\_ProSe\_Ph3

- The current WTs have been already very focused, we can identify key issues and study basic options within existing TUs.
- If necessary, WT#5 is preferred to be removed.
- Multi-hop relay discovery has no RAN dependency. For multi-hop relay communication, we can focus on Layer-3 relay in R19.

## FS\_eUUI5

- We focus more on WT#4 because it is a continuation of the PIN and 5WWC project.
- We are ok with the current version that solutions for Ethernet PDU Session should state whether it applies to randomized MAC addresses case.

## FS\_eEDGE\_5GC\_ph3

- Our priority is WT#1.
- We suggest to include investigating the EAS load in the Rel-19 study.

### FS\_ISAC\_ARC

- We support that gNB based sensing should have higher priority, but the final decision and the sensing modes down-selection depend on RAN input.
- Prefer to have normative work and focus on gNB based sensing, especially monostatic base station based sensing.

### FS\_MASSS

- Prefer to be split into two SIDs, we prioritize the WT-D-X, details of each sub WT needs further discussion.
- TUs for WT-D-X are too low if all scenarios are covered. Better to remove 2VPLMN, PLMN+PNI-NPN scenarios, and increase TU to 6+5.
- TUs for WT-A-X are ok, if the overall TUs are too large, prefer to remove the whole WT. WT-A-1 could be done as TEI-19.

## FS\_AmbientIoT

- We have higher priority on WT#3 and 4 than WT#5 than WT#1.
- We are not explicitly opposed to have normative work on R19, but our focus is on making the study as thorough as possible
- Regarding down-scoping, we can focus on part of the device types and topology, but TR/TS text should not explicitly express “exclude some device types or topology” or write “Only certain device types or topology”.
- The Rel-19 should not completely exclude the down-scoped device type or topology if these device type or topology will probably be considered in the future release.

## FS\_AIML\_CN

- We have priorities on WTs in the following orders: WT#1 (except WT#1.1), WT#2, WT#3.
- We are against WT#1.1, considering the risk of data leakage.
- We are interested in model transfer in WT#1.2 and model management in WT#1.3, and suggest to do alignment with similar topic in SA5.
- Regarding WT#3, we believe that it should not be down-scoped because in the current network, there are many factors causing signal storm and every operator has their own situation, these can be studied in study phase instead of limiting the scope from the beginning.

Thanks!