Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects Meeting #9, Hawaii, USA, 25-28 September 2000 TSGS#9(00) 0406

Source: TSG SA1

Title: CRs to 22.135 on Multicall

Document for: Approval

Agenda Item: 7.1.3

Spec	CR	Rev	Phas e	Cat	Subject	Vers	New Vers	SA1 Doc. No.
22.135	007		R99	F	Removal of the Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall	3.3.0	3.4.0	S1-000656
22.135	008		R99	F	Addition of Ncs_MT limitation to number of MPTY members	3.3.0	3.4.0	S1-000657

3GPP TSG-SA-WG1, Meeting #9 Taastrup, Danmark, 17-21 July 2000

For submission to: SA#9

(at least one should be marked with an X)

change:

Please see embedded help file at the bottom of this CHANGE REQUEST page for instructions on how to fill in this form correctly. Current Version: 3.3.0 22.135 CR 007  $\uparrow$  CR number as allocated by MCC support team GSM (AA.BB) or 3G (AA.BBB) specification number ↑

for approval

list expected approval meeting # here non-strategic use onlv) for information Form: CR cover sheet, version 2 for 3GPP and SMG The latest version of this form is available from: ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/Information/CR-Form-v2.doc ME X (U)SIM UTRAN / Radio X Core Network X Proposed change affects:

Х

Document

Replaces 592

S1-000656

strategic

e.g. for 3GPP use the format TP-99xxx

or for SMG, use the format P-99-xxx

(for SMG

Source: SA1 Date: 21/08/2000 Subject: Removal of the Requirement on Network to Tear Down Calls to Accept EC in Multicall Work item: Multicall F Correction Release: Phase 2 Category: Х Corresponds to a correction in an earlier release Release 96 Α (only one category R Addition of feature Release 97 shall be marked С Functional modification of feature Release 98 with an X) D Editorial modification Release 99 Х Release 00

Reason for In current stage 1 there is a requirement on the network to tear down existing calls in order to accept an emergency call. However, this requirement is wrong for the following reasons:

- This requirement is against the principle that we have agreed and which says: Once the number of bearers is set to 1 then the functionality shall be the same as in the network that does not support Multicall.
- This requirement might be dangerous as the call(s) to be released might be more important, e.g., a call that was initiated for reasons of emergency but set up as a normal call.
- This requirement seem to be unnecessary, since the UE can at any time make sure that a request from the user is accepted when she initiates an emergency call.

We propose to remove this requirement as explained in the reasoning paper. Additionally, in chapter 7.4, Ncs\_MT is missing.

**Consequense if not** Calls that are set up for reasons of emergency might be automatically accepted: released by mistake. The user experience of emergency calls might be different between a) networks supporting Multicall (with max number of bearers set to one) and b) networks that do not support Multicall respectively. **Clauses affected:** 8.4

- Other specs Other 3G core specifications affected: Other GSM core specifications MS test specifications BSS test specifications
- $\rightarrow$  List of CRs: CR 23.135-002 → List of CRs:  $\rightarrow$  List of CRs:

→ List of CRs:

O&M specifications





<----- double-click here for help and instructions on how to create a CR.

## 8.4 Emergency Calls

The network shall handle emergency call at first priority. When a user originates an emergency call, the UMTS network shall behave as follows:

- The UMTS network, which supports Multicall, shall accept the emergency call within the serving network capability regardless of Multicall subscription limitation to the user.
- -The UMTS network, which supports Multicall, shall accept the emergency call after tearing down existing call(s) if necessary.
- The MS shall ensure that an emergency call setup request is acceptable to a serving network which does not support multicall, if necessary by releasing one or more existing call.

3GPP TSG-SA-WG1, Meeting #9 Taastrup, Danmark, 17-21 July 2000

e.g. for 3GPP use the format TP-99xxx or for SMG, use the format P-99-xxx Please see embedded help file at the bottom of this **CHANGE REQUEST** page for instructions on how to fill in this form correctly. Current Version: 3.3.0 22.135 CR 008 GSM (AA.BB) or 3G (AA.BBB) specification number ↑ ↑ CR number as allocated by MCC support team For submission to: SA#9 for approval Х strategic (for SMG list expected approval meeting # here for information use only) non-strategic Form: CR cover sheet, version 2 for 3GPP and SMG The latest version of this form is available from: ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/Information/CR-Form-v2.doc (U)SIM ME UTRAN / Radio Core Network X Proposed change affects: (at least one should be marked with an X) Source: SA1 Date: 21/08/2000 Subject: Addition of Ncs MT limitation to number of MPTY members. Work item: Multicall Correction **Release:** Phase 2 Category: F Х A Corresponds to a correction in an earlier release Release 96 (only one category Addition of feature Release 97 В shall be marked С Functional modification of feature Release 98 with an X) D Editorial modification Release 99 Х Release 00 Reason for Alignment with stage 2. In chapter 7.4, Ncs MT is missing. change: Consequence if not Stage 1 and stage 2 not aligned. accepted: **Clauses affected:** 7.4 Other 3G core specifications Other specs  $\rightarrow$  List of CRs: affected: Other GSM core  $\rightarrow$  List of CRs:

Document

Replaces 592

S1-000657

specifications MS test specifications  $\rightarrow$  List of CRs: BSS test specifications  $\rightarrow$  List of CRs: **O&M** specifications → List of CRs: Other comments:



<----- double-click here for help and instructions on how to create a CR.

## Multi Party (MPTY) 7.4

No Impact. The number of MPTY member may be limited by  $N_{cs}MO_{,N_{cs}}MT$  and  $N_{cs}$ .