3GPP TSG-SA WG3 (Security)

Report to SA Meeting # 4,

Miami, USA

21-23 June 1999

Michael Walker

Chairman 3GPP TSG-SA WG3



Content of Presentation

- Summary of documents tabled by SA3
- Status of deliverables followed by approval of specifications/report
- Summary of security priorities
- Status of algorithm design
- Equipment security decision on way forward
- VHE security



Document List,1

- SP-99nnn Report of SA WG3 meeting, 11-12 May, Bonn *for information*
- SP-99nnn Draft Report of SA WG3 meeting, 16-18
 June, London for information
- SP-99284 Status of SA WG3 deliverables & priorities for information & discussion
- SP-99nnn Criteria for cryptographic algorithm design process - Technical Report for approval



Document List,2

- SP-99nnn Integration requirements *Draft* technical specification for information
- SP-99nnn Cryptographic algorithm requirements Technical specification for approval
- SP-99nnn Lawful interception requirements Technical specification for approval
- SP-99nnn CRs to Security architecture CRs to technical specification (3G TS 33.102) for approval



3GPP security specification	Rapporteur	Milestones	Status
Objectives and principles	Tim W right		1 st release approved by SA # 2
Threats and requirements	Per Christofferson		1 st release approved by SA # 3
Architecture	Bart Vinck and Stefan Puetz		1 st release approved by SA # 3



3GPP security specification	Rapporteur	Milestones	Status
Integration requirements	Colin Blanchard	Draft for information to SA # 4	May release delayed to July
Cryptographic algorithm requirements	Takeshi Chikawaza	For approval at SA # 4	1 st release approved SA3
Criteria for cryptographic algorithm design process	Gert Roelofsen/Rolf Blom	For approval at SA # 4 (Method approved SA # 3)	1 st release approved SA3



3GPP security specification	Rapporteur	Milestones	Status
Lawful interception requirements	Berthold Wilhelm	For approval at SA # 4	1 st release approved by SA 3 (work joint with SMG10 WPD)
Lawful interception architecture and functions	Berthold Wilhelm	Scope by end of June	
Guide to 3G security	Charles Brookson	Scope by end of June	



- CRs to architecture covering following:
 - data integrity of signalling
 - location of ciphering
 - use of authentication data
 - re-synchronisation for AKA
 - sequence number management
 - criteria for replacing authentication
 - network domain security
 - cipher key lifetime



- CRs to architecture (continued):
 - user bdomain security
 - replacement of incorrect diagrams
 - status of annex B
- New milestones leading to final versions of deliverables to be agreed with editors in July
- Approval of documents



- Ciphering mechanism
 - Essential for R99
- Integrity protection mechanism
 - Essential for R99
- Authentication and key agreement mechanism
 - Essential for R99



- Network wide encryption mechanism
 - Appropriate hooks must be provided in R99
- User identity confidentiality
 - Specification of transport mechanism for enhanced confidentiality mechanism essential for R99
- Core network signalling security
 - Although high priority, recognise that integration into signalling specifications may not be achievable in R99



- GSM/UMTS intersystem operation
 - Driven by service requirement. Currently believed to be feasible to specify secure procedures in R99.
- Lawful interception architecture
 - Essential for R99. Can be largely based on GSM/GPRS
- USIM application security
 - Essential for R99. Can just refer to GSM SATK. Enhancements considered in later releases



- Fraud information gathering system
 - Essential for R99. Can just refer to GSM FIGS. Enhancements considered in later releases
- Visibility and configurability
 - Encryption indicator essential for R99
- Mobile Execution Environment
 - Essential for R99. Can just refer to GSM MExE. Enhancements considered in later releases



- Location services
 - Essential for R99 if location services specified for R99. Priority is unclear.
- IP security
 - Priority is unclear. Impact of IP technologies such as Mobile IP not fully understood.
- Terminal security
 - Requirement is unclear see later slide



Status of Algorithm Design

- Process for algorithm design approved at SA # 3 (see next slide)
- 3G PCG informed of process by letter 24 May, and funding (Euro 350,000) requested
- Concern with process paper by MW to go to PCG meeting on 6/7 July, should put minds at rest
- SAGE able to start work in principle in July candidate algorithms already under consideration



Status of Algorithm Specification

- SA3 agreed position for acquiring algorithms:
 - SA3 to generate algorithm requirements
 - Requirements to algorithm design group (e.g. ETSI SAGE)
 - Design or select algorithm, internal evaluation and commission a closed external expert evaluation
 - Publish design for public evaluation possibly running in parallel with implementation phase
- Process for responding to public criticism needed



- It is possible to provide on-air terminal based security features (eg real-time barring of stolen phones, charging dependent on terminal type)
- But these require a secure terminal identification procedure which can be executed on the air:
 - secure storage in the terminal of its identity and secret security associated data
 - a reliable and secure over-the-air protocol to verify the identity of the terminal



- Secure storage must prevent unauthorised change of the terminal identity and unauthorised reading of secret data - the method does not need to be standardised
- Secure over-the-air identification protocols that do not require a network to *own* the terminal can be based on public key cryptography (zero knowledge or digital signatures) - a method would need to be standardised



- The solution will not come for nothing:
 - the identity and associated secret parameters in the terminal will need a level of protection equivalent to that afforded the IMSI and Ki in the GSM SIM
 - the protocol for verifying the terminal identity will be more complex and bandwidth hungry than user authentication - because of public key techniques



- Will manufactures be any better at securing 3G terminal identities than they have been with GSM?
- Should we go a head and standardise a protocol?
- Any such protocol will be a waste of time if manufacturers fail to secure terminal identities - just like EIR checking in GSM is pointless
- If we do not go ahead, we have to acknowledge that terminal off-air identities can not be relied upon



VHE Security

 Meeting to be held at this meeting to determine requirements for VHE security



Meeting Schedule

May 11-12 Bonn

June 17-18 London

August 3-6 Sophia Antipolis (with SMG10)

August 24 Bonn (joint T3 & SA2?)

October 26-27 The Hague

• November 16-19 TBD (with SMG10)

December 7-8 Helsinki

