## S1 CRs PRESENTED FOR APPROVAL AT SA#4 in MIAMI, FLORIDA

ETSI TC SMG#29 TSG S#4 (99) 228

Miami, Florida, USA 21<sup>st</sup> – 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 1999

Agenda Item: 5.1

Source: S1

Title: CR on User control of target network preference for handover affecting 3G 22.129

**Document for:** Approval

SA\_TdocDocSpec\_CR#RVersCNewTopicSP-9922834222.129A0013.0.0B3.1.0HandOverTo ensure the user has control over the potential destination networks used for handover,

for example to limit roaming costs.

## TSG-SA Working Group 1 (Services) meeting #3 Hampton Court, Surrey, UK 10<sup>th</sup>-12<sup>th</sup> May 1999

## *TSGS1#3(99)342* Agenda 6.5.1

	CHANGE F	REQUEST No	o : <u>A(</u>	Please see embedded help file at the bottom of this page for instructions on how to fill in this form correctly.				
Technical Specification / Report UMTS 22.129 Version: 3.0.0								
Submitted to TSG SA		<u>SA#4</u>	for approv	/al	without p	resentation ("non	-strategic")	<u>(</u>
list TSG plenary meeting no. here ↑ for information with presentation  PT SMG CR cover form is available from: http://docbox.etsi.org/tech-org/smg/Docur							- , <u>-</u>	orf 28 1 zin
Proposed change affects: (at least one should be marked with an X)  USIM TE Network								
Work item:	GSM UMTS Handover							
Source:	TSG-S1 <u>Date:</u> <u>May 12, 1999</u>							9
Subject:	User control of target network preference for handover							
Category:  (one category and one release only shall be marked with an X)	F Correction A Corresponds to a correction in an earlier release B Addition of feature C Functional modification of feature D Editorial modification  Release: Release: Release: Release: UMTS							X
Reason for change:	To ensure the user has control over the potential destination networks used for handover, for example to limit roaming costs.							
Clauses affected:								
Other specs affected:	Other releases of same spec Other core specifications MS test specifications  BSS test specifications O&M specifications  O&M specifications  O S List of CRs:  → List of CRs:							
Other comments:								
help.doc								

<----- double-click here for help and instructions on how to create a CR.

## 4.2.2 Inter Operator Handover Issues

Handovers between GSM and UMTS networks operated by different operators should remain an optional feature to implement. It is envisaged that handover would take place due to changing radio conditions caused eg by movement of the terminal causing it toleave the coverage area of a network.

The following networks may be involved with an inter-network handover procedure. These concepts are illustrated in Annex A:

- the user's *home network*, i.e. the operator where the user's subscription may be found;
- the user's *visited network* where the subscriber user is currently registered, i.e. the network where the subscriber user has performed the last successful update location procedure. As long as the subscriber user is roaming roams within the home network, home and visited network are identical.
- the user's *serving network* covering the cell that serves the subscriber. After successful completion of the update location update procedure, the serving network is identical with the visited network. After an inter-network handover, the visited network is different from the serving network until a location update procedure has been successfully completed (excepted the case that the subscriber returns into the visited network).
- the *target network* covering candidate target cell(s) for inter-network handover. The target network has overlapping radio coverage with the serving network but not necessarily with the visited network.

The minimum requirements for inter network HO are:

- continuity of an *active call* across the handover procedure, where this would be possible for intra-operator handover;
- charging, billing and accounting for inter-network handover should be according to the principles defined in UMTS 22.15. For R'99 the mechanisms currently used in GSM should be provided as a minimum (charging for handover leg is based on visited network tariff, etc., settlement between operators is based on bulk metering, etc.);
- the ability to check with the home network whether the user is permitted to handover from the visited network to a target network;
- the decision whether the handover request is accepted must be taken by the target network;
- invocation of the handover procedure only occurs if the target network provides the radio channel type required for the respective call;
- the avoidance of "network hopping", i.e. successive handover procedures between neighbouring networks for the same call;
- the possibility of user notification of inter network HO (eg possible tariff change) when it occurs
- The standards shall permit the possibility for the user to prevent inter network HO or for the user to control target network preferences of inter network HO.