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# 1. Introduction

This paper is to trigger the following email discussion of UE capabilities in MBS:

* [AT117-e][044][MBS] UE capabilities (MediaTek)

 Scope: Ph1 Collect comments on the initial CRs in [R2-2202786](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cjohan%5COneDrive%5CDokument%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2_RL2%5CTSGR2_117-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2202786.zip), [R2-2202787](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cjohan%5COneDrive%5CDokument%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2_RL2%5CTSGR2_117-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2202787.zip), as a basis for further updates. Treat [R2-2202269](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cjohan%5COneDrive%5CDokument%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2_RL2%5CTSGR2_117-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2202269.zip), [R2-2202671](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cjohan%5COneDrive%5CDokument%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2_RL2%5CTSGR2_117-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2202671.zip), [R2-2203118](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cjohan%5COneDrive%5CDokument%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2_RL2%5CTSGR2_117-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2203118.zip), [R2-2203120](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cjohan%5COneDrive%5CDokument%5C3GPP%5Ctsg_ran%5CWG2_RL2%5CTSGR2_117-e%5CDocs%5CR2-2203120.zip). Avoid overlap with the other issues discussions. Determine agreeable parts, discussion points etc.

 Intended outcome: Report

 Deadline: W1 Thursday, for online CB W1 Friday.

## 1.1 Contacts

Contact person for each participating company:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| OPPO | Shukun Wang | wangshukun@oppo.com |
| Qualcomm | Prasad | pkadiri@qti.qualcomm.com |
| CATT | Rui Zhou | zhourui@catt.cn |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2. Discussion

## 2.1 Capabilities for HARQ process number of MCCH/MTCH

As discussion in [3], a discussion may be needed to determine whether dedicated broadcast HARQ processes are used for MCCH and MTCH. According to the status of RAN1 discussion on the issue, the network may have no need to manage any specific HARQ process for broadcast transmission.Accordingly, there seems to be no need to define any UE capability for this.

#### Question 1: Do companies agree that no capability is defined for the number of HARQ procress for MBS Broadcast reception?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Yes  |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
| CATT | yes | Agreed with the rapporteur’s summary. As per the current RAN1 discussion on this issue, no UE capability is needed for dedicated HARQ of broadcast reception. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 UE capability for maximum MRB number

In the last RAN2 meeting, the following agreements were reached for MRB number.

* [026] Reuse the current defined max RB (i.e. 16 RB per UE). Additional note shall be added to TS 38.306 to clarify the max RB is a total number for MRBs and DRBs, and the total number of RBs for split-MRB is considered as two.
* [026] An optional UE capability of *maxMRB-Add* for additional MRBs support is adopted for multicast.

In reference document [4], the default number of MRBs is discussed. We need to further discuss about maximum number of Multicast MRBs may be supported as part of total 16 (MRBs + DRBs). Different UE implementations may support different number of Multicast MRBs. For a UE supporting larger number of MRBs, the maximum number of DRBs that can be supported by the UE will be reduced (as the sum is 16). However this may be conditionally mandatory without capability signalling for Multicast MBS-capable UEs.

As proposed in [4], for UE supporting more than 4 MRBs within the current 16 RB limit, actual number of supported MRBs can be indicated by a new optional capability.

Regarding the previous agreement on *maxMRB-Add,* a clarficaiton may be needed to clarify the 16 RB limit can be broken and what is the maximum if the answer is yes. We may assume another 16 RBs for this addition.

#### Question 2a: Do companies agree that the default number of MRBs supported is 4 (within the current MRBs + DRBs = 16 limit), which is conditionally mandatory without capability signalling for Multicast MBS-capable UEs?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Yes with comments | We are not sure whether the type of MRB (i.e. only PTM leg, only PTP leg, both) will impact the total number of MRB and DRB? |
| Qualcomm | Yes | 4 MRBs correspond to each of PTM and PTP corresponds to One leg.Ex : Two MRBs each with PTM + PTP corresponds to 4 count. |
| CATT | No | We prefer not to define a separate UE capability for default number of MRBs supported by UE, and just follow the current definition about the max number of RBs that UE can support, i.e., the total number of MRBs+DRBs supported by UE is up to 16.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

#### Question 2b: Do companies agree that the UE takes a new optional capability to report its supported MRB number if the UE supports more than 4 MRBs within the current 16 RB limit?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Yes  |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes | This is very much needed to enable different UE implementations to implement different number of MRBs and to convey how many minimum DRBs are supported by UE. |
| CATT | No | Same view as Q2a. Since we do not support to define the default number of MRBs by UE as a new capability, this additional indication is also not needed. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

#### Question 2c: Do companies agree that previously agreed capability maxMRB-Add indicates additional number of MRBs supported by the UE beyond the current limit of MRBs + DRBs = 16 and the maximum value for the additional MRBs can be 16?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Not sure  |  |
| Qualcomm  | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes with comments | We suggest to follow the last meeting’s agreement, i.e., maxMRB-Add is only for multicast MRB that can be additionally added when beyond the current limit of MRBs+DRBs=16. For the detailed value of maxMRB-Add, it seems 16 is sufficient since this value only applies to multicast MRB.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 MBS support on MRDC

As proposed in reference document [6], the MRB types of delivery mode 1 in Rel-17 can only include, MCG MRB (i.e. only one PTM leg via MCG), SCG MRB (i.e. only one PTM leg via SCG) and CA split MRB (i.e. one PTP leg and one PTM leg in the same MAC), and the MRB types of delivery mode 2 in Rel-17 can only include MCG MRB (i.e. only one PTM leg via MCG) and SCG MRB (i.e. only one PTM leg via SCG).

The rapporteur is not sure if we can handle this discussion at the last meeting on MR-DC, then at phase-one discussion of this email thread, the intention is just to collect the opinion from the companies on the MBS support on MRDC.

#### Question 3: Do companies agree to discuss the MBS support on MRDC at Rel-17 and if yes what is the intended spec impact?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Yes  | Only MN terminated MCG kind of bearer is configurd for MRB if MR-DC is configured.The 37.340 should be changed. |
| Qualcomm | No |  |
| CATT | No | Considering the limited TUs and MSB WID, MBS reception on SCG should not be considered in R17 scope. Moreover, the R17 MBS WID also indicates that MBS should be supported in NR-SA, and it is also not prevented for the scenarios in which the MN is an NR node (i.e. NE-DC and NR-DC).  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 MBS reception on Scell and non-serving cell

Mulitple reference documents discuss the design details for UE capability of receiving broadcast service on Scell and non-serving cell when the UE is in RRC connected state. However the corresponding discussion was managed by R2-2203343 (Report of: [Pre117-e][001][MBS] CP open Issues Input CP). We can discuss the issues when there is conclusion on the issue during the online discussion of R2-2203343.

No question is casted for this section at phase-one discussion of this email thread.

## 2.5 Other issues

#### Question 4: Companies are invited to comment if there are any other issues for MBS UE capabilities that needs to be discussed during this email discussion.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Company** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3. Final Summary and Proposal

Based on the email discussion, the following proposals are made for mbs UE capbility, with the easy proposals highlighted in green for online session:

TBD
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