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# 1 Introduction

This document is a report of the following offline discussion:

* [AT117-e][042][MBS] Invited tdocs open Issues UP (Samsung)

 Scope: Take into account submitted tdocs. Address the FFS on CSI and SRS reporting due to MBS DRX, and from the updated OIlist: Small correction on RX\_DELIV formula to avoid HFN<0. Determine agreeable part, pave the way for on-line agreement.

 Intended outcome: Report

 Deadline: W1 Thursday (for online CB W1 Friday).

According to the Chairman’s guideline, this discussion covers the following issues:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| FFS to CSI and SRS reporting due to MBS DRX. | 5.7b | Company tdocs invited. |
| Small correction on RX\_DELIV formula to avoid HFN<0 | R2-2202301 | Others. Can be discussed based on proposals |

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email** |
| Qualcomm | Prasad Kadiri | pkadiri@qti.qualcomm.com |
| MediaTek | Xiaonan Zhang | Xiaonan.Zhang@mediatek.com |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Xubin | xubin10@huawei.com |
| OPPO | Shukun Wang | wangshukun@oppo.com |
| Lenovo | Mingzeng Dai | daimz4@lenovo.com |
| Intel | Yujian Zhang | yujian.zhang@intel.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 DRX CSI and SRS reporting due to MBS DRX

The legacy DRX supports restrictions that UE skips some CSIs and SRS transmissions as follows (for detail, you can refer to clause 5.7 of TS 38.321):

* If a DRX group would not be in Active Time, the MAC entity shall not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 in this DRX group and not report CSI on PUCCH and semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH in this DRX group.
* If CSI masking (*csi-Mask*) is setup and *drx-onDurationTimer* of a DRX group would not be running, the MAC entity shall not report CSI on PUCCH in this DRX group.
* The MAC entity transmits aperiodic CSI on PUSCH and aperiodic SRS, regardless of the PDCCH monitoring.

A main issue here is whether UE skip the CSIs and SRS transmissions, when the UE would 1) not be in unicast DRX’s Active Time 2) but be in Multicast DRX’s Active Time.

Looking at the submitted contributions, company views are split.

* **Option 1) Allow UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission during the Active Time of multicast DRX and/or during the running of *drx-onDurationTimerPTM*.**
	+ Better system performance of multicast transmission
	+ Only marginal specs effort is required
	+ NW will do the right decision of the scheduling based on CSI.
	+ meet the basic quality of service requirement

Contributions supporting Option 1:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Source** | **Proposal** |
| R2-2202301 | Huawei, Qualcomm, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: Allow UE’s CSI reporting during the Active Time of multicast DRX and/or during the running of *drx-onDurationTimerPTM*. |
| R2-2202242 | OPPO | Proposal 1: the following text is proposed in section 5.7. |
| R2-2202333 | MediaTek | Proposal 1: The conditions for not reporting CSI/SRS will not be added to multicast DRX in MAC running CR (i.e. section 5.7b) |
| R2-2202799 | Futurewei | Proposal 1: In MBS only scenario, the UE can simply follow the rule of no transmission of CSI-report/SRS at MBS DRX.Proposal 2: As long as there is a service is not in DRX regardless it is unicast service or MBS, the UE sends CSI-report/SRS. The UE only stops CSI-report/SRS transmission when both unicast and MBS are in DRX. |
| R2-2203311 | ZTE, Sanechips | Proposal 1. CSI/SRS report in MBS DRX Active Time might be enabled to assist network in the scheduling of the MBS transmission.Proposal 2. The principle of CSI/SRS reporting transmission in MBS DRX follows with legacy DRX. |

* **Option 2) UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission is not affected by Multicast DRX.**
	+ Considering multiple DRX patterns, Option 1 will complicate UE behavior unnecessarily.
	+ For multicast scenario, network scheduling decision is not just based on single UE. The impact of lacking some UE’s CSI reporting is not so serious.
	+ Scheduling updating in PTM mode is not so dynamic
	+ Option 1 increases UE power consumption
	+ LTE SC-PTM DRX does not impact the reporting of CSI and SRS.
	+ For dynamic PTM to PTP switch, PTP leg is configured and CSI can be reported based on unicast/PTP DRX.

Contributions supporting Option 2:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Source** | **Proposal** |
| R2-2202268 | CATT, CBN | Proposal 1: SRS/CSI reporting is not considered in MBS DRX pattern. |
| R2-2202278 | NEC | Proposal 1: CSI/SRS reporting during MBS DRX can follow unicast DRX mechanism, which means no additional spec is expected (i.e. the similar text about CSI/SRS in unicast DRX should not be captured in MAC running CR for MBS DRX). |
| R2-2202425 | Spreadtrum | Proposal 1: CSI and SRS reporting due to MBS DRX is not considered. |
| R2-2202554 | Apple | Proposal 5: The CSI and SRS transmission during the DRX active time is same as legacy.  |
| R2-2202624 | CMCC | Proposal 1: RAN2 confirms that CSI reporting and SRS transmission only occur in legacy unicast DRX group, rather than both in the unicast DRX group in the MBS DRX group. |
| R2-2202642 | Intel | Proposal 1: CSI / SRS operation is not affected by MBS DRX. There is no specification impact. |
| R2-2202683 | Samsung | Proposal 1. CSI reporting and SRS transmission are independent of Multicast DRX. (No specification change) |
| R2-2203121 | Xiaomi | Proposal: MBS DRX does not impact the reporting of CSI or SRS, same as LTE SC-PTM. No specification change is needed. |
| R2-2203156 | LGE | Proposal 1. MBS DRX does not impact on CSI and SRS reporting (i.e. no spec. impact). |

To provide a way-forward, the rapporteur would like to ask more companies’ view.

**Q1) Please provide your view.**

* **Option 1) Allow UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission during the Active Time of multicast DRX and/or during the running of *drx-onDurationTimerPTM*.**
* **Option 2) UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission is not affected by Multicast DRX.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Option 1 | UE should be able to report CSI while MBS DRX is active and even if unicast DRX is not active. This will enable CSI reporting by all active MBS DRX UEs to facilitate better scheduling of Multicast and this does not cause additional UE power consumption since UE calculates periodic CSI in the background and reports only during active time. It is possible many UEs will be receiving only multicast service without any unicast service and network may configure only MRB PTM leg. Additional spec change is not complex. |
| MediaTek | Option 1 | Share the same view with Qualcomm. UE report CSI/SRS will not lead to extra power consumption when multicast DRX is in active time while unicast DRX is not.We agree to add the text to clause 5.7 to clarify UE’s behavior. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 1 | Different from LTE MBMS, NR multicast aims at providing services with high QoS, which relies on timely and accurate CSI report from all multicast UEs, especially the ones with poor radio link quality. Without this, the NW may have to schedule multicast based on CSI from only some of the UEs, which will lead to an impropriate scheduling strategy degrading the system performance. The other ways mentioned by contributions, e.g. relying on active time of PTP leg, is not efficient as PTP transmission/retransmission themselves are determined based on the timely and accurate CSI report. This seems a vicious circle. Lastly, the specs effort is marginal as the logic is the same with unicast DRX. And it will not consume much extra UE power as both DRX and CSI report can be controlled by NW implementation.  |
| OPPO | Option 1 | It is common understanding that CSI and SRS reporting should be allowed in case of MBS DRX active period and they are also useful for MBS data scheduling.However, according the wording in section 5.7 (unicast DRX), when UE decide not to report the SRS and CSI report only consider unicast DRX is not in active time, not all DRX, i.e. not including the DRX defined in section 5.7b. “……when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause…..”If there is no unicast data transmission/reception. The CSI report will not be report due to the above text even if there is MBS reception, i.e. MBS DRX in active time. As results, the network will not receive the CSI-RS and cannot do the right decision of the scheduling. |
| Lenovo | Option 1 | CSI and SRS reporting in multicast active time may be beneficial for multicast scheduling and it does not lead to extra power consumption as Qualcomm commented.  |
| Intel | Option 2 | Our preference is that UE’s CSI reporting/SRS transmission is not affected by Multicast DRX, since:1) Aperiodic CSI on PUSCH and aperiodic SRS (which are not affected by DRX restriction) can be utilized for MBS scheduling.2) CSI on PUCCH, semi-persistent CSI, and periodic / semi-persistent SRS can be transmitted in unicast Active Time, which is extended when PTP retransmission is expected.3) Transmitting CSI on PUCCH, semi-persistent CSI, and periodic / semi-persistent SRS outside of unicast Active Time introduces additional specification complexity as well as unnecessary UE power consumption. Since the most relevant CSI for MBS scheduling is from UE(s) with the worst channel condition, requiring transmitting CSI / SRS outside of unicast Active Time causes additional power consumption without clear benefits for UEs with good channel condition. |
|  |  |  |
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If RAN2 agrees Option 1, text change in clause 5.7 is expected. The following TPs were provided:

|  |
| --- |
| R2-2202301 (Huawei, Qualcomm, HiSilicon)2> in current symbol n, if a DRX group would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause; and2> in current symbol n, if multicast DRX would not be in Active Time considering multicast grants/assignments when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in Clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7] in this DRX group;3> not report CSI on PUCCH and semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH in this DRX group.2> if CSI masking (*csi-Mask*) is setup by upper layers:3> in current symbol n, if *drx-onDurationTimer* of a DRX group would not be running considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause; and3> in current symbol n, if *drx-onDurationTimerPTM* would not be running considering grants/assignments when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in Clause 5.7b:4> not report CSI on PUCCH in this DRX group.NOTE 4: If a UE multiplexes a CSI configured on PUCCH with other overlapping UCI(s) according to the procedure specified in TS 38.213 [6] clause 9.2.5 and this CSI multiplexed with other UCI(s) would be reported on a PUCCH resource either outside DRX Active Time of the DRX group in which this PUCCH is configured and multicast DRX or outside the on-duration period of the DRX group in which this PUCCH is configured and multicast DRX if CSI masking is setup by upper layers, it is up to UE implementation whether to report this CSI multiplexed with other UCI(s). |
| R2-2202242 (OPPO)1> if DCP monitoring is configured for the active DL BWP as specified in TS 38.213 [6], clause 10.3; and1> if the current symbol n occurs within *drx-onDurationTimer* duration; and1> if *drx-onDurationTimer* associated with the current DRX cycle is not started as specified in this clause and clause 5.7b:2> if the MAC entity would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments/DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause and clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7];3> not report semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH;3> if *ps-TransmitPeriodicL1-RSRP* is not configured with value *true*:4> not report periodic CSI that is L1-RSRP on PUCCH.3> if *ps-TransmitOtherPeriodicCSI* is not configured with value *true*:4> not report periodic CSI that is not L1-RSRP on PUCCH.1> else:2> in current symbol n, if a DRX group would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause and clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7] in this DRX group;3> not report CSI on PUCCH and semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH in this DRX group.2> if CSI masking (*csi-Mask*) is setup by upper layers:3> in current symbol n, if *drx-onDurationTimer* of a DRX group would not be running considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause and clause 5.7b; and4> not report CSI on PUCCH in this DRX group. |
| R2-2202683 (Samsung)1> if DCP monitoring is configured for the active DL BWP as specified in TS 38.213 [6], clause 10.3; and1> if the current symbol n occurs within *drx-onDurationTimer* duration; and1> if *drx-onDurationTimer* associated with the current DRX cycle is not started as specified in this clause:2> if the MAC entity would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments/DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause, and the MAC entity would not be in Multicast DRX’s Active Time defined in clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7];3> not report semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH;3> if *ps-TransmitPeriodicL1-RSRP* is not configured with value *true*:4> not report periodic CSI that is L1-RSRP on PUCCH.3> if *ps-TransmitOtherPeriodicCSI* is not configured with value *true*:4> not report periodic CSI that is not L1-RSRP on PUCCH.1> else:2> in current symbol n, if a DRX group would not be in Active Time considering grants/assignments scheduled on Serving Cell(s) in this DRX group and DRX Command MAC CE/Long DRX Command MAC CE received and Scheduling Request sent until 4 ms prior to symbol n when evaluating all DRX Active Time conditions as specified in this clause, and the MAC entity would not be in Multicast DRX’s Active Time defined in clause 5.7b:3> not transmit periodic SRS and semi-persistent SRS defined in TS 38.214 [7] in this DRX group;3> not report CSI on PUCCH and semi-persistent CSI configured on PUSCH in this DRX group. |

Those TPs proposed similar changes but a difference is whether the procedure upon DCP monitoring is applicable for Multicast DRX. OPPO/Samsung TP assumes DCP monitoring, whereas Huawei/Qualcomm TP does not.

**Q2) Please provide your view, assuming that Option 1 in Q1 is agreed.**

* **Option A) DCP monitoring/WUS is not configured when Multicast DRX is configured. (similar to R2-2202301)**
* **Option B) DCP monitoring/WUS can be configured when Multicast DRX is configured. *drx-onDurationTimerPTM* may not be started by DCP monitoring/WUS. (similar to R2-2202242)**
* **Option C) DCP monitoring/WUS can be configured when Multicast DRX is configured. *drx-onDurationTimerPTM* is always started regardless of DCP monitoring/WUS. (similar to R2-2202683)**
* **Option D) Other (please add)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment**  |
| Qualcomm | Option 1 |  |
| MediaTek | Option 1 |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option A or Option C | We can accept Option C, in case RAN2 assumes DCP monitoring/WUS can be configured together with multicast DRX. And the detailed specs change can be discussed during the CR review.  |
| OPPO | Option B | We can only also consider the MBS DRX case when evaluating the CSI/SRS reporting.  |
| Lenovo | Option 1 |  |
| Intel | See comments | Our understanding is that DCP monitoring / WUS is a separate discussion from CSI/SRS. Regardless of whether Option 1 in Q1 is agreed or not, we still need to discuss the relationship between DCP monitoring / WUS and Multicast DRX.Our preference is Option C for the general discussion regarding DCP monitoring / WUS and Multicast DRX. DCP is introduced for unicast DRX. Since the general principle is that unicast DRX and multicast DRX have independent operations, it is natural that DCP monitoring / WUS can be configured when Multicast DRX is configured. In addition, as there is only one DCP configuration for unicast DRX, and a UE may be configured with multiple Multicast DRX patterns, it is also natural that *drx-onDurationTimerPTM* is always started regardless of DCP monitoring/WUS. This is already implemented in MAC running CR R2-2202245 clause 5.7b, as below:1> if [(SFN × 10) + subframe number] modulo (*drx-LongCycle-PTM*) = *drx-StartOffset-PTM*:2> start *drx-onDurationTimerPTM* after *drx-SlotOffsetPTM* from the beginning of the subframe. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Small correction on RX\_DELIV formula to avoid HFN<0

A negative HFN value occurs once the SN of first received packet is smaller than 0.5 × 2[PDCP-SN-Size–1] and the configured HFN is 0. One way to avoid this problem is to always configure the initial HFN>0 by the network, but for lossless handover with PDCN SN synchronization, RAN3 already agreed to introduce a 32 bit “MBS QFI SN” to guide the gNB on the HFN and SN allocation as below:

|  |
| --- |
| **RAN3 agreements:****1) introduce a new 32bits “MBS QFI SN” in 38.415.** **1-1) CN shall include the MBS QFI SN for all the Qos flows for MBS services.****2) Sync in terms of QoS flow to MRB mapping among NG-RAN nodes is achieved by network implementation.** |

Thus, it may be difficult for the network to avoid a negative HFN value during initialization by configuring a large initial HFN. [R2-2202301] proposed to set RX\_DELIV = 0 when the negative HFN is expected, i.e.

RX\_DELIV = MAX (0, COUNT(x) - 0.5 × 2[*PDCP-SN-Size*–1]), where x is the SN of the first received PDCP Data PDU

**Q3) Do companies support the following proposal for the negative HFN issue?**

**Proposal: Change the RX\_DELIV formula as: RX\_DELIV = MAX (0, COUNT(x) - 0.5 × 2[*PDCP-SN-Size*–1]), where x is the SN of the first received PDCP Data PDU.**

* **Option 1) Yes**
* **Option 2) No (NW implementation can avoid HFN<0 by configuration of initial HFN.)**
* **Option 3) No (prefer other solution, please add.)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment**  |
| Qualcomm | Option 1 | Option 1 is clean approach than Option 2. |
| MediaTek | Option 3 | Op1 is difficult for the network to avoid a negative HFN, Op2 seems not concise enough.In fact, setting RX\_DELIV to a fixed value will always cause unalignment between RX\_DELIV and the COUNT of the first transmitted PDU and lead to extra modification.For the simplicity, we prefer to change the RX\_DELIV formula as RX\_DELIV=[HFN+SN] indicated by RRC to solve both this issue and HFN desync issue discussed before. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 1 | With option 1, UE can deduct a correct and positive HFN value regardless of gNB’s configuration. Option 2 doesn’t work as it is not gNB’s decision of how to set the HFN as lossless handover requires the gNB to set COUNT value according to a 32bit CN SN. Option mentioned by MediaTek may be inconsistent with the initial motivation of setting RX\_DELIV to a value before the RE\_NEXT to minimize data loss. |
| OPPO | Option 1 | It is more clear.  |
| Lenovo | Option 1 | We tend to agree with Huawei.  |
| Intel | Option 2 | Network can configure a suitable initial HFN to avoid the issue. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4 Conclusion
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