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# Introduction

This document provides report of the following offline discussion.

* [AT116-e][109][NTN] LS to SA2 on the number of TACs (Qualcomm)

Initial scope: Discuss the possible content of an LS to SA2 to ask their view on the number of TACs to be broadcast in an NTN cell

Initial intended outcome: Draft reply LS.

Initial deadline (for companies' feedback): Tuesday 2021-11-09 1600 UTC

Initial deadline (for draft LS in R2-2111343): Tuesday 2021-11-09 2200 UTC

# Discussion

Following was agreed in the RAN2#114e meeting.

1. Change in TAC in SIB1 triggers SI update notification procedure as legacy behaviour. It is FFS whether broadcasting TAC update time can also be considered

As per agreement, when multiple TACs are broadcast per cell or per PLMN, network can send paging to UEs to notify the change of TACs (e.g., removal of a TAC from the broadcast list). It was FFS whether the TAC update time can also be considered, in other words, whether TAC update time or TAC validity time for each TAC in the list can also be considered.

[1] and [2] were briefly discussed during RAN2#116e meeting as provided below.

Proposal 1 : A validity timer associated to each TAI is broadcasted in the SI

Proposal 2 : UE uses the validity timer associated to the broadcasted TAC when selecting which TAC to update to NAS layer as well as when performing location update.

Proposal 3 : The validity timer associated to a broadcasted TAC can be described with 16 bits and support a timing accuracy of +/-100 ms.

- VC wonders how many TACs would typically be broadcast in an NTN cell. Ericsson thinks it's difficult to provide a number but this could be in the range of 2~4.

- QC thinks that we might need to wait for an SA2 decision on this.

* Postpone the discussion on how many TAC are broadcast pending feedback from SA2.
* Discuss offline the possible content of an LS to SA2 to ask their view on the number of TACs to be broadcast in an NTN cell
* Continue in offline 109

During this discussion, the issue of number of TACs that can be broadcast per cell or per PLMN was brought up. When there are many TACs broadcast, it is very likely that TAC changes happen frequently. This could lead to too frequent paging for SI update notification procedure, in which case, it may be more efficient to broadcast the TAC validity time instead of frequent paging.

Also [1] claims that the timing information associated to the TAC should be taken into account when more than one TAC is in UE’s registration area. This may also help to resolve the currently on-going controversial issue of selecting a TAC from multiple TACs among different working groups.

But it is also important to conclude first what is the limit on number of TACs that can be broadcast per PLMN in the cell. Currently in RRC running CR for NTN, this value is FFS.

PLMN-IdentityInfoList ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxPLMN)) OF PLMN-IdentityInfo

PLMN-IdentityInfo ::= SEQUENCE {

plmn-IdentityList SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxPLMN)) OF PLMN-Identity,

trackingAreaCode TrackingAreaCode OPTIONAL, -- Need R

ranac RAN-AreaCode OPTIONAL, -- Need R

cellIdentity CellIdentity,

cellReservedForOperatorUse ENUMERATED {reserved, notReserved},

...,

[[

iab-Support-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL -- Need S

]],

[[

trackingAreaList-r17 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxTAC)) OF TrackingAreaCode OPTIONAL -- Need R

]]

}

maxNrofPCI-Ranges INTEGER ::= 8 -- Maximum number of PCI ranges

maxPLMN INTEGER ::= 12 -- Maximum number of PLMNs broadcast and reported by UE at establisghment

maxTAC-r17 INTEGER ::= FFS -- Maximum number of Tracking Area Codes to which a cell belongs to

maxNrofCSI-RS-ResourcesRRM INTEGER ::= 96 -- Maximum number of CSI-RS resources per cell for an RRM measurement object

1. Do you agree to send LS to SA2 and ask their view on the number of TACs to be broadcast in an NTN cell? Also indicate if any other working group needs to be asked.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company name | Yes/No | Comments |
| OPPO | Yes |  |
| Intel | Yes | The root cause is tracking area is fixed, and current question is how large a tracking area could be. RAN3 should be in CC list as it may be also related to TAC selection issue at RAN side. |

1. If answer to Q1 is yes, please provide comments/suggestion/change on the draft LS? It is provided in the draft folder (copied here for your convenience).

RAN2 has discussed that if there are many TACs broadcast per PLMN in a cell for soft TAC update procedure, this may lead to very frequent system information change notification procedure to notify UE of change of TAC in a cell and TAC validity time can be provided to UE as a solution.

RAN2 would like to ask for feedback on maximum number of TACs that can be broadcast per PLMN in a cell.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company name | Comments on the draft LS |
| OPPO | We only need to inform SA2 about the potential impact by broadcasting multiple TACs and should not mention any solutions which are not agreed by RAN2 yet. Therefore, we are not ok for the part of “and TAC validity time can be provided to UE as a solution” and this should be removed.  To help further RAN2 discussion, we may ask SA2’s opinion how often they see the broadcasted TACs will change over time. |
| Intel | We could ask SA2 how large a tracking area could be, or if there is a typical value. Then based on the knowledge of beam size, we can estimate how many tracking areas a NTN cell may cover.  We also agree with OPPO that no need to mention specific solution in this LS. |

1. If answer to Q1 is no, please elaborate your comment with reason. Also indicate which working group can decide this and what should be the value of maxTAC-r17?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company name | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

To be updated…
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