3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting #110 R2-2005913

**Electronic, June 1 – June 12 2020**

Agenda Item:

Source: Session chair (CMCC)

Title: Proposals to be agreed on L2 measurements

Document for: Discussion

The following proposals come from the email discussion “**[AT110-e][801] L2 measurements**”. Please indicate your opinion on whether or not to agree them as agreements.

**1: Capture “For non CU-DU split case, RAN part of packet delay excludes the delay at FI-U interface, i.e. D2.3 and D3.” in 4.1.1.2.**

**2: For D2.1 definition, Change “RLC” to “MAC”.**

**3: For D2.2 definition:**

* **In the definition, change “from the first part of an RLC PDU is received to the RLC SDU is sent to PDCP” to “from the RLC PDU including the first part of an RLC SDU is received to the RLC SDU is sent to PDCP”**
* **For the definition of tReceiv (i, drbid), change “The point in time when the RLC PDU including the RLC SDU i is received” to “The point in time when the RLC PDU including the first part of the RLC SDU i is received”**

**4: RAN2 confirmed current defined number of active UE measurement is valid for non-split case, and no specs change is needed.**

**5: Remove the term ‘PDCP’ from the definition of ‘max number of active UEs in DL’.**

**6: For Number of active UEs in RRC\_CONNECTED:**

* **change “buffered data” to “data available for transmission”;**
* **remove “In RLC and MAC layers, buffered data corresponds to data available for transmission according to the definitions in TS 38.322 and TS 38.321.”**

**7: Received RA preambles per SSB is defined as the ratio of the number of received preambles associated to the SSB to the total number of PRACHs configured in the SSB of the cell.**

If company doesn’t agree any of the proposals, please indicate your company name in the corresponding box. Any other comment should be raised in email discussion [801] rather than here.
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