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# 1 Introduction

This document pertains to the following e-mail discussion:

* [AT110-e][062][NR16] MAC updates (Ericsson)

 Scope: Treat R2-2005328, R2-2005501, R2-2005502, R2-2005562. Multi-WI MAC corrections.

 Wanted Outcome: Agreed CR

 Deadline for first round: June 5, 0900 UTC

 Deadline for second round: June 11, 0700 UTC

During the first round the intention is to decide which CRs to pursue for the second round. The second round will be used for updating and merging the CRs which continue from the first round.

The rapporteur invites companies to provide input well in advance of the deadline in order for a productive discussion to take place.

# 2 First round of discussion

## 2.1 Discussion

[R2-2005501](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005501.zip) 38321 CR Clarification on eLCID LG Electronics Inc., MediaTek CR Rel-16 38.321 16.0.0 0752 - F TEI16

Companies are invited to state their opinion on the CR above (R2-2005501).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Opinion** |
| LG | Support the CR. This CR is produced based on the outcome of the e-mail discussion at the last meeting [AT109bis-e][060][NR16] MAC eLCID and RACH stopping. |
| Ericsson | Support the CR. |

[R2-2005562](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005562.zip) Handling of unexpected eLCID values. ASUSTeK discussion Rel-16 38.321

Companies are invited to state their opinion on the CR above (R2-2005562).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Opinion** |
| LG | Support the CR. |
| Ericsson | Not sure this is stricly needed. Suppose this depends on if we consider eLCID an LCID or not. Perhaps this can be a general clarificaiton in the specification?  |

[R2-2005328](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005328.zip) Alignment of SR clause Ericsson, Samsung CR Rel-16 38.321 16.0.0 0732 1 F NR\_unlic-Core, NR\_eMIMO-Core R2-2003833

Companies are invited to state their opinion on the CR above (R2-2005328).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Opinion** |
| LG | Agree with the intention.We need more time to check the actual changes. |
| Ericsson | Support the CR. It may need updating after the discussions on BFR in MIMO WI have settled down. |

[R2-2005502](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Docs/R2-2005502.zip) Stopping ongoing Random Access procedure LG Electronics Inc. discussion Rel-16 TEI16

Companies are invited to state their opinion on the TP in the contribution above (R2-2005502).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Opinion** |
| LG | Support the TP in R2-2005502.At least, it is asked for RAN2 to take some exercise to simplify the text on the UE optional behavior. |
| Ericsson | Do not support the TP as it is right now. We think it is important that the exceptional cases where a UE may cancel an ongoing random access procedure are clearly specified. Cancelled random access procedures need not be easy to detect and therefore it is important to have a limited set of cases in the UE to work from.However, if the text is perceived as complex and difficult to maintain we are open to discuss clarifications and restructuring but not simplifications as proposed above.  |

## 2.2 Conclusion

TBD