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# Introduction

In RAN1#108-e, the following papers provided input on latency improvements for DL and DL+UL methods.

1. R1-2200923 Maintenance of PRS measurement outside MG Huawei, HiSilicon
2. R1-2201096 Maintenance on latency enhancement for NR positioning vivo
3. R1-2201196 Remaining issues on latency reduction for NR positioning ZTE
4. R1-2201242 Enhancements on Latency Reduction in NR Positioning OPPO
5. R1-2201364 Remaining issues on latency reduction for NR positioning CATT
6. R1-2201480 Remaining issues on latency improvements for both DL and DL+UL positioning methods NTT DOCOMO, INC.
7. R1-2201583 Remaining Issues on Latency Improvements for Positioning Methods Sony
8. R1-2201637 Maintenance of PHY Latency Reductions Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell
9. R1-2201774 Remaining issues on Rel-17 positioning latency reduction Apple
10. R1-2201827 Latency improvements for both DL and DL+UL positioning methods InterDigital, Inc.
11. R1-2201859 Remaining issues on latency enhancements CMCC
12. R1-2201947 Remaining issues on latency improvements for both DL and DL+UL positioning method Xiaomi
13. R1-2202017 Discussion on latency improvements for both DL and DL+UL positioning methods Samsung
14. R1-2202143 Maintenance on Latency Improvements for Positioning Qualcomm Incorporated
15. R1-2202294 Discussion on latency improvements for NR positioning LG Electronics
16. R1-2202392 Latency improvements for both DL and DL+UL positioning methods Ericsson

The following t-docs are submitted under agenda 5, which is related to latency improvements.

1. R1-2201209 Draft reply LS on lower Rx beam sweeping factor for latency improvement ZTE
2. R1-2202456 Discussion on low latency PRS measurement with MG Huawei, HiSilicon

RAN1 received the following LS prior to RAN1#108-e.

1. R1-2200889 Reply LS on latency improvement for PRS measurement with MG RAN2, Nokia
2. R1-2200899 Reply LS on lower Rx beam sweeping factor for latency improvement RAN4, CATT

This paper provides the moderator summary of solutions to improve positioning latency for DL and DL+UL methods, subject to the following email discussion.

[107-e-NR-ePos-04] Email discussion/approval on latency improvements for both DL and DL+UL positioning methods with checkpoints for agreements on November 15 and 19 – Su (Huawei)

# Measurement gap enhancements

## General information

The following agreements were made in RAN1#107-e on this issue.

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement**Preconfiguration of MG(s) in RRC is supported from RAN1 perspective.* Each MG in the preconfiguration is associated with an ID
* The information in the UL MAC CE for MG activation request by the UE can be one ID associated with the preconfiguration of the MG
* Send an LS to RAN2 and RAN3

**Conclusion**Include in the LS the following content: * RAN1 understands it is up to RAN2 and/or RAN3 to decide how gNB determines the preconfiguration of MG(s).

**Conclusion**For the MG activation request to the gNB by the LMF, it is up to RAN3 to design the necessary information to be transferred in the NRPPa message.* Include it in the LS to RAN2 and RAN3.

[R1-2112783](file:///D%3A%5C%5Cworks%5C%5C1.3GPP%20works%5C%5CRAN1-107%5C%5Ctdoc%5C%5CR1-2112783.zip) Draft LS on PRS measurement with preconfiguration of MG(s) Moderator (Huawei)Final LS endorsed in [R1-2112784](file:///D%3A%5C%5Cworks%5C%5C1.3GPP%20works%5C%5CRAN1-107%5C%5Ctdoc%5C%5CR1-2112784.zip)**Agreement**The DL MAC CE for MG activation indicates the ID associated with the preconfigured MG. |

## MG deactivation request and command

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 1:*** Confirm RAN2 agreement, MAC CE can be used to deactivate MG.
	+ UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap deactivation request is supported
	+ DL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap deactivation is supported
* NRPPa for positioning measurement gap deactivation request is supported.
 |
| OPPO [4] | Proposal 2: For MG deactivation, support the following two options:* Option 1: gNB use a DL MAC CE to deactivate one MG and the UE can use a UL MAC CE to request MG deactivation
* Option 2: Each activated MG is associated with a repetition number and when the indicated repetition number is reached, the activated MG stops
 |
| Apple [9] | **Proposal 1**: Associate each new MG configuration with a life cycle after which the MG will be deactivated automatically with no further signaling/indication from gNB is required.  |

**FL comments**

According to RAN2 LS R1-2200889/R2-2202052, RAN2 already agreed to introduce the MG deactivation request and command based on UL and DL MAC CE, respectively, it is not clear whether companies are willing to discuss other deactivation mechanism based on a life cycle (timer) or repetition number (counter).

### Round 1

### Proposal 2.1.1-1

* RAN1 confirm the support of MAC CE based deactivation request and command as agreed by RAN2.
* RAN1 to discuss the following options for deactivation process
	+ Option 1: repetition number based deactivation
	+ Option 2: life cycle based deactivation
	+ Option 3: no additional mechanism for MG deactivation is introduced

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
| ZTE |  | We don’t think the further discussion is needed in RAN1. RAN2 has introduced deactivation in MACCE, it is sufficient enough. The benefit of further optimization is unclear.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Maximum number of preconfigured MG

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 2:*** The maximum number of pre-configured MG is 16.
 |
| Huawei, HiSilicon [18] | Proposal 2: The maximum number of preconfigured MGs is 8. |

### Round 1

### Proposal 2.2.1-1

* The maximum number of preconfigured MGs is
	+ Option 1: 8
	+ Option 2: 16

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Options** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | 2 | Option 2 can introduce more flexibility  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Maximum number of MGs per activation/deactivation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon [18] | **Proposal 1:** The activation/deactivation request/command MAC CE should support carrying at least two IDs in the configuration.* Include it in the LS reply to RAN2 and RAN4.
 |
| IDC [10] | **Proposal 4:** Only one measurement gap, selected out of preconfigured measurement gaps, is activaed via DL MAC CE |

### Round 1

### Proposal 2.3.1-1

* The maximum number of MGs per activation/deactivation is
	+ Option 1: 1
	+ Option 2: 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Options** | **Comments** |
| ZTE |  | We prefer to let RAN2/4 decide this issue as RAN2 has a dedicated agenda to consider MG issues. From RAN1 perspective, we more prefer option 1 such that two MACCE can be used to activate/deactivate MGs per FR.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Others

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 3:*** The time/frequency characteristics (i.e., periodicity/offset information, and frequency layer information) of PRS can be transmitted as assistance date for Pre-configured MG from LMF to the gNB side.

**Proposal 4:*** Support a new requesting NRPPa signaling from LMF to request an MG or a PRS processing or to assist gNB to determine the use of MG or PRS processing window, including
	+ The time/frequency characteristics (i.e., periodicity/offset information, and frequency layer information) of PRS
	+ The location request information (i.e., positioning requirement, latency, bandwidth that needed to meet accuracy requirement)
	+ Activated/deactivated indication

**Proposal 5:*** To select one of the following options for avoiding duplicated MG request from both LMF and UE
	+ The LMF indicates whether the LMF requests MG by NRPPa in the LPP RequestLocationInformation message.
	+ Guarantee the MG activation is not later than the time when the location request is received.
 |
| Apple [9] | **Proposal 2**: NW provides assistance data to UE, based on which UE is configured with one or more MG configurations and A-PRS resources associated with each MG. * Once MG is activated, the A-PRS associated with MG is consequently activated as well
 |

**FL comment**

* For Proposal 3 and Proposal 4 from vivo [2], RAN1 already agreed to leave it up to RAN3 to decide, while RAN3 already seemed to have made the agreement.

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreements:****LMF provides a full PRS configuration to gNB as assistance information, and the gNB determines the pre-configuration of MG.****A UE-associated class 1 procedure is used to provide a full PRS configuration to gNB as assistance information of the pre-configuration of MG. FFS on using new defined or existing signaling procedure.****Support to transfer the information related to the PRS measurement with MG over F1AP, similar to the legacy MG, but detail waits for RAN2.****Support the MG activation request by the LMF.****The signaling procedure of the MG activation request uses an UE-associated class 2 signaling procedure. FFS on whether to use new defined or existing signaling procedure?****Include the similar information to that in RRC LocationMeasurementIdication message in the MG activation request message.****LMF provides the assistance information to help gNB determine the PRS Processing Window configuration.****For activation request procedure initiated by non-LMF, an unified signaling procedure over NRPPa can be adopted for the delivery of pre-configured MG and PRS processing Window configuration information.****Support to transfer the information related to the PRS processing window configuration over F1AP, similar to the legacy MG, but detail waits for RAN2.** |

* For proposal 5 from vivo [2], this has been discussed, but reached no consensus. Perhaps better to let RAN2/RAN3 work out the solution if any.
* For the proposal from Apple [9], A-PRS is not discussed in this release.

### Round 1

### Proposal 2.4.1-1

* The suggestion from the FL is not to discuss those proposals.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments on the necessity of any specific proposal** |
| ZTE | OK with FL proposal |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# PRS measurement outside MG

## General information

The following agreements were made in RAN1#107-e on this issue.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Agreement**The following options are supported subject to UE capability for priority handling of PRS when PRS measurement is outside MG.* + Option 1: UE may indicates support of two priority states.
		- State 1: PRS is higher priority than all PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS
		- State 2: PRS is lower priority than all PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS
	+ Option 2: UE may indicate support of three priority states
		- State 1: PRS is higher priority than all PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS
		- State 2: PRS is lower priority than PDCCH and URLLC PDSCH and higher priority than other PDSCH/CSI-RS
			* Note: The URLLC channel corresponds a dynamically scheduled PDSCH whose PUCCH resource for carrying ACK/NAK is marked as high-priority.
		- State 3: PRS is lower priority than all PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS
	+ Option 3: UE may indicate support of single priority state
		- State 1: PRS is higher priority than all PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS

Note: SSB is a separate issue.**Agreement**For the purpose of determining conditions for measuring the PRS outside of a MG, the expected Rx timing difference between the PRS from the non-serving cell and that from the serving cell is determined by expected RSTD and expected RSTD uncertainty in the assistance data.Send an LS to request RAN4 study and determine the threshold, which is used to be compared against with the Rx timing difference to determine whether the PRS from the non-serving cell satisfy the condition of PRS measurement outside MG.* + Examples for the threshold: CP length, 50% of the OFDM symbol, 1ms
	+ Other options can also be considered by RAN4
	+ Note: the requirement on whether UE needs to calculate the expected Rx time difference and/or compare against the threshold is also a part of the study request

**Agreement**At least the following parameters for PRS processing window from the gNB to the UE are supported.* + Starting slot
	+ Periodicity
	+ Duration/length
	+ Cell and SCS information associated with the above parameters

Discuss during the maintenance phase on the necessity of other parameters including but not limited to* + Processing type (associated with the corresponding UE capability 1A/1B/2)
	+ Band/CC-ID as needed depending on each scenario on which the PRS processing window is applied
	+ The above cell and SCS information to determine where/when the PRS processing window is applied

Note: Indication of processing type does not suggest UE indication of multiple capabilities among (1A/1B/2) is already supported, which is a separate discussion.Note: Some of the parameters above may not be mandatory for a PRS processing window**Agreement**The priority of PRS for UE supporting two priority states and three priority states can at least be indicated in RRC.**Agreement**For capability 1A as per working assumption made in RAN1#106-e, the DL signalings/channels in a per UE fashion (i.e. both across NR & LTE) inside the PRS processing window are dropped if the DL PRS is determined to be higher priority.For capability 1B as per working assumption made in RAN1#106-e, only the DL signalings/channels from a certain band inside the PRS processing window are dropped if the DL PRS is determined to be higher priority.

|  |
| --- |
| Working assumption:Subject to UE capability, support PRS measurement outside the MG, within a PRS processing window, and UE measurement inside the active DL BWP with PRS having the same numerology as the active DL BWP.* Inside the PRS processing window, subject to the UE determining that DL PRS to be higher priority, support the following UE capabilities:
	+ Capability 1: PRS prioritization over all other DL signals/channels in all symbols inside the window.
		- Cap. 1A: The DL signals/channels from all DL CCs (per UE) are affected.
		- Cap. 1B: Only the DL signals/channels from a certain band/CC are affected.
			* FFS: band or CC
	+ Capability 2: PRS prioritization over other DL signals/channels only in the PRS symbols inside the window
	+ A UE shall be able to declare a PRS processing capability outside MG.
		- FFS: Details of capability signalling (e.g., per UE or per band, etc.)
 |

**Agreement**PRS processing window request to the gNB by the LMF is supported from RAN1 perspective.* + It is up to RAN3 to design the necessary information to be transferred in the NRPPa message.
	+ Note: It is up to gNB to determine the usage of measurement gap or PRS processing window
	+ Include it in the LS to RAN2 and RAN3.

**Agreement**For PRS processing window configuration and indication, at least the following mechanism is supported* + RRC (pre-)configuration for PRS processing window configuration and DL MAC CE activation for PRS processing window, respectively.

Include it in the LS to RAN2 and request RAN2 to decide whether DL MAC CE is feasible for this indication.[R1-2112880](file:///D%3A%5C%5Cworks%5C%5C1.3GPP%20works%5C%5CRAN1-107%5C%5Ctdoc%5C%5CR1-2112880.zip) Draft LS on PRS processing window Moderator (Huawei)Final LS is endorsed in [R1-2112881](file:///D%3A%5C%5Cworks%5C%5C1.3GPP%20works%5C%5CRAN1-107%5C%5Ctdoc%5C%5CR1-2112881.zip). [R1-2112882](file:///D%3A%5C%5Cworks%5C%5C1.3GPP%20works%5C%5CRAN1-107%5C%5Ctdoc%5C%5CR1-2112882.zip) Draft LS on the condition of PRS measurement outside the MG Moderator (Huawei)Final LS is endorsed in [R1-2112883](file:///D%3A%5C%5Cworks%5C%5C1.3GPP%20works%5C%5CRAN1-107%5C%5Ctdoc%5C%5CR1-2112883.zip). |

## PRS processing window configuration parameters

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 6:*** PRS processing window configurations can be associated with one or more cells, and each PRS processing window is associated with an ID.
* PRS processing window activation and deactivation command are only applied to the current active BWP, and the starting slot and SCS are relative to the active BWP.

**Proposal 7:*** Processing type is needed if multiple types (UE capability 1A/1B/2) per band for a UE are supported.
* CC-ID(s) are needed for indicating the association of the PRS process window and cell (s).

**Proposal 8:*** The priority state indication of PRS for option 1 and option 2 can be included in the configuration of PRS processing window since it is used to indicate the PRS priority with other DL signal/channels within the PRS processing window.
 |
| ZTE [3] | **Proposal 1:** Further support the following parameters for PRS processing window indication from the gNB to UE,* Processing type (associated with the corresponding UE capability 1A/1B/2)
* Band/CC-ID at least for Capability 1B
* Positioning frequency layer ID in order to support a single positioning frequency layer in a PRS processing window
 |
| OPPO [4] | **Proposal 4:** No more parameters are needed for PRS processing window configuration. |
| CATT [5] | **Proposal 3:** The following parameters for PRS processing window from the gNB to the UE should be supported and added to higher layer parameters:* + Processing type (associated with the corresponding UE capability 1A/1B/2)
	+ Band –ID as needed depending on each scenario on which the PRS processing window is applied.
	+ The above cell and SCS information to determine where/when the PRS processing window is applied
 |
| NTT DOCOMO [6] | **Observation 1:** * Prior to discuss the necessity of parameter of processing type, we should discuss the following remaining issue in UE features agenda.
	+ Whether a UE can support multiple types or not.
* If RAN1 discuss Band/CC-ID for PRS processing window, not only the necessity of parameter but also the design of PRSProcessingWindow should be considered.
 |
| Nokia, NSB [8] | **Proposal 7**: Do not support processing type, band/CC-ID, or additional cell and SCS information as parameters for the PPW. |
| IDC [10] | **Proposal 1:** A PRS processing window is configured per UE |
| CMCC [11] | **Proposal 1:** Support the following parameters for PRS processing window from the gNB to the UE:* + Processing type (associated with the corresponding UE capability 1A/1B/2), at least for UE supports multiple capabilities 1A/1B/2;
	+ Band/CC-ID as needed depending on each scenario on which the PRS processing window is applied.
	+ It is up to RAN2 to decide the deactivation procedure of the PRS processing window.
 |
| Xiaomi [12] | **Proposal 1:** on parameters for PRS processing window from the gNB to the UE* Not support ‘processing type’ since reporting only one UE capability is preferred.
* Support ‘band ID’ for Cap. 1B only.
 |
| LGE [15] | **Proposal #2:** * Regarding details of configuration for PPW, RAN1 should consider/adopt reusing the way of configuration for MG (e.g. parameters for MG configuration).

**Proposal #3:** * If RAN1 supports reusing the parameter for MG configuration to PPW configuration, the followings should be considered:
	+ ‘Start subframe’ instead of ‘starting slot’ for PRS Processing Window configuration.
	+ ‘Timing advance’ to guarantee RF retuning time in the consideration that PPW starts at the time as SMTC window like as mgta for MG configuration.
 |
| Ericsson [16] | **Proposal 1** The initial configuration of the PRS processing window is sent over RRC signaling. For reconfigurations / PPW update, it is up to RAN2 to decide if a MAC CE is beneficial or if RRC reconfiguration is sufficient.**Proposal 3** For UE declaring capability 1A or 1B, the PRS priority is set at the PPW level in the PPW signaling from the gNB to the UE.**Proposal 4** For PRS priority indication for Ues with capability 2, discuss and downselect which among the following priority indication granularities to be supported:a. PPW level: all PRSs present in the PPW are set to the same priority (a single priority is indicated at the PPW level)b. PFL level: all PRSs present in the PPW and belonging to the same PFL are set to the same priority (PRSs in each PFL are indicated with one priority)c. Resource set level: all PRSs present in the PPW and belonging to the same PFL and the same PRS resource set are set to the same priority (PRSs in same PFL and same PRS resource set are indicated with one priority)d. PRS resource level: each PRSs present in the PPW are individually indicated a priority setting. |

**FL comments**

Configuration hierarchy

* Per UE
	+ Supported by: vivo [2]?, IDC [10]
* DCM commented that the design of PRSProcessingWindow should be first considered in determining the necessity of band/CC ID.
* RAN2 also agreed that whether PRS processing window configuration is per BWP or not is up to RAN1 to decide.

Agreements:

Proposal 7: The PRS processing window configuration is provided via RRCReconfiguration message. Whether PRS processing window configuration is provided per BWP or not is up to RAN1 to decide.

* FL understands that per BWP configuration of PRS processing seems more align with the intention of introducing PRS processing window in the first place, i.e. to measure the PRS that is overlapped with the active DL BWP, and also the numerology and cell information can be derived from the associated BWP.

Processing type

* Supported by: vivo [2], ZTE [3], CATT [5]
* Not supported by: OPPO [4], Nokia [8], Xiaomi [12]
* DCM commented that processing type depends on UE capability of supporting multiple types

CC ID

* Supported by: vivo [2], ZTE [3]
* Not supported by: OPPO [4], Nokia [8]

Band ID

* Supported by ZTE [2], Xiaomi [12]
* Not supported by: OPPO [4], Nokia [8]

Positioning frequency layer ID

* Supported by: ZTE [3]

LGE commented that the configuration parameter of PRS processing window should be aligned with MG, e.g. changing starting slot to starting subframe.

Ericsson commented that the PRS priority can be PPW-level for type 1A and 1B, but can PPW level, PFL level, resource set level, resource level for type 2.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.1.1-1

* RAN1 to discuss whether PRS processing window is configured
	+ Option 1: Per UE (Similar to MG configuration)
	+ Option 2: Per BWP
	+ Option 3: Other

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Option 2 | Option 2 is easier as PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS is also configured per BWP. In such case, priority state configuration has more flexibility as in one BWP PRS can be prioritized and in another BWP PDCCH can be prioritized.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Proposal 3.1.1-2

* RAN1 to discuss whether additional parameter needed.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Processing type** | **CC ID** | **Band ID** | **Positioning frequency layer ID** |
| ZTE | Depends on if UE can support more than one of {type 1A, 1B, 2} | Yes | NO if CC ID is included. Yes if CC ID is not included | YesWe think support of single PFL measurement is sufficient in a measurement window |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

### Proposal 3.1.1-3

* RAN1 to discuss whether the priority indication for the PRS in the PRS processing window is
	+ Option 1: Per PRS processing window
	+ Option 2: Per positioning frequency layer within the target PRS processing window
	+ Option 3: Per DL PRS resource set within the target PRS processing window
	+ Option 4: Per DL PRS resource within the target PRS processing window

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | 1 | Option 2, 3, 4 will cause more complexity for latency requirements and need standard effort. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## PRS processing window activation/deactivation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| OPPO [4] | Proposal 5: Support the following two options for PRS processing window deactivation:* Option 1: gNB use a DL MAC CE to deactivate one PRS processing window and the UE can use a UL MAC CE to request deactivation
* Option 2: Each activated PRS processing is associated with a repetition number and when the indicated repetition number is reached, the activated PRS processing window stops
 |
| SONY [7] | **Proposal 1:** Support UE requests PRS processing window from serving gNB to enable low-latency UE-based positioning**Proposal 2:** UE can provide assistance information (UAI) indicating serving gNB that the UE is capable to perform positioning outside the measurement gap. Subsequently, serving gNB can provide the response whether the UE is allowed to perform positioning measurement in certain time duration (e.g., only during periodic PRS transmission). |
| Nokia, NSB [8] | **Proposal 2**: Consider implicit deactivation of the MG (or PPW) when the UE can only make PRS measurement either inside or outside the MG. |
| IDC [10] | **Proposal 3:** UL MAC CE based request for a PRS processing window by the UE to the gNB is not supported |
| CMCC [11] | **Proposal 2:** PRS processing window request to the gNB by the UE is supported.* + Use UL MAC-CE for PRS processing window activation request.
 |
| Qualcomm [14] | **Proposal 2:** For PRS processing window (PPW) activation request, support using an UL MAC CE for the UE to request one of the RRC (pre-)configured PRS processing windows. * The information in the UL MAC CE for PPW activation request by the UE can be one ID associated with the preconfiguration of the PPW
 |

**FL comments**

UL MAC CE based PRS processing window activation/deactivation request

* Supported by: OPPO [4], SONY [7], CMCC [11], Qualcomm [14]
* Not supported by: IDC [10]

This issue has been discussed in the previous meeting, and there were concerns on the benefit, resulting in no consensus. It is not clear whether companies changed their position in this meeting on this topic.

For Option 2 proposed by OPPO [4], this situation can be evaluated based on the discussion on MG deactivation process in section 2.1.

For the implicit deactivation of MG (or PPW) proposed by Nokia [8], the understanding from the FL is that this addresses the concurrent activated MG/PPW, in which UE may choose to use either. However this procedure can be somehow left up to UE implementation, since both MG activation and PPW activation are provided by gNB.

### Round

### Proposal 3.2.1-1

* Support PRS processing window activation request and deactivation request via UL MAC CE.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Priority with SSB

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| OPPO [4] | **Proposal 3:** For processing PRS outside MG, the gNB can indicate whether PRS has higher priority than serving cell SSB. |
| CATT [5] | **Proposal 1:** NCD-SSB and SSB in SMTC can be treated to be the same way as all PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS within the PRS processing window for PRS measurement outside MG.**Proposal 2:** CD-SSB always has higher priority than DL-PRS. |
| IDC [10] | **Proposal 2:** SSB from the serving cell always has higher priority than PRS during a PRS processing window |
| Xiaomi [12] | **Proposal 2:** Prefer higher priority for SSB than PRS. |
| Samsung [13] | **Proposal 1:** The priority between PRS resource and SSB can be high, low and equal inside the PRS processing window subject to UE capability.**Proposal 2:** When SSB and PRS has equal priority, it’s up to UE implementation which one(s) to be measured. |

**FL comment**

With regards the priority of SSB, most companies in the previous meetings suggested that this can be done by RAN4. It is also FL understanding that RAN4 is generally considering the CSSF mechanism between PRS RRM and SSB RRM.

Different terminologies of SSB were used across contributions, and in order to check the position among companies on SSB, the FL listed the SSB in the finest granularity and requests companies to check the view.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.3.1-1

* Companies are encouraged to check the view on whether they are interested in determining the priority between PRS and the following SSB.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **PCell SSB (CD-SSB)**From initial access/ServingCellConfigCommonSIB or configured by ServingCellConfigCommon | **SCell SSB (CD or non-CD-SSB)**Configured by ServingCellConfigCommon | **Neighbour cell SSB (CD or non-CD-SSB)** |
| **SSB acquiring MIB/SIB1** | **RLM-SSB/BFD-SSB** | **BM-SSB** | **BFD-SSB** | **BM-SSB** | **Neighbour cell SSB detected in SMTC** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PRS collision detection timeline

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon [1] | **Proposal 2:** Agree with the following UE behaviour for both high priority PRS and low priority PRS.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Case 1: PRS measurement is of higher priority  | Case 2: PRS measurement is of lower priority |
| 1A | UE is not expected to receive the DL signals and channels within the PRS processing window on all serving cells including SCG. | UE is not expected to receive the scheduled DL signals/channels in the PRS processing window on all serving cells including SCG, if the corresponding DCI is later than a threshold before the start of the PRS processing window and there is no DL signals/channels configured during the PRS processing window or scheduled during the PRS processing window with DCI earlier than a threshold before the start of the PRS processing window on any serving cell including SCG; otherwise the UE is not expected to receive the DL PRS within the PRS processing window. |
| 1B | UE is not expected to receive the DL signals/channels within a PRS processing window on the serving cells in the same band as the DL PRS. | UE is not expected to receive the scheduled DL signals/channels in the PRS processing window on the serving cells in the same band as the DL PRS, if the corresponding DCI is later than a threshold before the start of the PRS processing window and there is no DL signals/channels configured during the PRS processing window or scheduled during the PRS processing window with DCI earlier than a threshold before the start of the PRS processing window on serving cells in the same band as the DL PRS; otherwise the UE is not expected to receive the DL PRS within the PRS processing window. |
| 2 | UE is not expected to receive any DL signals/channels on a DL PRS symbol within the PRS processing window on the impacted serving cells | if the DL PRS is lower priority than the DL signals and channels, UE is not expected to receive the scheduled DL signals/channels on the DL PRS symbols on the impacted serving cells, if the corresponding DCI is later than a threshold before the symbol and there is no DL signals/channels configured on the symbol on the impacted serving cells; otherwise the UE is not expected to receive the DL PRS on the symbol within the PRS processing window |

 |
| Nokia [8] | **Proposal 5**: Add a buffer between the PDCCH and PRS in some cases of UE measurement of PRS outside the MG (e.g., for capability 2, state 2 of option 2 priority). |
| CMCC [11] | **Proposal 3:** Support to define the collision detection timeline to avoid the gNB dynamically schedules a PDCCH too close to the starting time of a PRS processing window. |
| Qualcomm [14] | **Proposal 8:** For an activated PRS processing window starting in symbol $N\_{c\_{1}}$ of carrier $c\_{1}$ and a conflicting transmission in carrier $c\_{2}$ starting in symbol$ N\_{c\_{2}}$, the UE shall apply the prioritization / dropping between the PRS and the conflict transmission taking into account:* DCI(s) for which the time interval between the last symbol of PDCCH and $N\_{c\_{1}}$ is at least$ N\_{2} $symbols, and the time interval between the last symbol of PDCCH and $N\_{c\_{2}}$ is at least $ N\_{2}$ symbols,

wherein the time interval unit of OFDM symbol is counted based on the smaller subcarrier spacing across $c\_{1}, c\_{2}$ and the corresponding scheduling cell of $c\_{2}.$**Proposal 9:** For an activated PRS processing window starting in symbol $N\_{c\_{1}}$ of carrier $c\_{1}$ and a conflicting transmission in carrier $c\_{2}$ starting in symbol$ N\_{c\_{2}}$, the UE shall apply the prioritization / dropping between the PRS and the conflict transmission taking into account:* DL channels & signals considered active at least $N\_{2}$ before $N\_{c\_{1}}$ and at least $N\_{2}$ symbols before $N\_{c\_{2}}$,

wherein the time interval unit of OFDM symbol is counted based on the smaller subcarrier spacing across $c\_{1}, c\_{2}$ and the corresponding scheduling cell of $c\_{2}$. |

**FL comment**

This has been proposed in RAN1#107-e, but due to the pressing need to complete the WI, was deprioritized. From the contribution, it appears that Huawei [1], Nokia [8], CMCC [11], Qualcomm [14] tend to agree to introduce this PRS collision detection timeline.

The difference is that

* Huawei [1] think that the collision detection timeline should only be considered for PRS being lower priority than data, and the impact is only limited to PDCCH used for dynamic scheduling.
* Nokia [8] think that the collision detection timeline should target PRS being lower priority than PDCCH and URLLC data for capability 2.
* CMCC [11] also think that collision detection timeline is about PDCCH dynamic schedule.
* Qualcomm [14] considers dynamic scheduled DL signals/channels [Proposal 8] and semi-persistent or configured DL signals/channels [Proposal 9?]. Qualcomm also consider potential impact due to PRS and DL signals/channels on different CCs.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.4.1-1

* RAN1 to discuss whether the PRS collision detection timeline should be defined
* RAN1 to discuss the circumstances to apply the timeline if the timeline is to be defined.
	+ Q1: Should the timeline apply when PRS may be lower priority than data, e.g. lower than PDCCH and URLLC data (state 2 of option 2), or lower than all data (state 2 of option 1 or state 3 of option 2)?
	+ Q2: Should the timeline only concern PDDCH that dynamically schedules DL signals/channels in the PRS processing window or also the semi-persistent/configured DL signals/channels in the PRS processing window?
	+ Q3: Should the timeline apply to all PRS processing window capability types (1A, 1B, 2)?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments**Including answers to Q1/Q2/Q3 |
| ZTE | Yes  | We tend to agree QC, the timeline is quite similar as SRS carrier switching as priority comparison should be done between PRS and PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS per band level for type 1B, per UE level for type 1A and per CC/band level for type 2. Hence, we can borrow the similar description from SRS carrier switching priority timeline. However, we agree Q1 that it is sufficient to consider the timeline only if PRS is lower priority than data. For Q2, semi-persistent PDSCH/CSI-RS should also be considered. For Q3, we think all capability types should be considered.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Low latency PRS processing capability

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon [1] | **Proposal 3:** For PRS processing window, at least the existing PRS duration calculation and signaling structure for PRS processing capability are reused. (FG 27-3-3)* The changes to the existing FG 27-3-3 in R1-2200764 should be reverted.

**Proposal 4:** For PRS processing window with advance low latency feature* Introduce an indication from network to enable the following operation that
	+ UE may only measure the first N ms PRS within a PRS processing window
	+ For processing type 1A and 1B, UE expects that the PRS processing window covers T-N ms after the last symbol of the first N ms PRS.
* Introduce a new optional UE feature group with prerequisite FG being the original FG 27-3-3.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 27. NR\_pos\_enh | 27-3-3a | DL PRS Processing Capability outside MG – Advanced buffering capability | 1. DL PRS buffering capability: Type 1 or Type 2a) Type 1 – sub-slot/symbol level bufferingb) Type 2 – slot level buffering2. Duration of DL PRS symbols N in units of ms a UE can process every T ms assuming maximum DL PRS bandwidth in MHz, which is supported and reported by UE s, wherea) T: {1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 20, 30, 40, 80, 160, 320, 640, 1280} msb) N: {0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 20, 25, 30, 32, 35, 40, 45, 50} ms3. Max number of DL PRS resources that UE can process in a slot under ita) FR1 bands: {1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 24, 32, 48, 64} for each SCS: 15kHz, 30kHz, 60kHzb) FR2 bands: {1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 24, 32, 48, 64} for each SCS: 60kHz, 120kHzNote: UE that supports this feature and supports type 1A or type 1B capability processing in FG 27-3-2, may be indicated by the network to only process the first N ms PRS within the PRS processing window that extends T-N ms after the last symbol of the first N ms PRS | 27-3-3 |

 |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 12:*** The processing optimization of the PRS processing window is not supported (e.g. no corresponding enhancement for splitting MG into two windows).
 |
| ZTE [3] | **Proposal 2**: For the PRS processing capability in a PRS processing window, UE has to report its capability with at least of the combination {N, T}, * During the first part of the window with duration of L-(T-N) msec, up to N msec of PRS symbols are expected to be buffered, where L is the duration of the PRS processing window, and (N,T) is the reported capability for MG-less PRS processing.
* The UE is expected to be capable of reporting measurements derived on the PRS measured in the first window after T-N msec from the end of first part of the PRS processing window
* UE is not expected to be configured a PRS processing window with duration smaller than (T-N) msec
 |
| Samsung [13] | **Proposal 4:** The UE is expected to report measurement results derived on the PRS measured at the end of the PRS processing window. |
| Qualcomm [14] | **Proposal 4:** With regards to the processing window for MG-less Processing support the following (Alt. 1 in the previous discussion): * Maximum duration of DL PRS symbols N in units of ms a UE can process in the first part of a PRS processing window assuming maximum DL PRS bandwidth in MHz, such that the UE is capable of reporting the measurements T-N ms after the last PRS symbol where
	+ N: {0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12} ms
	+ T: {N+4, N+5, N+6, N+8} ms
* Note: The UE is expected to be capable of reporting measurements derived on the PRS measured in the first window after T msec from the end of first part of the PRS processing window
 |

**FL comment**

This issue has been discussed for a couple meetings, but no consensus was reached.

Huawei [1] suggested that the basic operation of PRS measurement outside MG should be defined based on the Rel-16 (N, T) structure, while a new low latency operation of PRS measurement outside MGs could be defined as an add-on feature stressing that key enhancements against Rel-16 should be that UE is only required to process the first N ms PRS within a PRS processing window, and that window length extends to post-buffer processing period only for type 1A and type 1B processing.

ZTE [3] proposed the same functionality as in RAN1#107-e, that the up to N ms PRS within the first part of the PRS processing window of L-(T-N) ms are expected to be buffered/processed by the UE, and post-buffering period takes at most T-N ms, and UE should be able to report the measurement. The minimum PRS processing window length is T-N ms.

Qualcomm [14] proposed to support the previous Alt.1 (R1-2112459), and define the corresponding capability by citing this operation and modify T in relation to N.

|  |
| --- |
| * + Alt.1
		- During the first part of the window with duration of [at least] L-(T-N) or (L-T) msec, up to N msec of PRS symbols are expected to be buffered, where L is the duration of the PRS processing window, and (N,T) is the reported capability for MG-less PRS processing.
		- The UE is expected to be capable of reporting measurements derived on the PRS measured in the first window after T msec from the end of first part of the PRS processing window
		- UE is not expected to be configured a PRS processing window with duration smaller than T (i.e., L>(T-N) or L>T
 |

Samsung [13] mentioned that UE should be able to report the measurement at the end of the PRS processing window.

vivo [2] do not support such an enhancement.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.5.1-1

* RAN1 to discuss whether and how the low latency PRS processing capability are defined.
	+ Alt.1
		- During the first part of the window with duration of L-(T-N) msec, up to N msec of PRS symbols are expected to be buffered, where L is the duration of the PRS processing window, and (N,T) is the reported capability for MG-less PRS processing.
		- The UE is expected to be capable of reporting measurements derived on the PRS measured in the first window after T msec from the end of first part of the PRS processing window
		- UE is not expected to be configured a PRS processing window with duration smaller than T-N, i.e., L>(T-N)
	+ Alt.2
		- Introduce an optional UE feature to support an indication from network to enable the following operation that
			* UE may only measure the first N ms PRS within a PRS processing window
			* For processing type 1A and 1B, UE expects that the PRS processing window covers T-N ms after the last symbol of the first N ms PRS.
	+ Atl.3
		- No enhancements of low latency PRS processing capability is defined
	+ FFS new (N, T) values in the capability signaling

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alt** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | 1 | We don’t know what Alt 3 is. There is no PRS processing capability within PPW in legacy release. If we go for Alt 3, that is, UE is not able to process PRS in PPW. Alt 2 is not aligned with the motivation of PPW especially for type 1A and 1B in which all other DL signals may be dropped even PRS is not overlapped with them. As this issue has been discussed for several meetings, perhaps, we can consider support of both. Alternatively, support Alt 1 for some small value of N, and Alt 2 is used otherwise.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Fallback operation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| ZTE [3] | **Proposal 3:** UE performs PRS measurement following the measurement period defined in Rel-16 when the conditions for PRS processing window are not met. |
| SONY [7] | **Proposal 3:** In case the conditions for the MG-less measurement are not met, the UE dropped the positioning measurement.**Proposal 4:** Define UE behaviour when positioning measurement (outside measurement gap) cannot be satisfied due to interruption event.**Proposal 5:** Support a UE to provide positioning measurement report based on the partial reception of PRS resource(s) in case there is an interruption (e.g. BWP switching) during PRS processing window. |
| Nokia, NSB [8] | **Proposal 1**: RAN1 to discuss if a UE should make measurements both inside the MG and outside a MG in the same measurement report. **Proposal 3**: Specify a fallback method for the UE to switch from PRS measurement outside of MG to MG-based if the UE drops enough PRS.  |
| CMCC [11] | **Proposal 4:** Support the UE fallback to MG-based PRS measurement for the PRS not satisfying the conditions.* Note: The UE may keep measuring the PRS satisfying the conditions outside MG.
 |

**FL comment**

The proposals seemed to have been mentioned for a couple of meetings. Given that the overall structure of MG-based PRS measurement and MG-less PRS measurement is already quite clear, it is suggested to review whether the enhancements in the proposals are essential or not.

From the FL point of view, we haven’t decided whether the PRS processing window activation request can be sent by the UE. If not, it appears that network configures and activates the PRS processing window in light of that network understands that UE can do PRS measurement outside MG and network expects UE to do so. It is not clear with this, why any further action at UE is required.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.6.1-1

* RAN1 to discuss the following issues of fallback operations
	+ Conditions of fallback
		- C1: conditions of PRS processing windows are not met
		- C2: interruption event, e.g. BWP switching
		- C3: UE drops enough PRS
	+ Result of fallback
		- R1: Switch to MG-based measurement
		- R2: Drop the positioning measurement
		- R3: Perform both MG-based measurement and MG-less measurement

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments**Including comments to conditions (C1,C2,C3) and results (R1,R2,R3) |
| ZTE | Yes | * RAN1 needs to discuss **whether both MG and PPW can be configured/activated to UE concurrently**. Our view is Yes as only PPW is not stable. PPW may not work sometimes because of BWP switching, dynamic SFI, etc. Hence, if PPW is not available anymore, MG should still be used in order to satisfy the positioning requirement.
* As the processing capabilities for MG and PPW may not be the same, we think the latency requirement / response times should be also configured independently.
 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Type 2 capability details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon [1] | **Proposal 1:** * For capability 2 as per working assumption made in RAN1#106-e
	+ For FR1 bands, the DL signals/channels from the target CC that contains the PRS inside the PRS processing window are dropped if the DL PRS is determined to be higher priority.
	+ For FR2 bands, the DL signals/channels from all the CCs on the band that contains the PRS inside PRS processing window are dropped if the DL PRS is determined to be higher priority.
* For capability 1B and capability 2, whether or not UE may indicate for each target FR2 band, a set of bands on which reception of the DL signals/channels may be interrupted due to a common Rx beam is up to RAN4.
 |
| Vivo [2] | **Proposal 10:*** For capability 2, the DL signals/channels from certain DL CCs are affected if the DL PRS is determined to be higher priority.

**Proposal 11:*** The additional enhancement for the single beam receiving in FR2 is not introduced in Rel -17 since it can be solved by UE directly indicating that capability 1B is not supported if only a single beam can be supported in FR2.
 |
| Qualcomm [14] | **Proposal 3:** For Type-1B, and Type-2 MG-less PRS processing, a UE should be able to signal whether the MG-less PRS processing in one FR2 band, impacts the downlink receiving in another FR2 band.  |

**FL comment:**

This is the last remaining issue from the working assumption from RAN1#106-e.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.7.1-1

* RAN1 to discuss the impacted CCs when PRS is high priority than data for capability 2
	+ Option 1: Only the target CC that contains the PRS/PRS processing window
	+ Option 2: All CCs within the band that contains the PRS

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | 1 | Option 1 is more preferred as it less impacts data transmission  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Proposal 3.7.1-2

* RAN1 to discuss the other impacted FR2 bands when PRS on a certain FR2 band is higher priority than data for capability 1B and 2
	+ Option 1: RAN1 to define signaling from UE
	+ Option 2: Leave up to RAN4 to decide

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | 2 | We don’t have strong view on this point and slightly prefer Option 2.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Multiple processing types per band

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon [1] | **Proposal 5:** Do not support the capability reporting enhancement to allow UE to report support of multiple processing types among 1A, 1B, and 2. |
| NTT DOCOMO [6] | **Observation 1:** * Prior to discuss the necessity of parameter of processing type, we should discuss the following remaining issue in UE features agenda.
	+ Whether a UE can support multiple types or not.
* If RAN1 discuss Band/CC-ID for PRS processing window, not only the necessity of parameter but also the design of PRSProcessingWindow should be considered.
 |
| Qualcomm [14] | **Proposal 2:** A UE should be able to report multiple of the Type-1A, Type-1B, Type-2 MG-less PRS processing capabilities, each one associated with a different PRS processing capability, to the LMF. * Note: It will be network’s decision which type of PPW shall be activated
 |

**FL comment:**

This has been discussed for a couple of meetings, even in the UE feature thread.

There was a typo in Huawei’s proposal.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.8.1-1

* RAN1 to discuss whether UE may indicate support of more than one processing types on a band on which it supports PRS processing outside the MG inside the PRS processing window.
	+ Alt.1: 1
	+ Alt.2: >1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alt** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Alt. 2 | If Alt.2 is supported, the processing capabilities should be separate for different types.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Rx timing difference

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 9:*** One or multiple values ( CP length, 50% of the OFDM symbol, 1ms) can be supported based on the UE capability for the threshold of Rx timing difference.
 |
| Nokia, NSB [8] | **Proposal 4**: Enable UE to use local estimate of ExpectedRSTD for comparing the received time difference with the threshold for measurement outside of MG. |
| Ericsson [16] | **Proposal 5** The threshold to determine whether the PRS from the non-serving cell satisfy the condition of PRS measurement outside MG is only applicable for UEs with capability 2. |

**FL comments**

With regards to the proposal from vivo [2], RAN4 seemed to have discussed the LS to RAN1 regarding defining the thresholds as a UE capability, which was not approved in the end.

For the proposal from Nokia [8], the understanding from the FL is that it may actually require UE to measure the target PRS to get the “local estimate of Expected RSTD” in order to determine whether Rx timing difference is within the threshold.

For the proposal from Ericsson [16], the understanding from the FL is that although the discussion earlier implies the “synchronization threshold” should be applicable to all capabilities, other companies may have a second thought for that.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.9.1-1

* RAN1 to discuss whether to progress on the following aspects for Rx timing difference to determine the condition of PRS measurement outside MG.
	+ Q1: Whether the threshold can be UE capability
	+ Q2: Whether the Rx timing difference can be calculated based on local estimate of Expected RSTD
	+ Q3: Whether the threshold only applies to the UE with capability 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | No | We prefer to wait for RAN4’s consensus.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Maximum number of preconfigured PRS processing window

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 13:*** The maximum of PRS Processing Windows can be 16.
* The concurrent PRS processing window is not supported.
 |

**FL comments**

This may also be related on the PRS processing configuration details. For example, whether the PRS processing window is configured per UE or per BWP (as mentioned in RAN2)

Agreements:

Proposal 7: The PRS processing window configuration is provided via RRCReconfiguration message. Whether PRS processing window configuration is provided per BWP or not is up to RAN1 to decide.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.10.1-1

* The maximum number of preconfigured PRS processing windows is 16
	+ Option 1: Per UE
	+ Option 2: Per BWP

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| ZTE |  | Is this the same proposal as Proposal 3.1.1-1? if So, we can discuss them together. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Maximum number of PRS processing window per activation/deactivation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 13:*** The maximum of PRS Processing Windows can be 16.
* The concurrent PRS processing window is not supported.
 |
| Qualcomm [14] | **Proposal 6:** Simultaneously processing of multiple PRS processing windows on different CCs/Bands is not supported for the MG-less PRS processing feature: The UE is not expected to be activated with multiple PRS processing windows that overlap.  |

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.11.1-1

* The maximum number of PRS processing windows per activation/deactivation is 1

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Number of PFLs in an instance of a PRS processing window

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| Qualcomm [14] | **Proposal 5:** Inside each single instance of a PRS processing window, a single PFL can be measured. This is applicable to all Types of MG-less PRS processing.**Proposal 6:** Simultaneously processing of multiple PRS processing windows on different CCs/Bands is not supported for the MG-less PRS processing feature: The UE is not expected to be activated with multiple PRS processing windows that overlap.  |

**FL comment**

Proposal 5 from Qualcomm [14] is a reasonable assumption.

Proposal 6 from Qualcomm [15] seems a little bit unclear in that the first part is aligned with Proposal 5, but the second part seemed not aligned with the first part. Even if the PRS processing windows associated with different positioning frequency layers overlap, UE may still only be required to process one at a time. It appears that more discussion and clarification is needed.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.12.1-1

* Inside each single instance of a PRS processing window, a single PFL can be measured. This is applicable to all Types of MG-less PRS processing.
* RAN1 to further discuss whether additional restriction on the overlapping between the activated PRS processing windows associated with PRS from different positioning frequency layers.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments**Including additional restriction on the overlapping between the activated PRS processing windows. |
| ZTE | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Text proposal

The following TPs were provided.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Text proposals** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon [1] | **TP1**=================== START of TP ===================The UE is expected to measure the DL PRS outside the measurement gap, subject to UE capability, if the DL PRS is inside the active DL BWP and has the same numerology as the active DL BWP and is within the DL PRS processing window indicated by higher layer parameter [*PRSProcessingWindow*]. For receiving the DL PRS outside the measurement gap and within the DL PRS processing window, the UE may be indicated by higher layer parameter [*PRS-priority-indicator*] subject to UE capability that- the DL PRS is higher priority than all the DL signal/channels except SSB, or - the DL PRS is lower priority than PDCCH and the PDSCH scheduled by DCI formats 1\_1 or 1\_2 with the priority indicator field in the corresponding DCI format set to 1, and is higher priority than other DL signals/channels except SSB, or- the DL PRS is lower priority than all the DL signals/channels except SSB.When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS outside the measurement gap and is indicated by the higher layer parameter [*ProcessingType*] for Type-1A processing- if the DL PRS is higher priority than the DL signals and channels, the UE is not expected to receive the DL signals and channels within the PRS processing window on all serving cells including SCG;- if the DL PRS is lower priority than the DL signals and channels, the UE is not expected to receive the scheduled DL signals/channels in the PRS processing window on all serving cells including SCG, if the corresponding DCI is later than [*SchedulingThresholdBeforePPW*] before the start of the PRS processing window and there is no DL signals/channels configured during the PRS processing window or scheduled during the PRS processing window with DCI earlier than [*SchedulingThresholdBeforePPW*] before the start of the PRS processing window on any serving cell including SCG; otherwise the UE is not expected to receive the DL PRS within the PRS processing window.When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS outside the measurement gap and is indicated by the higher layer parameter [*ProcessingType*] for Type-1B processing- if the DL PRS is higher priority than the DL signals and channels, the UE is not expected to receive the DL signals/channels within a PRS processing window on the serving cells in the same band as the DL PRS;- if the DL PRS is lower priority than the DL signals and channels, the UE is not expected to receive the scheduled DL signals/channels in the PRS processing window on the serving cells in the same band as the DL PRS, if the corresponding DCI is later than [*SchedulingThresholdBeforePPW*] before the start of the PRS processing window and there is no DL signals/channels configured during the PRS processing window or scheduled during the PRS processing window with DCI earlier than [*SchedulingThresholdBeforePPW*] before the start of the PRS processing window on serving cells in the same band as the DL PRS; otherwise the UE is not expected to receive the DL PRS within the PRS processing window.When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS outside the measurement gap and is indicated by the higher layer parameter [*ProcessingType*] for Type-2 processing- if the DL PRS is higher priority than the DL signals and channels, the UE is not expected to receive any DL signals/channels on a DL PRS symbol within the PRS processing window on the impacted serving cells;- if the DL PRS is lower priority than the DL signals and channels, UE is not expected to receive the scheduled DL signals/channels on the DL PRS symbols on the impacted serving cells, if the corresponding DCI is later than [*SchedulingThresholdBeforePPW*] before the symbol and there is no DL signals/channels configured on the symbol on the impacted serving cells; otherwise the UE is not expected to receive the DL PRS on the symbol within the PRS processing window;- The impacted serving cells refer to the serving cell with the active DL BWP that covers the DL PRS bandwidth and has the same numerology as the DL PRS for FR1, and the serving cells in the same band as the DL PRS for FR2.=================== END of TP =================== |
| CMCC [11] | **TP2**<omitted text>The UE is expected to measure the DL PRS outside the measurement gap, subject to UE capability, if the DL PRS is inside the active DL BWP and has the same numerology as the active DL BWP and is within the DL PRS processing window indicated by higher layer parameter [*PRSProcessingWindow*]. For receiving the DL PRS outside the measurement gap and within the DL PRS processing window, the UE determines the DL PRS priority with [other DL signals or channels except SSB] as indicated by higher layer parameter [*PRS-priority-indicator*] or as implied by UE capability. When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS outside the measurement gap if it is supporting [capability 1A] and if the DL PRS is determined to be higher priority than the DL signals and channels inside the PRS processing window, those DL signals and channels are not expected to be measured by the UE. When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS outside the measurement gap if it is supporting [capability 1B] and if the DL PRS is determined to be higher priority than the DL signals and channels inside the PRS processing window, those DL signals and channels in the same band as the DL PRS are not expected to be measured by the UE. When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS outside the measurement gap if it is supporting [capability 2] and if the DL PRS is determined to be higher priority than the DL signals and channels inside the PRS processing window, those DL signals and channels are not expected to be measured by the UE on the overlapped symbols with the DL PRS.<omitted text>**TP3**<omitted text>The UE is expected to measure the DL PRS resource outside the active DL BWP or with a numerology different from the numerology of the active DL BWP if the measurement is made during a configured measurement gap. When the UE is expected to measure the DL PRS resource, the UE may request a measurement gap via higher layer parameter *NR-PRS-MeasurementInfoList* [12, TS 38.331] or as specified in clause X of [10, TS 38.321]. The UE may be preconfigured with one or more measurement gaps each associated with an [ID]. When the UE requests activation or deactivation a measurement gap as specified in clause [X] of [10, TS 38.321]it can request one of the preconfigured measurement gaps by referring to the [ID]. The UE may have one of the preconfigured measurement gap(s) activated or deactived as specified in clause[X] of [10, TS 38.321].<omitted text> |

**FL comments**

The TP may be later discussed based on the existing progress.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.13.1-1

* The TPs are to be further checked after the progress during the meeting.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments**Including comments to the TP1, TP2, and TP3. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Others

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 14*** Support on-demand PRS configured/requested in a PRS processing window.
 |
| Nokia, NSB [8] | **Proposal 6:** RAN1 to support PRS processing outside of MG indicator as an additional parameter for UE-initiated on-demand DL PRS request. |
| Samsung [13] | **Proposal 3:** The default PRS priority state can be always higher than all PDCCH/PDSCH/CSI-RS. |
| Ericsson [16] | **Proposal 2** For UE declaring capability 1A or 1B, the LMF PPW request to the gNB includes the following:a. a way the gNB to identify the UE (details of which can be left to RAN2)b. PPW length, start and periodicity. |

**FL comment**

* The proposals from vivo [2] and Nokia [8] should be discussed in on-demand PRS agenda
* The proposal from Samsung [13] depends on RAN2 signaling design
* The proposal from Ericsson [16] depends on RAN3 discussion, which RAN1 agreed to leave up to RAN3.

### Round 1

### Proposal 3.14.1-1

* The suggestion from the FL is not to discuss those proposals.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments on the necessity of any specific proposal** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Other latency improvements features

## 1-sample PRS processing

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| OPPO [4] | Proposal 1: The LMF shall request the same M=1-sample or 4-sample measurement for all the positioning methods to one UE. The UE shall expect the same M=1-sample or 4-sample measurement to be performed on all positioning methods configured to the UE.  |
| CATT [5] | **Proposal 4:** Adopt option 1 for definition of numOfSamples-perMeasurement: a single numOfSamples-perMeasurement applies for all PFLs. |
| LGE [15] | **Proposal #1:** * For request location information, introduce a parameter for distinguishing between a specific case (e.g. 1<=M<4 sample(s)) and the normal case (e.g. 4 samples) which is accompanied in request location information. The parameter can be included in the following IEs:
	+ Common IEs for request location information (e.g. CommonIEsRequestLocationInformation)
	+ Positioning method specific IEs (e.g. NR-DL-TDOA-ProvideLocationInformation, NR-DL-AoD-ProvideLocationInformation, NR-Multi-RTT-ProvideLocationInformation, etc.)
 |

**FL comment**

The proposals from components seem useful clarification, however it is not clear whether RAN1 could make the decision.

### Round 1

### Proposal 4.1.1-1

* RAN1 to discuss
	+ Issue 1: Whether the M-sample indication is applicable
		- Alt.1: per UE that is for all concurrent NR positioning methods
		- Alt.2: per NR positioning method
	+ Issue 2: Whether the M-sample indication is applicable
		- Alt.1: for all positioning frequency layers
		- Alt.2: per positioning frequency layer

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alt** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Alt 1 for both | In our view, for some measurement, single operation may be implemented for different positioning methods for simplicity. Hence, Alt. 2 may not be preferred.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Reduced Rx beam sweeping factor

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| vivo [2] | **Proposal 15*** The Rx beam sweeping factor is determined by UE itself.
 |
| ZTE [17] | **1. Overall Description:**RAN1 would like to thank RAN4 for the Reply LS R1-2200899 (R4-2202678) on lower Rx beam sweeping factor for latency improvement. In RAN1, a new UE capability has been agreed for lower Rx beam sweeping factor, i.e. FG 27-9 listed in LS R1-2200780/ R1-2200781. However, the candidate values of lower Rx beam sweeping factor is still FFS. So RAN1 will adopt the candidate values {1, 2, 4, 6} provided from RAN4. Furthermore, RAN1 would like to support LMF signalling to configure UE performing measurements with a reduced Rx beam sweeping factor. The candidate values of the reduced Rx beam sweeping factor configured by LMF can be {1, 2, 4, 6} as well. **2. Actions:**RAN1 respectfully asks RAN4 to take the above information into account.  |

**FL comment**

The reply from RAN4 indicates that

* RAN4 will further study whether UE needs to be configured by LMF to perform measurements with a reduced Rx beam sweeping factor.

The understanding from the FL is that whether the Rx beam sweeping factor is determined by UE or indicated by LMF is up to RAN4 to decide.

### Round 1

### Proposal 4.2.1-1

* It is up to RAN4 to study whether the Rx beam sweeping factor is determined by the UE or indicated by the LMF.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| ZTE |  | If majority companies think this can be done by RAN4, we are fine.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## MAC CE activation/deactivation delay

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| Qualcomm [14] | **Proposal 1:** For a UE configured with preconfigured Measurement gap(s) for Positioning,* when a UE receives an activation command, as described in clause [6.1.3.X] of [10, TS 38.321], for a preconfigured Measurement Gap for Positioning activation, and when the UE would transmit a PUCCH with HARQ-ACK information in slot n corresponding to the PDSCH carrying the selection command, the corresponding actions in [10, TS 38.321] and the UE assumptions shall be applied starting from the first slot that is after slot $n+3N\_{slot}^{subframe,µ}$ where $μ$ is the SCS configuration for the PUCCH.

**Proposal 7:** For a UE configured with Positioning Processing Window(s),* when a UE receives an activation command, as described in clause [6.1.3.X] of [10, TS 38.321], for a PRS processing window activation, and when the UE would transmit a PUCCH with HARQ-ACK information in slot n corresponding to the PDSCH carrying the selection command, the corresponding actions in [10, TS 38.321] and the UE assumptions shall be applied starting from the first slot that is after slot $n+3N\_{slot}^{subframe,µ}$ where $μ$ is the SCS configuration for the PUCCH.
 |

**FL comments**

The proposals from Qualcomm [14] seems straightforward, and fit in the description of TS 38.214. However, it is not clear from the FL understanding whether the deactivation should also be added to the proposal.

### Round 1

### Proposal 4.3.1-1

* For a UE configured with preconfigured Measurement gap(s) for Positioning, when a UE receives an activation command, as described in clause [6.1.3.X] of [10, TS 38.321], for a preconfigured Measurement Gap for Positioning activation, and when the UE would transmit a PUCCH with HARQ-ACK information in slot n corresponding to the PDSCH carrying the selection command, the corresponding actions in [10, TS 38.321] and the UE assumptions shall be applied starting from the first slot that is after slot $n+3N\_{slot}^{subframe,µ}$ where $μ$ is the SCS configuration for the PUCCH.
* For a UE configured with Positioning Processing Window(s), when a UE receives an activation command, as described in clause [6.1.3.X] of [10, TS 38.321], for a PRS processing window activation, and when the UE would transmit a PUCCH with HARQ-ACK information in slot n corresponding to the PDSCH carrying the selection command, the corresponding actions in [10, TS 38.321] and the UE assumptions shall be applied starting from the first slot that is after slot $n+3N\_{slot}^{subframe,µ}$ where $μ$ is the SCS configuration for the PUCCH.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments**Including suggested wording in the TP, and whether to endorse the TP directly or leave it up to editor to incorporate the proposal/agreement in specification |
| ZTE | Yes in principle | The exact wording can be polished further or up to editor. For example, PUSCH may also be used for HARQ-ACK delivery.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Others

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Proposals** |
| ZTE [3] | **Proposal 4**: In order to balance the positioning latency and accuracy, LMF can configure two response times in the location information request,* UE is required to provide a first location information report before the first response time based on the measurements conducted in the PRS processing window.
* UE is required to provide a second location information report before the second response time, where the second location information doesn’t necessarily require UE to provide measurements conducted in the PRS processing window.

**Proposal 5:** In order to reduce UE measurement time of a location information report, LMF should be allowed to select a subset of DL PRS from DL PRS configured in ProvideAssistanceData message for UE to measure and report the location information, where the subset of DL PRS can be indicated in RequestLocationInformation message. |
| SONY [7] | **Proposal 6:** Support CG-PUSCH for positioning measurement report enhancements in order to reduce the latency.  |

**FL comment**

The above proposals are considered non-essential and have been discussed for a few meetings without consensus.

### Round 1

### Proposal 4.4.1-1

The suggestion from the FL is not to discuss those proposals.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments on the necessity of any specific proposal** |
| ZTE | The proposals from ZTE is related with section 3.6, i.e. if both MG and PPW can be configured concurrently. We can discuss these issue together.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# LS-in

## R1-2200889 Reply LS on latency improvement for PRS measurement with MG RAN2, Nokia

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Overall Description:**RAN2 thanks RAN1 for the LS on latency improvement for PRS measurement with MG. RAN2 discussed the signaling support for pre-configured measurement gap for positioning solution and reached the following conclusions/agreements. Please note that DL MAC CE can also be used for positioning measurement gap deactivation as well as activation.Agreements:The pre-configured Measurement Gap Configurations for Positioning are provided via *RRCReconfiguration* message. The pre-configured Measurement Gap Configurations for Positioning are included in IE *MeasGapConfig*.The content of the pre-configured Measurement Gap Configurations for Positioning includes at least the existing measurement gap parameters together with an ID identifying each Measurement Gap Configuration for Positioning.The existing RRC *LocationMeasurementIndication* procedure to request the positioning measurement gaps can still be used by a UE, even when pre-configured measurement gaps are provided to the UE.Agreements:A new UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation request is introduced. The new UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation request includes at least the ID of the pre-configured positioning measurement gap configuration for which the activation/deactivation is requested. Other parameter are FFS.A new DL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation command is introduced for positioning latency reduction.The new DL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation and deactivation command includes at least the ID of the pre-configured positioning measurement gap configuration which has been configured/activated by the gNB. Other parameter are FFS.The Scheduling Request should be triggered when there is no PUSCH and UL MAC CE for positioning measurement gap activation/deactivation request is triggered.Agreements:On the concurrent measurement gap, RAN2 wait for further input from RAN1/RAN4. - On the Network-Controlled Small Gap, RAN2 wait for further input from RAN1/RAN4. **2. Actions:****To RAN1/RAN4****ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks RAN1/RAN4 to take above agreements on pre-configured measurement gap for positioning into account. |

### Round

### Proposal 5.1.1-1

* It appears no reply LS in particular to the content is needed. The related RAN1 discussion is already included in section 2.1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments to the incoming LS** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## R1-2200899 Reply LS on lower Rx beam sweeping factor for latency improvement RAN4, CATT

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 Overall descriptionRAN4 thanks RAN1 for the LS on lower Rx beam sweeping factor for latency improvement. RAN4 discussed the issue and reached the following agreements:

|  |
| --- |
| * RAN4 confirm that it is feasible to introduce a new UE capability on lower Rx beam sweeping factor (<8) to reduce the PRS measurement latency for FR2 positioning frequency layers.
* Reduced Rx beam sweeping factor (<8) capability can be applicable without any additional conditions
	+ No impact on positioning measurement accuracy requirements for UEs supporting the capability
	+ Positioning measurement period requirements will be reduced for UEs supporting the capability
* The following Rx beam sweep numbers are supported for reduced Rx beam sweeping factor (<8) UE capability: {1, 2, 4, 6}.
* RAN4 will further study whether UE needs to be configured by LMF to perform measurements with a reduced Rx beam sweeping factor.
 |

RAN4 kindly asks RAN1 to take the above information into account in the following work on NR positioning enhancements. 2 Actions**To RAN WG1:** **ACTION:** RAN4 kindly asks RAN1 to take the above information into account in the following work on NR positioning enhancements.  |

### Round

### Proposal 5.2.1-1

* It appears no reply LS in particular to the content is needed. The related RAN1 discussion is already included in section 4.2.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments to the incoming LS** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion