3GPP TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #108 -e R1-22NNNN

e-Meeting, February 21st – March 3rd, 2022

Agenda Item: 8.5.3

Source: Moderator (Ericsson)

Title: FL summary #1 for AI 8.5.3 Accuracy improvements for DL-AoD positioning solutions

Document for: Discussion, Decision

1. Introduction

This FL summary documents the proposals and discussions for agenda item 8.5.3, based on the following chairman decision:

[108-e-R17-ePos-03] Email discussion for maintenance on accuracy improvements for DL-AoD positioning solutions – Florent (Ericsson)

* 1st check point: February 25
* Final check point: March 3

The FL proposals are based on submission to AI 8.5.3 [1-16] and treat the following aspects:

* Aspect #1 reporting of first path RSRP
	+ TOA reporting
	+ Normalization of the PRS RSRP
* Aspect #2 extension of number of reported RSRP measurements
	+ Value for max number of reported measurement
	+ RX beam considerations
* Aspect #3 Adjacent beam identification in AD and reporting by the UE
	+ LMF Request of a subset of PRS measurement related to a PRS measurement
	+ Indication of the subsets
	+ Prioritization of measurements
	+ Signalling of boresight information
* Aspect #4 Support of additional gnodeB beam information signalling
	+ Signalling of the beam information, representation of beam angle and power
* Aspect #5 AoD uncertainty window
* Aspect#6 2-step beam refinement
* Text proposals for corrections to the current specifications.

Additionally, the LS in R1-220905 is treated during this email discussion.

1. Aspects for discussion

##  Main discussion topics

### Aspect #1 Clarification for DL-PRS RSRPP (High priority)

#### Summary

#### Proposal 1.1 (definition of DL PRS RSRPP)

####  Summary of proposals

Regarding the definition of DL PRS-RSRPP, all received contributions In [1][6][7][12][16] agree to confirm the RAN4 assumption based on the LS R4-2202780 regarding the definition of path power. 2 TPs are received, with different rewordings of the definition.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [1] | ***Proposal 1: Adopt the following TP for DL PRS-RSRPP.***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5.1.35 DL PRS reference signal received path power (DL PRS-RSRPP)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Definition** | DL PRS reference signal received path power (DL PRS-RSRPP), is defined as the power (in [W]) of the linear average of the channel response compensated by the i-th path delay on the resource elements that carry the DL PRS signals, where DL PRS-RSRPP for 1st path delay is the power corresponding to the first detected path in time.For frequency range 1, the reference point for the DL PRS-RSRPP shall be the antenna connector of the UE. For frequency range 2, DL PRS-RSRPP shall be measured based on the combined signal from antenna elements corresponding to a given receiver branch. |
| **Applicable for** | RRC\_CONNECTED,RRC\_INACTIVE |

 |

***FL note: this is refered as TP1.1a in the proposal below*** |
| [6] | **Proposal 2**: The current PRS RSRPP definition needs modification considering RAN4 input. E.g.,* DL PRS reference signal received path power (DL PRS-RSRPP), is defined as the linear average over the power contributions of the resource elements that carry ~~of the received~~ DL PRS signal configured for the measurement at the i-th path delay of the channel response within the considered measurement frequency bandwidth, where ~~DL PRS-RSRPP for~~ the 1st path delay is the ~~power corresponding to the~~ first detected path in time.

FL note: this is refered as TP1.1b in the proposal below |
| [7] | Proposal 2* + **Confirm RAN4 understanding that the DL PRS-RSRPP is defined per path and per RE**
 |
| [12] | ***Proposal 1: RAN1 confirms that PRS-RSRPP should be defined as the path RSRP per RE.***  |
| [16] | Proposal 1: Confirm RAN4’s understanding in the first bullet of R4-2202780, clarifying that the path RSRP is an average for all REs carrying PRS for a given path.  |

####  First round of discussion

Since all proposals agree with RAN4’s LS view on the power definition for PRS RSRPP, it is proposed to discuss capturing the following:

 **Proposal 1.1 RAN1 confirms RAN4’s understanding from R4-2202780 regarding che DL-PRS-RSRPP definition. The DL PRS RSRPP is defined per path as a linear average of the path PRS power in REs occupied by the PRS.**

Regarding what TP to endorse, we can first gauge the preference of the group on the proposed TP. For this proposal as well as the proposal discussed as proposal 1.2, a reply LS to RAN4 should be discussed once the proposal have converged:

**Question 1.1: which of TP 1.1a or 1.1b should be endorsed, and with what (in any) modification)**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 1.1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

**Question 1.1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

#### Proposal 1.2 (normalization of the path RSRP measurement / differential reporting)

####  Summary of proposals

 The proposals discuss the following issues separate issues:

* Reporting of the first path DL PRS RSRPP for the main measurement:
	+ The report includes PRS RSRP and a differential DL PRS RSRPP is reported with PRS RSRP as reference [2][3][4][9][10][16]
	+ The report includes both PRS RSRP and PRS RSRPP [6] (no use of differential reporting for RSRPP)
	+ First path DL PRS RSRPP is reported standalone (without PRS RSRP) and as a absolute measurement (not relative to another measurement[12]).
	+ Support both options of differential and non-differential reporting of RSRPP [7]
	+ Proposals against: [12] [20]
* Reporting of the path RSRP for additional measurements and additional paths:
	+ The report uses relative reporting for additional path or measurements [5][12]
* The report indicates either RSRP or RSRPP is reported [11]
* Reporting range:
	+ Encoding and resolutions are discussed in [1][7]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [1] | ***Proposal 3: Support the following encoding scheme for DL PRS-RSRPP in DL-AoD.**** ***The main RSRPP value that serves as the reference for all the remaining RSRPP values is reported with the absolute value using 7 bits according to Table 10.1.24.3.1-1 of TS 38.133, which is the maximum RSRPP for the first path among all the reported DL PRS resources.***
* ***The remaining RSRPP values are reported with the single-sided differential value using 5 bits according to Table 10.1.24.3.2-1 of TS 38.133.***

***Proposal 6: Support the following encoding scheme for DL PRS-RSRPP in DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT.**** ***The main RSRPP values that serves as the reference for all the remaining RSRPP values (including the additional resource and additional paths) is reported with the absolute value using 7 bits according to Table 10.1.24.3.1-1 of TS 38.133, which is the RSRPP for the first path for a main DL PRS resource.***
* ***The remaining RSRPP values are reported with the double-sided differential value using 6 bits according to Table 10.1.24.3.2-2 of TS 38.133, including the additional paths for the main DL PRS resource, and the first path and additional paths for the other DL PRS resources.***
 |
| [2] | ***Proposal 2**** ***PRS-RSRP reporting is the prerequisite of the first path of the PRS resource:***
	+ ***Normalization: differential PRS-RSRPP reporting is reported as the difference in dB with respect to PRS-RSRP.***
	+ ***Same Rx branches as applied for PRS-RSRP measurement are used for PRS-RSRPP measurement.***
* ***Value {1} should be added to the candidate value of the maximum number of PRS-RSRPP.***
 |
| [3] | ***Proposal 1:*** *The path PRS RSRP of a DL PRS resource is reported relative to the corresponding DL PRS-RSRP at least for first detected path.* |
| [4] | Proposal 1: For each PRS RSRPP reporting, the UE reports the differential RSRP with reference to the RSRP of the corresponding PRS resource. |
| [5] | ***Proposal-6: When differential reporting is used, the reference measurement should be the absolute value of PRS-RSRPP of the strongest path.*** |
| [6] | **Proposal 3**: If the UE reports PRS RSRPP for the first path for a PRS resource, it should report it together with PRS-RSRP for the PRS resource. |
| [7] | Proposal 1* + **For both UE-based and UE-assisted DL-AOD positioning, the UE can be requested subject to UE capability to measure and report (for UE-assisted) the DL PRS-RSRPP of the first path using the following RX diversity options:**
		- **Option 1: if the DL PRS-RSRPP of the first path is reported only, then the DL PRS-RSRPP of the first path is reported using the absolute values**
		- **Option 2: if both DL PRS-RSRP and DL PRS-RSRPP of the first path are reported, then the DL PRS-RSRP is reported using the absolute values and the DL PRS-RSRPP of the first path is reported using the differential values, where the DL PRS-RSRP is selected as a reference measurement**
		- **The absolute values are reported in the range [-156 dBm, -31 dBm] with 1 dB resolution**
		- **The differential values are reported in the range [-30 dB, 0 dB] with 1 dB resolution**
 |
| [9] | **Proposal 1: From RAN1’s perspective, the relative power of DL PRS RSRPP to the DL PRS RSRP from the same DL PRS resource is reported.*** **Send LS to RAN2 and RAN4 to check in case they have concerns.**
 |
| [10] | *Proposal 1: Prefer to normalize the PRS-RSRPP with PRS RSRP for signaling overhead reduction.* |
| [11] | ***Proposal 1: An indicator of whether the report for PRS RSRP includes all the paths or the first arrival path only is supported.*** |
| [12] | ***Proposal 2: Support reporting first-path PRS-RSRPP by reusing absolute PRS-RSRP measurement report mapping for the first measurement (similar to PRS-RSRP in NR Rel-16).******Proposal 3: Support reporting first-path PRS-RSRPP for additional measurements by reusing differential PRS-RSRP measurement report mapping with respect to the first-path PRS-RSRPP of the first measurement (similar to the reporting of PRS-RSRP for the additional measurements in NR Rel-16).******Proposal 4: Support reporting additional path PRS-RSPP for a measurement (first or additional) by reusing differential reporting with respect to the PRS-RSRPP of the first-path of the measurement.*** |
| [16] | Proposal 2: Define the path DL PRS RSRP as the absolute power, without normalization.Proposal 3 In measurement reports, - The report includes differential path PRS RSRP and optionally DL PRS RSRP- Differential DL PRS-RSRPP is reported as the difference in dB with respect to the reference measurement DL PRS RSRP - If DL PRS RSRP is not included in the report, the gNB assumes the latest available DL PRS RSRP for the same PRS resource was used as reference measurement. Proposal 4 : Include DL PRS-RSRPP of the first path in NR DL-AoD Location Information alongside the existing DL PRS-RSRP measurement. Specifically, add it to the NR-DL-AoD-MeasElement IE and the NR-DL-AoD-AdditionalMeasurementElement IEProposal 5 : Send an LS to RAN2 and RAN4 regarding the use of differential DL PRS RSRPP together with DL PRS RSRP |

####  First round of discussion

It is proposed to start the discussion with the way DL-RSRPP should be reported for the first path, and choose between the option of using relative reportive with PRS RSRP as reference, or absolute reporting. The proposal applies not only to DL-AOD, but also to first path measurements used in other methods using PRS RSRPP (DL-TDOA, multi-RTT). Once the discussion progresses for the first path reporting, we can proceed with discussing additional path / additional measurements and parameter range.

Note that for this proposal as well as the proposal discussed as proposal 1.1, a reply LS to RAN4 should be discussed once the proposal have converged.

**Proposal 1.2: for the reporting of first path DL-PRS RSRPP in the main measurement (i.e. not additional measurement) in a measurement report, the report is constructed by (downselect):**

* **Alt1: DL PRS RSRPP is reported by including DL PRS RSRP and differential PRS RSRPP, with PRS RSRP as a reference for the differential PRS RSRPP.**
	+ **FFS: overhead reduction mechanisms, e.g. not always including PRS RSRP in the report.**
* **Alt2: absolute (not relative) DL PRS RSRPP is reported, and DL PRS RSRP can optionally be included in the report**
* **Alt3: either DL PRS RSRP or absolute DL PRS RSRPP is reported, and an indicator signals what is reported.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 1.2**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Alt. 2 |

#### Proposal 1.3 (time of arrival)

####  Summary of proposals

Regarding the inclusion of time of arrival information in AOD reports, the following is proposed:

* Inclusion of time of arrival for each additional first path PRS-RSRPP [1][3][4 (potentially also for additional paths], [6] (use RSTD between reported resources)[16]
	+ Not supporting [2]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [1] | ***Proposal 7: Support either one of the following**** ***Option. 1 For each additional first path PRS-RSRPP measurement reporting for DL-AoD, UE also reports the relative TOA for the first path to the first path of the reference PRS.***
* ***Option. 2 UE is expected to ensure that the first path PRS-RSRPP measurement reporting for DL-AoD across the reported DL-PRS resources are from the same path.***
 |
| [2] | ***Proposal 1**** ***Only support first path RSRP reporting in DL-AoD positioning, and reporting multipath RSRP(s) are not introduced for DL-AoD in Rel-17.***
* ***Reporting timing information is not introduced for DL-AoD in Rel-17.***
 |
| [3] | ***Proposal 2:*** *In addition to first path DL PRS RSRP measurement for UE-assisted DL-AOD, Rel-17 UE should be able to report information corresponds to the arrival time of the first path, which includes,** *Time of arrival( i.e. TOA) for at least one DL PRS resource per TRP*
* *Time differences of first detected paths among DL PRS resources from the same TRP (i.e. Intra-TRP TDOA)*
 |
| [4] | Proposal 2: For each reported PRS RSRPP, the UE can also report the time-of-arrival measurement of the corresponding path. |
| [6] | **Proposal 1**: For DL-AoD support reporting of multiple PRS resources per PRS resource set, with each resource being associated with RSTD. |
| [16] | Proposal 7: The DL PRS-RSRPP is reported together with an associated timing measurement of the corresponding path. |

####  First round of discussion

The issue of TOA measurements inclusion for AOD has been proposed for a few meetings. We have not managed to reach consensus so far. The last proposal from RAN1#107e did not converge, but during the discussion, two tracks were identified for TOA reporting (if agreed):

* The RSRPP is reported for the same TOA for all reported PRS resources
* The RSRPP is reported for different TOA for each reported PRS resources, and then the TOA should be included in the report.

 Considering the current proposal and also the discussion from past meetings, the following is proposed. Further discussion regarding use of RSTD to report the TOA for additional measurements/paths can take place at a later stage:

 **Proposal 1.3: for first path RSRPP reporting, the UE can optionally be requested to include the first path time of arrival for each reported PRS resource. If TOA is not reported in a measurement report, the LMF can assume all PRS RSRPP measurements for a TRP in a measurement report are reported for the same TOA.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 1.3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Not support |

#### Proposal 1.4 (receiver diversity)

####  Summary of the proposals

In [1] and [16] it is propose to consider the aspect of receiver diversity. Two aspect are considered:

 receiver diversity within a measurement for UL RSRPP:

[1] and [16] discussed two options, following either the RX branch used for the first path PRS RSRPP, or the Rx branch used for the PRS RSRP

Receiver branch used across measurements:

[1] proposes to report measurement over multiple Rx branches if these are associated with multiple Rx or RxTx TEGs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [1] | ***Proposal 2: Option 2 (the same Rx branch as the DL PRS-RSRP) should be adopted for DL-AoD DL PRS-RSRPP reporting.******FL note:*** The following two options are supported by gNB to LMF for *UL SRS RSRPP*:* Option 1 (RX diversity for the first path UL SRS-RSRPP)
	+ The same RX branch(es) as applied for the first path UL SRS-RSRPP measurements are used for the additional paths UL SRS-RSRPP measurements if those are provided together
	+ For frequency range 1 and 2, if receiver diversity is in use by the gNB for UL SRS-RSRPP measurements, then reported UL SRS-RSRPP value for the first path shall not be lower than the corresponding UL SRS-RSRPP for the first pathof any of the individual receiver branches
* Option 2 (RX diversity for UL SRS-RSRP)
	+ The same RX branch(es) as applied for UL SRS-RSRP measurements are used for UL SRS-RSRPP measurements (i.e., the first and additional paths UL SRS-RSRPP if those are provided)

***Proposal 4: For DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT, when receiver diversity is in use, support UE to report PRS-RSRPP for multiple Rx branches for the same target PRS, if the TOA measurement for the target PRS is associated with multiple Rx or RxTx TEGs.***For additional paths RSRPP reporting for DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT, we think the same Rx branch and potentially the same Rx TEGs should be assumed as the first path.***Proposal 5: For DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT, when receiver diversity is in use, the Rx branch for the RSRPP associated with the additional paths should be the same as that associated with the first path.*** |
| [16] | Proposal 6 The following is added to the definition of DL PRS RSRPP:For frequency range 1 and 2, if receiver diversity is in use by the UE for DL PRS-RSRPP measurements: - The reported DL PRS-RSRPP value for the first and additional paths shall be provided for the same receiver branch(es) as applied for DL PRS-RSRP measurements, or - The reported DL PRS-RSRPP value for the first path shall not be lower than the corresponding DL PRS-RSRPP for the first path of any of the individual receiver branches and the reported DL PRS-RSRPP for the additional paths shall be provided for the same receiver branch(es) as applied DL PRS-RSRPP for the first path.  |

####  First round of discussion

We can start the Rx Diversity discussion by focusing on the case of receiver diversity within a measurement for UL RSRPP. We note that there is a connection to the discussion on reporting PRS RSRPP with or without a relation to PRS RSRP.

Regarding the proposal for multi-RTT and DL-TDOA, the discussion can be taken as part of the 8.5.1 agenda. If the 8.5.1 agenda is too busy, we can consider it for discussion in 8.5.3.

 **Proposal 1.4: For path DL PRS RSRP measurement reporting, when receiver diversity is in use, the reported path DL PRS RSRPP shall be corresponding to the same Rx branch associated with the reported DL PRS RSRP.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 1.4**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

###  Aspect #2 extension of number of reported RSRP measurements (low priority)

#### Summary and Proposal 2.1

 The following remaining issues are treated in the proposals:

* + [2] and [3 ]proposes to extend the “same Rx Beam” flag that can currently be used to flag measurements in the same resource set to all the resources set under a PFL. This was also proposed by another company during the RAN1#107e discussions.
		- [9] propose not to further extend the exisiting framework for Rx beam indication.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [2] | ***Proposal 6****: To extend the application scope of DL PRS Rx beam index, when the UE reports DL PRS-RSRP measurements from DL PRS resource sets associated with the same positioning frequency layer and the same TRP, the UE indicates which DL PRS-RSRP measurements associated with the same higher layer parameter DL PRS Rx beam index have been performed using the same spatial domain filter for reception.*  |
| [3] | ***Proposal 4****: When the UE reports DL PRS-RSRP measurements from DL PRS resource sets associated with the same positioning frequency layer and the same TRP, the DL PRS-RSRP measurements associated with the same DL PRS Rx beam index have been performed using the same spatial domain filter for reception.*  |
| [9] | **Conclusion: No need to extend the Rx beam index indication of DL PRS-RSRP measurement reporting defined in Rel-16.** |
|  |  |

#### First round of discussion

The discussion was already taken during last meeting and did not converge. We can try one more time to reach an agreement, starting with the proposal last discussed in the past meeting.

**Proposal 2.1 To extend the application scope of DL PRS Rx beam index, when the UE reports DL PRS-RSRP measurements from DL PRS resource sets associated with the same positioning frequency layer and the same TRP, the UE indicates which DL PRS-RSRP measurements associated with the same higher layer parameter DL PRS Rx beam index have been performed using the same spatial domain filter for reception.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 2.1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Not needed |

###  Aspect #3 adjacent beam reporting

#### Summary

The following aspects are discussed in the proposals:

* Prioritization of resources in the PRS subsets
	+ Use of High/medium/low priorities for resources in a subset[8]
	+ Use Order of resources in the subset for prioritization of resources within a subset.[12][13][14]
	+ Use of subset prioritization[15]
* Number of resources per subsets [12]
* Use of the same Rx beam across the resources attached to the same subset. [15]
* Reporting according to boresight information [4][11]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [2] | **Proposal 5*** ***Add a new request signaling at least from LMF to UE for subset measurement reporting.***
* ***Component 3 in FG 27-20 should be supported***

***FL note: FG 27-20 component 3 is*** [3. Support associated subset measurement reporting] |
| [4] | Proposal 3: For the RSRP measurement of the subsets of PRS resource associated with PRS resource, the UE shall use the same Rx beam.Proposal 4: The RSRP measurement of the subsets of PRS resource shall be reported as differential RSRP with a reference to the RSRP measurement of the associated PRS resource. Proposal 5: If the UE reports RSRP measurement of one PRS resource, the UE can report the RSRP measurement of PRS resources that are ‘associated’ with the PRS resource according to the boresight direction.  |
| [8] | **Proposal 1: In terms of prioritization of measurements, high, middle and low priority level are associated with the PRS resource associated with the subset of PRS resources, the subset of PRS resources and other PRS resources, respectively.** |
| [11] | ***Proposal 2: The PRS within the boresight direction (plus a range) corresponding to the QCLed SSB which is preferred by UE.*** |
| [12] | ***Proposal 10: For UE-A DL-AoD, for each PRS resource, the subset of associated PRS resources should be sorted according to decending priority where the first PRS resource ID in each subset has the highest priority.*** * ***Up to 24 PRS resources can be included in each subset, which can be from the same or different set of a same PFL of the TRP.***
 |
| [13] | ***Proposal 3:**** RAN1 needs to clarify whether the UE should always report all of measurements for PRS resources associated with the subset.

***Proposal 4:**** RAN1 should support that LMF can indicate the subset of PRS resources by using priority rule such as descending/ascending order.

FL comment on proposal 3: In general the UE is not mandated to report on a particular PRS if it cannot reliably measure it.  |
| [14] | **Proposal 2: Support signaling to the UE a subset of PRS resources for the purpose of prioritization, of DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT measurement reporting.** |
| [15] | ***Proposal 1: RAN1 to support explicit priority of a subset of PRS resources in the LPP ProvideAssistanceData message to differentiate multiple subsets of PRS resources with either same or different priority levels.*** ***Proposal 2: RAN1 to support the priority-based UE measurement configuration and reporting of the subset of PRS resources via the LPP RequestLocationInformation and ProvideLocationInformation messages, respectively.***  |
| [16] | Proposal 9: Path PRS-RSRP measurements of adjacent DL PRS Resources that the UE reports should be performed using the same Rx-beam. |

#### Proposal 3.1 (priority of PRS resources in subsets)

####  First round of discussion

 Regarding prioritization of subsets and resources in the subset, an update to the priority framework is needed.

As a starting point, it is proposed to combine the proposals from [15] and [12] :

* the assistance data ordering of the resources in the subset defines the PRS resource priority within a subset
* A subset is given a priority index which can be equal for several subset.

**Proposal 3.1: for the prioritization of the processing and reporting of PRS resources in PRS subsets:**

* + **The priority of the resource within a subset follows the order of the resources in the PRS subset assistance data**
	+ **The priority between subsets is indicated with a priority level indicator**
		- **FFS granularity of the indicator**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 3.1:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | We are OK with the 1st subbulet, but we are unclear about the 2nd. Specifically, it is unclear to us how useful the priority among subsets will be. For each PRS resource, there is going be a single associated subset. Then, if the UE measures that PRS resource, and reports it, it should also try to report the resources in the subset. Why would there be multiple subsets for a single PRS resource? Our understanding is that there is going be single subset for each PRS resource.Now, with regards to which PRS resource is more important, it was discussed in NR Rel-16, and the proposal there was to order the PRS resources based on their index (similar to the TRP/set ordering). Then, implicitly also the subsets are prioritized; a subset associated with a higher priority PRS resource will be a higher priority subset compared to a subset associated with a lower priority PRS resource.  |

#### Proposal 3.2 to 3.5 (further details on reporting for PRS subsets)

####  First round of discussion

 For the reporting of PRSs measurements from a subsets, we propose to start with the following proposals:

**Proposal 3.2: for the reporting of DL PRS measurements from a PRS subsets, the UE uses the same Rx Beam as for the PRS resource to which the PRS subset is attached.**

**Proposal 3.3: for the reporting of DL PRS measurements from a PRS subsets, the UE may use differential reporting with respect to the the PRS resource to which the PRS subset is attached.**

**Proposal 3.4: for the reporting of DL PRS measurements from a PRS subsets, the UE may use differential reporting with respect to the the PRS resource to which the PRS subset is attached.**

**Proposal 3.5: the LMF sends a request to the UE when measurement reports based on the PRS subsets are expected from the UE.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 3.2:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Not needed; we support leaving the understanding of Nr Rel-16: if the UE uses the same Rx beam, it will report that accordingly, otherwise it should have the option to report a different Rx beam. |

**Proposal 3.3:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Not needed. There is going to be differential reporting of the RSRPP (there is already for RSRP). No need to have additional “differential reporting” |

**Proposal 3.4:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Not needed. There is going to be differential reporting of the RSRPP (there is already for RSRP). No need to have additional “differential reporting” |

**Proposal 3.5:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

#### Proposal 3.6 (reporting according the boresight information)

Based on the proposals in [4] and [11], the following is proposed:

**Proposal 3.6: for the subset reporting based on the boresight information of the PRS resource, the UE may reports the associated PRS measurements which share a common SSB as QCL relation with the PRS resource to which the subset is attached.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 3.6:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

#### Proposal 3.7 (use of subset signalling for DL time-based methods)

In [14] it is proposed to extend the support of subset-based prioritization to DL time based methods (DL TDOA and multi RTT).

**Proposal 3.7: Support signaling to the UE a subset of PRS resources for the purpose of prioritization, of DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT measurement reporting.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 3.7:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | New feature at this stage that we prefer to de-prioritize. We support to have the basic PRS resource priority that was also discussed in NR Rel-16; going as far as starting talking about subsets for MRTT/TDOA, seems an unnecessary optimization.  |

###  Aspect #4 Support of additional gnodeB beam information

#### Summary

During RAN1#107e, it was agreed to support additional gNB beam information to be sent to the UE for UE based methods, and a potential TRP-LMF interface was left to RAN3. The following was discussed in this meeting’s proposals:

* Signalling for linear arrays [1]
* Granularity / quantization of the power information per angle [1][7][12]
* Angle resolution, including span of angles and number of angles in the span [2][3][7][12]
* Overhead reduction by signalling of the same information for multiple TRPs [12]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [1] | ***Proposal 8: For linear array, the angle entry in the gNB beam pattern can be ZoA only in the local coordinate system.**** ***Send an LS to RAN2 to allow them to consider the signaling optimization.***

 ***Proposal 9: The power difference between the target PRS and the peak power PRS is quantized using the existing 5-bit single-side differential mapping table.*** |
| [2] | **Proposal 3*** ***Support*** ***the following angle range, the maximum number of angles per TRP for relative Power/Angle response***
	+ ***[-90, 90] for omnidirectional antenna in*** ***horizontal and vertical direction.***
		- * + ***0 degree is represented as the boresight angle of the TRP.***
	+ ***The maximum number of angles per TRP can be {360, 180, 90, 45} for omnidirectional antenna in the horizontal and vertical direction.***

**Proposal 4*** ***Support*** ***the quantization accuracy of relative power refers to the reporting range of differential PRS-RSRP as defined from -30 dB to 0 dB with 1 dB resolution in TS 38.133.***
 |
| [3] | ***Proposal 3****: For beam information provided by LMF for UE based DL-AOD, the range of angles [θ1, θ2] can be determined by expected DL-AoD/ZoD value and uncertainty (of the expected DL-AoD/ZoD value).* |
| [7] | Proposal 5* + **Support uniform sampling for the azimuth angle *φ* in the spatial sector [*φsec*-(*N*/2)×Δ*φ*, *φsec*+(*N*/2)×Δ*φ*], defined by the parameters, *φsec*, Δ*φ* and *N*, where**
		- ***φsec* is the azimuth angle defining the spatial sector direction in deg**
		- **Δ*φ* is the spatial resolution, defined in deg**
		- ***N* +1 is the total number of samples per azimuth spatial sector**
		- **The resolution Δ*φ* can be selected between the 1 deg and 0.1 deg**
	+ **For a given azimuth angle, support uniform sampling for the zenith angle *θ* in the spatial sector [*θsec*-(*M*/2)×Δ*θ*, *θsec*+(*M*/2)×Δ*θ*], defined by the parameters *θsec*, Δ*θ* and *M*, where**
		- ***θsec* is the zenith angle defining the spatial sector direction in deg**
		- **Δ*θ* is the spatial resolution, defined in deg**
		- ***M* is the total number of samples per zenith spatial sector**
		- **The resolution Δ*θ* can be selected between the 1 deg and 0.1 deg**

Proposal 6* + **Support quantization of the power difference levels in the decibel scale in accordance with the following equation:**
		- **Δ*PL*(*n*) = 20×lg(*n*) - 20×lg(2*Nb*), where Δ*PL*(*n*) corresponds to the power difference of the *n*th level with the total number of levels equal to 2*Nb***
		- ***Nb* is the number of bits used to signal a power difference level value**
		- **Δ*PL* = 0 dB corresponds to the peak power per angle**
		- **Δ*PL* = - 20×lg(2*Nb*) dB corresponds to the sensitivity level or the minimum value used to signal a power difference level value**
	+ ***Nb* parameter can be set as one of the following {2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8} bits**
		- **The choice of the *Nb* parameter provides a trade-off between the required accuracy and signaling overhead**
 |
| [12] | ***Proposal 5: In the beam antenna Assistance data element, support signaling that 2 TRPs have the same relative beam information when provided in Local Coordinate System (LCS). In other words, introduce an associated-DL-PRS-ID field with the following meaning:**** ***This field specifies the dl-PRS-ID of the associated TRP from which the beam antenna information is adopted: If the field is present, the field dl-PRS-BeamInfoSet shall be absent, but the field lcs-GCS-TranslationParameter can be provided.***

***Proposal 6: In the beam antenna Assistance data element, support the beam antenna information to be able to be reported with a resolution of 1 degree, or 0.1 degrees (fine resolution), for the beam antenna information in both azimuth and zenith dimension.*** ***Proposal 7: In the beam antenna Assistance data element, the relative power between 2 PRS resources should at least be reportable with 0.1 dB granularity with a range between 0 and -30 dB.*** ***Proposal 8: In the beam antenna Assistance data element, for each (Azimuth, elevation) angle, the relative ratio of a maximum of 128 PRS resources can be provided in the assistance data, which could be from the same or different set of the same PFL.***  |

#### Proposal 4.1 (angle resolution of beam information)

####  First round of discussion

 Based on the received proposals in [12][7][2][3], it is proposed to discuss on the granularity of the angle range is configured:

**Proposal 4.1**

**For the TRP beam/antenna information, the angle resolution of the information can be configured with**

* **Option1: a fixed resolution of Δ*φ* and Δ*θ* for elevation and azimuth, respectively, and a configured number of angles M and N in elevations and azimuth, respectively. the center of the range is given by the boresight angle of the PRS in elevation and azimuth.**
	+ **FFS: list of candidate values for the resolutions, including but not limited to [0.1, 1] degrees, and the numbler of angles M and N.**
* **Option 2: a number of angles across a configured angular range**
	+ **FFS: list of values, including but not limited to {360, 180, 90, 45} for the number of angles and [-90,90] for the angular range**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 4.1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | We understand that Option 1 may have smaller overhead since there is no need to send each angle separately; but it is understood implicitly by the lower/upper bounds. However, maybe TRPs/LMF might not be able to provide all the angles in the provided range, so Option 2 has higher flexibility (and more overhead). Assuming we have the same understanding, We are OK to have both options.In the first bullet we support having both resolutions (as is done in other related RAN2 signalings), and leave it up to the TRPs/LMF decide which one to use. With regards to the maximum number of angles, it just comes out naturally: if the resolution is 0.1, and in some cases, someone might want to cover 360 degrees -> M<=3600 angles is the maximum that 37.355 should have. Similarly, for a elenvation, N<=1800.For the 2nd subbulet, the gnB/LMF will have the option to send any set of angles needed, between the [-360,360] for azimuth and [-180,180] for elevation. We generally don’t want to have FFS, so that RAN2 can finish the signaling sooner than later.  |

#### Proposal 4.2 (power resolution of beam information)

####  First round of discussion

 Based on the received proposals in [1][12][7][2], it is proposed to discuss on the granularity of the beam gain is configured:

**Proposal 4.2**

**For the beam/antenna information the power resolution of the information can be configured with a a fixed resolution and range according to:**

* + **Option 1: a linear range in dB**
		- **FFS: list of candidate values, including but not limited to [0.1, 1] dB resolution from -30 to 0dB.**
	+ **Option 2: a log-scale range in dB with 2*Nb* levels, using Nb bits and with maximum value 0dB and with level value acccording to Δ*PL*(*n*) = 20×lg(*n*) - 20×lg(2*Nb*).**
		- **FFS: values for Nb, including but not limited to {2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8} bits**
* **The power/angle values for a given PRS can be expressed relative to another reference PRS in the same PFL**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 4.2**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | We tend to see Option 1 to be a simple working solution.  |

#### Proposal 4.3 (overhead reduction for beam information)

####  First round of discussion

It is proposed to discuss the use of a pointer to another PRS resource using a similar beam shape to reduce signalling overead. The proposal is based on the discussion in [12]

**Proposal 4.3 In the beam antenna Assistance data element, support signaling enabling to refer the beam information of a TRP with another TRP with the same beam information in Local Coordinate System (LCS) .**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 4.3:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Support. We think it can reduce a lot the overhead when same beam patterns (in LCS) is being used across multiple TRPs |

###  Aspect #5 AoD uncertainty window

#### Summary

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [1] | ***Proposal 10: The feature of angle search window also applies for DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT.******Proposal 11: The expected DL-AoA/ZoA should only be expressed in the global coordinate system.******Proposal 12: Support UE to send the confirmation in the measurement report to the LMF for a TRP whether the PRS are received within the angle search window.***FL comment: i assume proposal 12 is for the AoA window |
| [2] | Proposal 6* ***The granularity can extend to 1 degree at least for the expected angle and uncertainty of AoD positioning.***

Proposal 7* ***Only GCS is supported for reference angle for expected angle and uncertainty of DL-AoD positioning.***

**Proposal 8*** ***Expected DL-AoD is provided to the UE for each TRP instead of ARP.***
 |
| [7] | Proposal 3* + **Uncertainty range for the DL-AOD/ZOD is defined as follows:**
		- **Expected azimuth angle of departure as (*φDL-AOD* - Δ*φDL-AOD*/2, *φDL-AOD* + Δ*φDL-AOD*/2)**
			* ***φDL-AOD* - expected azimuth angle of departure, Δ*φDL-AOD* – uncertainty range for expected azimuth angle of departure**
		- **Expected zenith angle of departure as (*θDL-ZOD* - Δ*θDL-ZOD*/2, *θDL-ZOD* + Δ*θDL-ZOD*/2)**
			* ***θDL-ZOD* - expected zenith angle of departure, Δ*θDL-ZOD* - uncertainty range for expected zenith angle of departure**
		- **The Global Coordinate System (GCS) is supported for DL AOD/ZOD assistance information indication**
		- **Granularity of 0.1 degrees is applied for the expected DL-AOD (*φDL-AOD*), expected DL-ZOD (*θDL-ZOD*) and the corresponding uncertainty values**
 |
| [12] | ***Proposal 9: With regards to the expected angle indication for DL-AoD, the indication of the*** * ***Expected Azimuth DL-AoD should be with range between 0 to 359.9 degrees, with a scale of 0.1 degrees.***
* ***Expected Zenith DL-AoD should be with range between 0 to 180 degrees, with a scale of 0.1 degrees.***
* ***Expected Azimuth DL-AoD/DL-AoA uncertainty range is [-60,60] with an step size of 1 degrees.***
* ***Expected Zenith DL-AoD/DL-AoA uncertainty range is [-30,30] with an step size of 1 degrees.***
 |
| [13] | ***Proposal 1:**** RAN1 should adopt followings for signalling of expected DL-AoD/ZoD(AoA/ZoA) assistance information for DL-AoD enhancement:
	+ Expected azimuth angle of arrival as (φAOA - ΔφAOA/2, φAOA + ΔφAOA/2)
		- φAOA - expected azimuth angle of arrival, ΔφAOA – uncertainty range for expected azimuth angle of arrival.
	+ Expected zenith angle of arrival as (θAOA - ΔθAOA/2, θAOA + ΔθAOA/2)
		- θAOA - expected zenith angle of arrival, ΔθAOA – uncertainty range for expected zenith angle of arrival.
	+ Expected azimuth angle of departure as (φAOD - ΔφAOD/2, φAOD + ΔφAOD/2)
		- φAOA - expected azimuth angle of departure, ΔφAOD – uncertainty range for expected azimuth angle of departure.
	+ Expected zenith angle of departure as (θAOD- ΔθAOD/2, θAOA + ΔθAOA/2)
		- θAOA - expected zenith angle of departure, ΔθAOA – uncertainty range for expected zenith angle of departure.
	+ Both GCS and LCS are supported for DL-AoA/ZoA and DL-AoD/ZoD assistance information indication.
	+ Granularity of 0.1 degrees is applied for the expected AoA (φAOA), expected ZoA (θZOA ) and the corresponding uncertainty values.
	+ Granularity of 0.1 degrees is applied for the expected AoD (φAOD), expected ZoD (θZOD ) and the corresponding uncertainty values.

***Proposal 2:**** RAN1 should support that the signaling of assistance information (expected value and uncertainty range) for both DL AoA/ZoA and DL-AoD/ZoD is also supported for DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT positioning methods.
 |

#### Proposal 5.1 (range and resolution of the angle window)

#### First round of discussion

The difference between the proposals lies in whether the resolution of the uncertainty or expected AoD/AoA should be configurable, and what is the resolution to use:

* [2] proposes a fixed value of 1 degree for both the range and expected angle
* [7][13] proposes a fixed value of 0.1 degree for both the range and expected angle
* [12] proposes a fixed value of 1 degree for the range and 0.1 degree for the expected angle. the range is limited to [-60 60] in azimuth and [][-30 30] in elevations

**Proposal 5.1 for the configuration of the AoA/AoD uncertainty window:**

* **The granularity is set as:**
	+ **Option 1: the granularity of the uncertainty range for AoD/AoA is 1 degree**
	+ **Option 2: the granularity of the uncertainty range and expected AoD/AoA is 0.1 degree**
* **The uncertainty range is**
	+ **Option 1: configured with two values, Limited to [-60,60] in azimuth and [-30,30] in zenith**
	+ **Option 2: configured with 1 value X and the range is symmetrical θ-X/2, θ+X/2 where θ is the expected elevation or azimuth for AoD or AoA, with potential values between 0 and 360-y where y is the granularity of the range.**
	+ **Option 3: configured with two values, with potential values between 0 and 360-y where y is the granularity of the range.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 5.1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | 1st subbet: Support both options ; up to the LMF decision. 2nd subbulet: OK to go with Option 1 or 3. But, for Option 2, since there is single value for both azumith and zenith, we don’t support it.  |

####  Proposal 5.2 (support of AoA/AoD window for DL time based methods)

#### First round of discussion

[1] and [13] propose to also use the expected AoD/AoA uncertainty window as part of DL TDOA and multi RTT:

**Proposal 5. 2 The feature of angle search window also applies for DL-TDOA and Multi-RTT.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 5.2**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Support |

####  Proposal 5.3 (coordinate system for the angle search window)

####  First round of discussion

[2] and [7] propose to also use only GCS for the angle search window.

**Proposal 5. 3 Only GCS is supported for reference angle for expected angle and uncertainty of DL-AoD positioning.**

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 5.3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

####  Proposal 5.4 (further details on the angle search window)

[1] proposes to support a confirmation in the measurement report from the UE to the LMF regarding whether the PRS was received within the window. [2] proposes to limit the angle search window signalling to a TRP instead of ARP.

Since these are single-conpany proposals on the issue, it is propose to check the support in a first step:

Proposal 5.4-1: Support UE to send the confirmation in the measurement report to the LMF for a TRP whether the PRS are received within the angle search window.

Proposal 5.4-2: Expected DL-AoD is provided to the UE for each TRP instead of ARP.

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below.

**Proposal 5.4-1 and 5-4-2**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  | Proposal 5.4-1:Proposal 5.4-2: |

###  Aspect #6 two-stage beam sweeping

#### Summary and FL proposal

Two companies discuss two-stage beam sweeping with diverging opinion. Since the PRS subset reporting has been agreed in a way to also enable 2-stage beam sweeping, and further enhancement does not have large support, it is proposed not to further discuss the issue considering the late stage of the release.

 Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below if further discussion should be taken on the issue during RAN1#108e.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [13] | ***Proposal 5:**** Apart from adjacent beam reporting (e.g. indication of subset of PRS resources), RAN 1 needs to consider the two-stage PRS beam sweeping in isolation.

***Proposal 6:**** Regarding 2-stage PRS beam sweeping, RAN1 should consider the following procedure for 2-stage beam reporting:
	+ In case of the first PRS resource set, it can be composed of multiple PRS resources and they are associated with wide beams.
	+ And then, the multiple PRS resources that are in the second PRS resource set can be associated with narrow beams. LMF can configure associated PRS resources based on the measurement report in the first step.

***Proposal 7:**** RAN1 needs to consider applying different resolution and range for measured quantity value in each stage respectively.
 |
| [10] | *Proposal 2: Prefer not to support two-stage beam sweeping.* |

### Text proposals for correction of specifications

This sections lists the proposed TP from the AI 8.5.3 contributions. Based on the collected views on the TPs, we can provide proposals for endorsements with potential updates in the second round.

#### DL AoD uncertainty window

####  Summary and Text Proposal

Summary from [5]:

|  |
| --- |
| In RAN1#107-e meeting, the following agreement was achieved [1]: **Agreement** For the purpose of both UE-B and UE-A DL-AoD, and with regards to the support of AOD measurements with an expected uncertainty window, the following is supported * Indication of expected angle value and uncertainty (of the expected azimuth and zenith angle value) range(s) is signaled by the LMF to the UE
* The type of expected angle and uncertainty can be requested by the UE, between the following options
	+ - Option 1: Indication of expected DL-AoD/ZoD value and uncertainty (of the expected DL-AoD/ZoD value) range(s) is signaled by the LMF to the UE
		- Option 2: Indication of expected DL-AoA/ZoA value and uncertainty (of the expected DL-AoA/ZoA value) range(s) is signaled by the LMF to the UE

According to the above agreement, either expected DL-AoD/ZoD (Option 1) or expected DL-AoA/ZoA (Option 2) could be provided to the UE. But it is not supported to provide both Option 1 and Option 2 to the UE. The description in the current specification of TS 38.214 [2] is not adequate. We prefer the following revision. |

----------------Start of TP for TS38.214---------------------

#### 5.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure

……

The UE may request either expected DL-AoD/ZoD and uncertainty range(s) of expected DL-AoD/ZoD, or expected DL-AoA/ZoA and uncertainty range(s) of the expected DL-AoA/ZoA. The UE may be provided with expected DL-AoD/ZoD and uncertainty range(s) of the expected DL-AoD/ZoD, or with expected DL-AoA/ZoA and uncertainty range(s) of the expected DL-AoA/ZoA.

……

----------------End of TP for TS38.214---------------------

####  First round of discussion

 Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below regarding endorsing the TP in 2.1.7.1.1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Qualcomm | Not needed |

#### DL-AoD measurement and reporting

#### Summary and Text Proposal

Summary from [5]:

|  |
| --- |
| In RAN1#107-e meeting, the following agreement was further achived [1]:**Agreement**For reporting of DL PRS RSRPP and PRS RSRP in UE-A DL-AOD* The maximum number of DL PRS RSRPP M is a UE capability and its candidate values include {2,4,8,16,24}.
* The capabilities for DL PRS RSRPP (M value) and DL PRS RSRP (N values) are such that M is less than or equal to N

According to the agreement, for a given PRS resource, multiple DL PRS RSRPs could be reported, which may correspond to same or different Rx Beam index and same or different timestamps. This feature should be specified in TS 38.214 [2] for a clear description on UE reporting. In addition, the number of DL PRS RSRPP measurements per TRP could be less than or equal to that of DL PRS RSRP. This is also missed from the current specfication.  |

----------------Start of TP for TS38.214---------------------

#### 5.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure

……

The UE may be configured to measure and report, subject to UE capability, up to 24 DL PRS-RSRP measurements on different DL PRS resources associated with the same dl-PRS-ID. When the UE reports DL PRS-RSRP measurements from one DL PRS resource set, the UE may indicate which DL PRS-RSRP measurements associated with the same higher layer parameter nr-DL-PRS-RxBeamIndex [17, TS 37.355] have been performed using the same spatial domain filter for reception if for each nr-DL-PRS-RxBeamIndex reported there are at least 2 DL PRS-RSRP measurements associated with it within the DL PRS resource set. When the UE reports DL PRS-RSRP measurements from one DL PRS resource set, for a DL PRS resource, multiple DL PRS-RSRP measurements associated with the same or different higher layer parameter nr-DL-PRS-RxBeamIndex should be able to be reported with the same or different timestamps. The UE may be configured to measure and report, subject to UE capability, up to 24 DL PRS RSRPP for the first detected path on different DL PRS resources associated with the same dl-PRS-ID. The number of the reported DL PRS RSRPP is less than or equal to the number of the reported DL PRS RSRP.

……

----------------End of TP for TS38.214---------------------

####  First round of discussion

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below regarding endorsing the TP in 2.1.7.2.1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

#### Adjacent beam reporting

#### Summary and Text Proposal

Summary from [5]:

|  |
| --- |
| In RAN1#107-e meeting, the following agreement was achieved [1]: **Agreement** For UE-assisted DL-AOD positioning method, to enhance the signaling to the UE for the purpose of PRS resource(s) reporting, the LMF may indicate in the assistance data (AD), one or both the following: * option 1: subject to UE capability, for each PRS resource, a subset of PRS resources for the purpose of prioritization of DL-AOD reporting:
	+ a UE may include the requested PRS measurement for the subset of the PRS in the DL-AoD additional measurements if the requested PRS measurement of the associated PRS is reported
		- The requested PRS measurement can be DL PRS RSRP and/or path PRS RSRP.
	+ UE may report PRS measurements only for the subset of PRS resources.
	+ Note: The subset associated with a PRS resource can be in a same or different PRS resource set than the PRS resource
* option 2: subject to UE capability, for each PRS resource, the boresight direction information.
* Note: Either case does not imply any restriction on UE measurement

FFS: prioritization of the PRS resources and resource subsets to be measuredFrom option 1 in the above agreement, for each PRS resource, a subet of PRS resources (with [*DL-AOD-PRS resource-Subset*]) could be associated with. This is not adequatedly captured in the current specification of TS 38.214 [2]. It seems that only one subset of PRS resources is allowed to be configured for multiple PRS resources, instead of the one-to-one asscociation. Therefore, we prefer to revise the description related to option 1.  |

----------------Start of TP for TS38.214---------------------

#### 5.1.6.5 PRS reception procedure

……

For each PRS resource, the UE may be configured, subject to UE capability, with [*DL-AOD-PRS resource-Subset*] that is associated with this PRS resource, where the subset of PRS resources associated with the PRS resource can be in the same or different PRS resource set than the PRS resource. The UE may include UE measurements for the subset of PRS resources in [*NR-DL-AoD-AdditionalMeasurementElement]* if the UE measurements of the associated PRS resource are reported, where the UE measurement can be DL PRS-RSRP and/or DL PRS-RSRPP. The UE may report DL PRS-RSRP and/or DL PRS-RSRPP measurements only for the subset of PRS resources. Subject to UE capability, the UE may be configured with higher layer parameter [DL-AOD Boresight direction] for each PRS resource.

----------------End of TP for TS38.214---------------------

#### First round of discussion

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below regarding endorsing the TP in 2.1.7.3.1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

#### Reporting and definition of DL PRS RSRPP

#### Summary and Text Proposal

Summary from [5]:

|  |
| --- |
| In RAN1#106bis-e [3], the following agreement on PRS-RSRPP has been achieved:Agreement:The measured path DL PRS RSRP for ith path delay is defined as the power of the received DL PRS signal configured for the measurement at the ith path delay of the channel response, and* path DL PRS RSRP for 1st path delay is the power corresponding to the first detected path
* FFS: Whether the path RSRP measurement is normalized with PRS RSRP.
* FFS: Whether the definition of the ith path delay (other than i=1) is required.
* Note: UE may choose to use a time window to compute path DL PRS RSRP by UE implementation (there is no impact to specifications managed by RAN1 for this)
* Note: This does not imply that the path delay has to be reported in DL-AoD positioning
* Send LS to RAN4 to check the details of the definition and feedback if they identify any update is necessary

According to the above agreement, PRS-RSRPP for ith path delay is defined as the power corresponding to ith detected path. At the UE side, the receiver needs to separate the signal power of each path from the total received signal power. Namely, PRS-RSRPP could be considered as a component of PRS-RSRP. Therefore, PRS-RSRPP should be defined in the same way as that of PRS-RSRP. According to the definition of PRS-RSRP in TS 38.215 [4], we propose the following TP for the modification of PRS-RSRPP definition: |

----------------Start of TP for TS38.215---------------------

### 5.1.35 DL PRS reference signal received path power (DL PRS-RSRPP)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Definition** | DL PRS reference signal received path power (DL PRS-RSRPP), is defined as linear average of the power contributions (in[W]) of the received DL PRS signal of the resrouce elements configured for the measurement at the i-th path delay of the channel response, where DL PRS-RSRPP for 1st path delay is the power contribution corresponding to the first detected path in time.For frequency range 1, the reference point for the DL PRS-RSRPP shall be the antenna connector of the UE. For frequency range 2, DL PRS-RSRPP shall be measured based on the combined signal from antenna elements corresponding to a given receiver branch. |
| **Applicable for** | RRC\_CONNECTED,RRC\_INACTIVE |

----------------End of TP for TS38.215---------------------

#### First round of discussion

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below regarding endorsing the TP in 2.1.7.4.1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

#### TPs for 38.214 regarding DL PRS RSRPP

####  Summary and Text Proposal

 Summary from[14]

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| In RAN1#104-e and RAN1#106b-e we made the following agreements:

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement (RAN1#104-e) Support enabling* A UE to report one or more measurement instances (of RSTD, DL RSRP, and/or UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements) in a single measurement report to LMF for UE-assisted positioning, and
* A TRP to report one or more measurement instances (of RTOA, UL RSRP, and/or gNB Rx-Tx time difference measurements) in a single measurement report to LMF, and
* Each measurement instance is reported with its own timestamp
	+ FFS: The measurement instances are within a [configured] measurement time window
* FFS: Each UE measurement instance can be configured with N instances of the DL-PRS Resource Set
	+ FFS: N (including N=1)
* FFS: Each TRP measurement instance can be configured with M SRS measurement time occasions
	+ FFS: M (including M=1)
* FFS: details of signalling, procedures, and UE capability if any
* FFS: whether and how to consider the additional enhancement related to measurement reporting of multi-paths and quality metric
* Note 1: A measurement instance refers to one or more measurements, which can either be the same or different types, which are obtained from the same DL PRS resource(s), or the same UL SRS resource(s).
* Note 2: This enhancement has no intention to change the mapping of measurement types to Rel-16 positioning techniques and no intention to introduce new positioning techniques either.

Agreement (RAN1#106b-e)Subject to UE capability, support LMF to explicitly request UE to report the measurement with either M-sample or 4-sample, if RAN4 has supported M-sample measurement.* FFS signalling details.

Agreement (RAN1#106b-e)For the PRS processing sample number M, at least M = 1 is supported.  |

The above agreements were captured in TS38.214, however DL PRS RSRPP is not included as part of the supported measurements. Additionally in RAN1#107-e following agreement was made:

|  |
| --- |
| Agreement* Support the LMF to request DL PRS-RSRPP together with timing measurement as part of DL-TDOA and multi-RTT reporting enhancements
	+ Note: This applies to the first path and also to additional paths.
* Support the LMF to request UL SRS-RSRPP together with timing measurement as part of UL-TDOA and multi-RTT reporting enhancements
	+ Note: This applies to the first path and also to additional paths.
 |

this also requires adding DL PRS-RSRPP to the list of DL RSTD, DL PRS-RSRP and UE Rx-Tx measurements to the parts in the TP below. |

**Text Proposal for 38.214:**

============================ Unchanged part omitted ==========================

The UE may be indicated by the network that DL PRS resource(s) can be used as the reference for the DL RSTD, DL PRS-RSRP, DL PRS RSRPP and UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements in a higher layer parameter *nr-DL-PRS-ReferenceInfo*.

============================ Unchanged part omitted ==========================

============================ Unchanged part omitted ==========================

For the DL RSTD, DL PRS-RSRP, DL PRS RSRPP and UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements the UE can report an associated higher layer parameter *nr-TimeStamp*. The *nr-TimeStamp* can include the *dl-PRS-ID*, the SFN and the slot number for a subcarrier spacing. These values correspond to the reference which is provided by *nr-DL-PRS-ReferenceInfo*.

============================ Unchanged part omitted ==========================

============================ Unchanged part omitted ==========================

The UE may be configured to report one or more measurement instances, each with its own timestamp, on DL RSTD, DL PRS-RSRP, DL PRS RSRPP and/or UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements, in a single measurement report.

============================ Unchanged part omitted ==========================

============================ Unchanged part omitted ==========================

The UE may be requested, subject to UE capability, to measure and report the DL RSTD, DL PRS-RSRP, DL PRS RSRPP or UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements with either 1 or 4 samples, as defined in [11, TS 38.133], via higher layer parameter [*numOfSamples-perMeasurement]* [17, TS 37.355].

============================ Unchanged part omitted ==========================

#### First round of discussion

Companies are encouraged to provide comments in the table below regarding endorsing the TPs in 2.1.7.5.1.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

## LS from R1-2200905

From the chairman decision, the LS from R1-2200905 will be treated as part of agenda item 8.5.3. the LS content is copied below for convenience:

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Overall Description:** RAN4 thanks RAN1 for the LS. RAN4 has discussed the definition of the path DL PRS RSRP measurement and RAN4 would like to provide following feedback. RAN4 would like to inform RAN1 that RAN4 is discussing path DL-PRS RSRP for i-th path delay. The PRS-RSRPP can be reported in ways below :* RAN4 understands that PRS-RSRPP should be defined as the path RSRP per RE, in the same way PRS-RSRP is defined as power per RE. RAN4 respectfully asks RAN1 to confirm if this understanding is correct.
* PRS-RSRPP may be reported by reusing absolute and differential PRS-RSRP measurement report mapping tables in TS38.133 clause 10.1.24.3.1 and 10.1.24.3.2 respectively.
* When differential reporting is used, PRS-RSRPP is reported as the difference in dB with respect to a reference measurement. RAN4 understands that it is up to RAN1/2 to decide what reference measurement would be for the PRS-RSRPP differential reporting.

RAN4 concludes that these ways are possible to support PRS-RSRPP in Rel-17. **2. Actions:****To RAN WG1 & WG2 group.****ACTION:** RAN4 kindly requests RAN1/2 to take the above information into consideration in the further specification work, and respectfully asks to inform RAN4 if RAN1/2 finds any issue or update for RAN4 understanding. |

It is the FL understanding that the first bullet of the LS is treated as part of the discussion on proposal 1.1. the second and third bullet is treated as part of the discussion in propoasal 1.2. based on the conclusions of the discussions for these proposal, RAN1 can draft a reply LS to RAN4/RAN2 as an update on the issues.

Companies are encouraged to comment on the table below if discussions on additional proposals beside 1.1 and 1.2 need to be started.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

##  Other aspects

Two proposals mention the use of PRU. This the discussion is already going on in AI 8.5.1, it is propose to keep it in a single AI. Regarding the issue of beam orientation error, there is only one contribution discussing the issue and we therefore suggest to downprioritize it.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source | Proposal |
| [6] | **Proposal 4:** RAN1 to introduce/specify correction mechanism of beam orientation errors to improve the positioning accuracy achievable with DL-AoD. Including:* UE-based positioning: the beam offset (BO) could be signaled to the UE, as either an indicator, e.g. low/medium/high, each specifying an error range or as a specific value computed by the network
* UE-assisted positioning: LMF should be aware of the BO and compensate it when computing the position estimate.
* Signaling aspects:
	+ LMF signals to TRPs that a BO beam re-tuning is needed. The BO correction may be explicitly signalled to the TRP by the LMF; alternatively, the LMF may send a Boolean indication that a BO recomputation and adjustement is needed.
	+ UE measurement reports to facilitate BO identification and potential correction.

**Proposal 5:** RAN1 to specify support for enabling a PRU to support configuration by the network to help with beam offset estimation, among other parameters. In particular, RAN1 should further investigate methods and signaling required to enable the selected reference device to ability of reference device to determine beam offset errors are present.  |
| [7] | Proposal 4* + **For Positioning Reference Unit (PRU), if its antenna orientation is known, define the uncertainty range for the DL-AOA/ZOA as follows:**
		- **Expected azimuth angle of arrival as (φDL-AOA - Δ*φDL-AOA*/2, *φDL-AOA* + Δ*φDL-AOA*/2)**
			* ***φDL-AOA* - expected azimuth angle of arrival, Δ*φDL-AOA* – uncertainty range for expected azimuth angle of arrival**
		- **Expected zenith angle of arrival as (*θDL-ZOA* - Δ*θDL-ZOA*/2, *θDL-ZOA* + Δ*θDL-ZOA*/2)**
			* ***θDL-ZOA* - expected zenith angle of arrival, Δ*θDL-ZOA* - uncertainty range for expected zenith angle of arrival**
		- **The Global Coordinate System (GCS) is supported for DL AOA/ZOA assistance information indication**
		- **Granularity of 0.1 degrees is applied for the expected DL-AOA (*φDL-AOD*), expected DL-ZOA (*θDL-ZOD*) and the corresponding uncertainty values**
 |

#### Comments

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |

1. Conclusion

 TBD
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