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# Introduction

In this document, a summary of companies’ proposals for PUCCH coverage enhancement is provided.

# Dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication

## Whether semi-static PUCCH can use a PUCCH resource with “nrofSlots-r17” configured

Regarding whether dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication should be applied to semi-static PUCCH, the following agreement is made in RAN1 106e.

**Agreement**

**Dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication for SR or P/SP-CSI on PUCCH is not supported in Rel-17.**

With the above agreement, in R1-2109889 and R1-2110866, a potential ambiguity is observed. The ambiguity is that whether SR or P/SP-CSI on PUCCH can utilize a PUCCH resource configured with repetition factor “nrofSlots-r17”. The following proposal is made, which basically allow UE to use the PUCCH resource configured with repetition factor “nrofSlots-r17”. But UE ignore the RRC parameter “nrofSlots-r17” and use the legacy RRC parameter nrofSlots to determine the repetition factor for this particular PUCCH resource.

R1-2109889 & R1-2110866, Proposal 1: in the case a PUCCH resource is not associated with a scheduling DCI (e.g. PUCCH resource associated with CSI report) and the PUCCH resource is characterized by a dynamic repetition factor, the parameter nrofSlots is used for determining the repetition factor of the specific PUCCH resource.

After discussing the above issue in RAN1#106bis, the following FL proposal was made. The FL proposal seems supported by majority companies. But no agreement was made on this due to limited discussion time. Therefore, FL would like to repropose the following.

FL proposal 1: **For a PUCCH resource to transmit a PUCCH without an associated scheduling DCI (e.g. P/SP-CSI or SR), if the PUCCH resource is configured with RRC parameter “nrofSlots-r17”, “nrofSlots-r17” is ignored and the RRC parameter “nrofSlots” is used for determining the repetition factor of the specific PUCCH resource.**

Companies are welcome to provide further comments to the above FL proposal

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication for HARQ-ACK of first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI and SPS release DCI

Regarding whether dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication is applicable to HARQ-ACK for first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI and SPS release DCI, the following FL conclusion was proposed in RAN1#106bis. however, it was not agreeable due to controversial views.

Updated FL proposed conclusion 0: In NR Rel-17, the dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication mechanism agreed in RAN1 106e does not apply to HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH except for the following two cases

* HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI.
* HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS release DCI

Note: HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI and HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS release DCI are categorized as PUCCH with associated scheduling DCI

In this meeting, companies input on this topic is listed as below.

R1-2111439 Proposal 1: The dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication mechanism does not apply to HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH except for the following two cases.

* HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI
* HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS release DCI

R1-2110921 Proposal 1: Dynamic PUCCH repetition indication is supported for HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH with associated with the activation DCI and HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS release DCI, while not supported for HARQ-ACK for the remaining SPS PDSCHs other than the first SPS PDSCH.

R1-2112233 Proposal 2: Support dynamic PUCCH repetition indication for HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI.

R1-2111510 Proposal 1: Dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication for HARQ-ACK of SPS PDSCH which is not associated with or activated by a DCI is not supported.

R1-2111754 Proposal 1: Conclusion

In NR Rel-17, the dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication mechanism agreed in RAN1#106-e does not apply to HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH except for

* HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS release DCI

Note: The resource for HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI is configured in SPS-Config by n1PUCCH-AN as a single PUCCH resource.

R1-2112038 Proposal 1:

* Revise the moderator’s updated proposed conclusion 0 from RAN1#106bis to the following:
	+ In NR Rel-17, the dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication mechanism agreed in RAN1 106e does not apply to HARQ-ACK for SPS only operation

Based on the companies’ input, for HARQ-ACK of SPS release DCI, it seem common understanding that dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication can be applied. For HARQ-ACK of the first SPS PDSCH with activation DCI, the views are still controversial.

Therefore, the following FL proposal is made.

**FL proposed conclusion 1: In NR Rel-17, for HARQ-ACK for SPS PDSCH, it is clarified that the dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication mechanism agreed in RAN1 106e applies to HARQ-ACK corresponding to the SPS release DCI**

* **FFS whether dynamic PUCCH repetition factor indication mechanism is applied to HARQ-ACK for the first SPS PDSCH associated with the activation DCI.**

Comments to the above FL proposal can be provided in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Other proposals

There are a few other proposals in submitted contributions to this agenda, which are listed as below.

R1-2111694 Proposal 1: RAN1 should specify whether later DCI can override former DCI indicating the same PUCCH slot with different PUCCH repetition factor.

R1-2111890, Proposal 6: Support the existing mechanism in 38.213 Sec. 9.2.3 when number of resources per PUCCH resource set is up to 32.

* Combine existing mechanisms based on PRI, NCCE and nCCE,0 to indicate the PUCCH resource with repetition factor within a PUCCH resource set up to 64 PUCCH resources

R1-2112233 Proposal 1: Support also using other properties of PDCCH (e.g. PDCCH aggregation level), in addition to PRI and starting CCE index, to indicate the PUCCH resource.

R1-2112233, Proposal 3: Support enhancing RRC signaling to allow dynamic indication of frequency hopping for PUCCH repetition via indication of PUCCH resource.

R1-2111981, Proposal 1: The following methods to configure PUCCH repetition for the UE without dedicated PUCCH resource configuration should be studied.

* PUCCH repetition is indicated by using repetition number of PUSCH.
* PUCCH repetition is indicated by PRI and/or system information.
* Introduce a PUCCH resource set with repetition number.

FL’s initial assessment is that the discussion of those proposals can be deprioritized, comparing to proposals in Section 2.1 and 2.2. But companies are welcome to provide comments to the above proposals in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# DMRS bundling across PUCCH repetitions

The second objective of this agenda item is to “specify mechanism to support DMRS bundling across PUCCH repetitions.” Under this objective, a few topics are addressed in companies’ contributions. The topics are summarized as below.

## Use cases

Regarding the use cases for DMRS bundling for PUSCH repetitions, the following conclusion was made in RAN1 106bis in AI 8.8.1.3.

**Conclusion**

* Joint channel estimation over PUSCH transmissions across non-consecutive slots is not supported in Rel-17.

For the use cases of DMRS bundling for PUCCH repetitions, companies’ input are listed as below.

R1-2111432 Proposal 1: Not support Use case 5 for PUCCH repetitions with DMRS bundling.

R1-2112233 Proposal 4: RAN1 to confirm that use cases 3 and 4a are the only two use cases for PUCCH DMRS bundling.

R1-2110866 Proposal 8. For non-back-to-back PUCCH transmissions, in case the other UL transmission in between two successive PUCCH repetitions has different settings than PUCCH, the gNB indicates one of the following options to the UE:

* Option 1: Drop the other UL transmission with different settings.
* Option 2: Transmit the other UL transmission with different settings and break the phase continuity.
* Option 3: Adapt the settings of the other UL transmission to make it be the same as the PUCCH repetitions.

Given that RAN1 should strive for a common design between PUCCH and PUSCH DMRS bundling, it is reasonable to make the following conclusion for PUCCH as well.

**FL proposed conclusion 2: The following use case 5 of PUCCH DMRS bundling is not supported in Rel-17**

* **Use case 5: PUCCH repetitions across non-consecutive slots.**
	+ **Use case 5a: no uplink transmission in the middle of two PUCCH repetitions**
	+ **Use case 5b: other uplink transmissions in the middle of two PUCCH repetitions**

Comments to the above FL proposal can be provided in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## PUCCH TDW design details

In RAN1 #106e, after a heated discussion, the following working assumption was agreed for time domain window design for DMRS bundling across PUSCH repetitions.

**Working assumption:**

For joint channel estimation for PUSCH repetition type A of PUSCH repetitions of the same TB, all the repetitions are covered by one or multiple consecutive/non-consecutive configured TDWs.

   Each configured TDW consists of one or multiple consecutive physical slots.

   The window length *L* of the configured TDW(s) can be explicitly configured with a single value~~and~~*~~L~~*~~is no longer than the maximum duration~~.

‐   FFS: The maximum value of *L* ~~is the duration of all repetitions~~

‐   FFS: Solutions to error propagation issue if ~~for~~ *L* is longer than the maximum duration is to be discussed further.

‐   FFS: The window length *L* is configured per UL BWP

   The start of the first configured TDW is the first PUSCH transmission

‐   FFS: The first available slot/symbol, or the first physical slot/symbol for the first PUSCH transmission.

   The start of other configured TDWs can be implicitly determined prior to first repetition.

‐   FFS: The configured TDWs are consecutive for paired spectrum/SUL band

‐   FFS: The start of the configured TDWs for unpaired spectrum is implicitly determined based on semi-static DL/UL configuration.

   The end of the last configured TDW is the end of the last PUSCH transmission.

‐   FFS: The end of the configured TDW is the last available slot/symbol, or the last physical slot/symbol for the last PUSCH transmission.

   Within one configured TDW, one or multiple actual TDWs can be implicitly determined:

‐   The start of the first actual TDW is the first PUSCH transmission within the configured TDW.

* FFS: The first available slot/symbol, or the first physical slot/symbol for the first PUSCH transmission.

‐   After one actual TDW starts, UE is expected to maintain the power consistency and phase continuity until one of the following conditions is met, then the actual TDW is ended.

* The actual TDW reaches the end of the last PUSCH transmission within the configured TDW.

  FFS: The end of the actual TDW is the last available slot/symbol, or the last physical slot/symbol for the last PUSCH transmission.

* An event occurs that violates power consistency and phase continuity

  FFS: The events may include e.g., a DL slot based on DL/UL configuration for unpaired spectrum, the actual TDW reaches the maximum duration, DL reception/monitoring occasion for unpaired spectrum, high priority transmission, frequency hopping, precoder cycling.

  FFS: The end of the actual TDW is the last available slot/symbol of the PUSCH transmission right before an event such that the power consistency and phase continuity are violated.

‐   If the power consistency and phase continuity are violated due to an event, whether a new actual TDW is created is subject to UE capability of supporting restarting DMRS bundling.

* If UE is capable of restarting DM-RS bundling, one new actual TDW is created after the event,

  FFS: The start of the new actual TDW is the first available slot/symbol for PUSCH transmission after the event.

* If UE is not capable of restarting DM-RS bundling, no new actual TDW is created until the end of the configured TDW.
* FFS: UE capability of restarting DMRS bundling is applied only to dynamic event or not

Note 1: A ‘configured TDW’ refers to a time domain window whose length can be configured to ‘L’ and whose start and end is determined as described above.

Note 2: An ‘actual TDW’ refers to a time domain window during whose entire duration the DM-RS bundling is actually applied. An ‘actual TDW’ duration is always less than or equal to the ‘configure TDW’ duration.

Note 3: Whether the terms ‘configured TDW’ and ‘actual TDW’ are revised to other terms and if such terminology is used in specifications is to be further discussed.

Furthermore, the following agreements were made in RAN1 106bis on TDW design.

**Agreement**

* For PUSCH repetition type A counting based on physical slots
	+ The configured TDWs are consecutive, where the start of other configured TDWs is the first physical slot right after the last physical slot of a previous configured TDW.
* For PUSCH repetition type A counting based on available slots
	+ The configured TDWs are determined based on available slots, where start of a configured TDWs is the ~~next~~ first available slot after the ~~conclusion~~ last available slot of a previous configured TDW.
	+ Note: The determination of available slots for PUSCH repetition Type A is defined in AI 8.8.1.1.

Working Assumption

Support Actual TDW Option 2b’:

* The start of the first actual TDW is the first ~~available~~ symbol (at least determined by TDRA table) ~~in available slot~~ for the first PUSCH transmission in an available slot within the configured TDW.
* The end of the actual TDW is
	+ the last ~~available~~ symbol (at least determined by TDRA table) ~~in available slot~~ for the last PUSCH transmission in an available slot within the configured TDW if the actual TDW reaches the end of the last PUSCH transmission within the configured TDW.
	+ the last ~~available~~ symbol (at least determined by TDRA table) ~~in available slot~~ of the PUSCH transmission right before the event if an event occurs that violates power consistency and phase continuity, and the PUSCH transmission is in an available slot.
	+ For UE capable of restarting DM-RS bundling, the start of the new actual TDW is the first ~~available~~ symbol (at least determined by TDRA table) ~~in available slot~~ for PUSCH transmission after the event violates power consistency and phase continuity, and the PUSCH transmission is in an available slot.

Since almost all companies prefer to have a common TDW(time domain window) design between PUCCH and PUSCH DMRS bundling. The following is proposed by FL.

**FL Proposal 2: For PUCCH DMRS bundling, when appliable, reuse the procedure developed for PUSCH DMRS bundling to determine configured TDW(s) and actual TDW(s).**

Comments to the above FL proposal can be provided in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Inter slot freq hopping enhancement with DMRS bundling

In RAN1 106bis, the following agreement is made.

**Agreement:**

**For the interaction between inter-slot frequency hopping and DMRS bundling for PUCCH/PUSCH repetitions, a UE perform the “hopping intervals determination”, “configured TDW determination”, and “actual TDW determination” in a sequential ordering. One option of the following options is to be selected.**

* **Option 1: “hopping intervals determination” -> “configured TDW determination” -> “actual TDW determination”**
* **Option 2: “configured TDW determination” -> “hopping intervals determination” -> “actual TDW determination”**
* **Option 4: “configured TDW determination” -> “actual TDW determination” and “hopping intervals determination”**

**Note: option 1~~,~~ and 2~~, and 3~~ assume a hopping interval can be different than an actual TDW. Option 4 assumes a hopping interval is the same as an actual TDW.**

Based on companies input in Tdocs submitted to RAN1#107, the pros and cons of the above options are summarized as below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Option 1:  | Pros: more efficient resource allocation for MU, including Rel-15/16 UEs not supporting DMRS bundling and Rel-17 UEs with different length of configured TDW [R1-2112233, R1-2112038] |
| Cons: smaller DMRS bundling gain, when hopping interval and configured TDW having misaligned length [R1-2110792] |
| Option 2:  | Pros: Better DMRS bundling performance over option 1 [R1-2111439, R1-2112122] |
| Cons: less efficient resource allocation for MU [R1-2112233, R1-2112038] |
| Option 4: | Pros: higher gain for the combination of joint channel estimation and frequency hopping [R1-2110866, R1-2112122]; Guaranteed same length between actual TDW and hopping interval [R12111951]. |
| Cons: dynamic events impact hopping pattern [R1-2111439], mis-alignment of hopping pattern between gNB and UE, due to missed DCI [R1-2111510] |

The positions of companies are summarized in below:

* Supporting option 1: ZTE, Spreadtrum, CATT, Intel, XiaoMi, Samsung, Interdigital, Apple, Sharp, Ericsson, QC
* Supporting option 2: Huawei/HiSi, ZTE, VIVO, Panasonic, Sharp, DCM
* Supporting option 4: Nokia, CMCC, Lenovo, ETRI, Wilus

FL’s assessment is that the missing DCI is indeed an issue for option 4. Between option 1 and option 2, they have pros and cons over each other, depends on the design criteria is optimizing SU performance or MU performance. Also considering the number of companies supporting each option, FL recommend to take option 1. Therefore, the following proposal is made.

**FL Proposal 3: For the interaction between inter-slot frequency hopping and DMRS bundling for PUCCH/PUSCH repetitions, option 1 (as agreed in RAN1 106bis) is adopted.**

Comments to the above FL proposal can be provided in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

On inter-slot frequency hopping, there are proposals from companies to address the issue whether the same or different length should be applied to hopping interval and TDWs.

R1-2111430 Proposal 2:

* For inter-slot frequency hopping with inter-slot bundling, the hopping interval is separately configured and can be different from the window length of the configured TDWs and the actual TDWs.
* The hopping interval can be configured smaller than the window length of the configured TDWs. Whether the hopping interval can be configured larger than the window length of the configured TDWs needs further study.

R1-2111510 Proposal 3

* For inter-slot frequency hopping with inter-slot bundling
	+ Option 1 is supported for the hopping interval determination.
	+ Hopping interval can be separately configured from TDW length.
	+ Frequency hopping pattern is determined based on slot index.

R1-2112022 Proposal 2: The length of the configured TDW (i.e., the window length L) should be equal to the hopping interval by configuring single parameter.

R1-2112122 Proposal 3: Configured time domain window length (L) should be used for the determination of hop duration in inter-lost frequency hopping.

R1-2111439 Proposal 6: For PUSCH/PUCCH with joint channel estimation, the inter-slot frequency hopping is performed per configured TDW, which is determined based on configured/indicated TDW length and semi-static TDD configuration.

On this issue, FL would like to collect more views from companies using the following table, before making a proposal.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Support same or different length between hopping interval and configured TDW?** | **Pros and cons of adopting same length** | **Pros and cons of allowing different length** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Other proposals

R1-2111030 Proposal 3: DMRS bundling size of L = 1 slot may need to be introduced, if DMRS bundling for sub-slot PUCCH repetitions within a slot is supported.

R1-2111030 Proposal 5: The starting RB for each frequency hop is determined by frequency hop index, and the frequency hopping index corresponds to the order of configured TDWs for PUCCH/PUSCH repetitions.

R1-2112038 Proposal 6:

* Enhanced frequency hopping designs for PUCCH supporting joint channel estimation support increased numbers (e.g. up to 4) of hopping offsets, where the number of consecutive slots per hop can be controlled.

R1-2111030 Proposal 3: UCI repetition with DM-RS bundling can prioritize with respect to its UCI type (of a same priority index).

R1-2111030 Proposal 2: If inter-slot frequency hopping is enabled, then the PUCCH repetition may hop in the middle of slot, depending on the TDD slot pattern and the number of repetitions, and the coherence can be kept in the same split.

R1-2111439 Proposal 4: If the length of the configured TDW needs dynamic indication, PUCCH resource indicator field can be used to inform UE length of the configured TDW. If dynamic indication is supported, consider extending PUCCH resource indicator field for further flexibility.

R1-2111890 Proposal 2: If DMRS bundling is supported, specify conditions under which phase continuity is kept for a PUCCH with DMRS bundling overlapping in one (or more) occasions with a second PUCCH without DMRS bundling.

R1-2111981 Proposal 3: For the time domain window for DMRS bundling of PUCCH, adopt a time domain window based on available slots for joint channel estimation of PUSCH.

R1-2112038 Proposal 3:

* Enhanced frequency hopping designs for PUCCH supporting joint channel estimation include the following:
	+ The hopping offsets are determined by the slot index
	+ Frequency hopping is an event that sets the actual TDW size
	+ UEs can be configured with the hopping pattern

R1-2111274 Proposal 2: UE performs the inter-slot frequency hopping with inter-slot bundling as long as the hopping interval of inter-slot bundling is configured.

R1-2110866 Proposal 5. RAN1 to discuss and define hopping intervals longer than 1 slot

 FFS: details for definition of hopping intervals

FL’s initial assessment is that the discussion of those proposals can be deprioritized, comparing to proposals in Section 3.1, 3.2, 3.3. But companies are welcome to provide comments to the above proposals in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comment** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Power control and TA with PUCCH repetitions

Based on companies input in contributions, we could strive for a common design of power control and TA handling for PUCCH and PUSCH repetitions. Therefore, we could hold on the discussion on this topic until progress made in agenda 8.8.1.3.
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