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## Introduction

In this summary, the term “item 1” refers to the first item in the Rel.17 NR FeMIMO WID, i.e. multi-beam enhancement:

|  |
| --- |
| * Enhancement on multi-beam operation, mainly targeting FR2 while also applicable to FR1:
	+ Identify and specify features to facilitate more efficient (lower latency and overhead) DL/UL beam management to support higher intra- and L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility and/or a larger number of configured TCI states:
		1. Common beam for data and control transmission/reception for DL and UL, especially for intra-band CA
		2. Unified TCI framework for DL and UL beam indication
		3. Enhancement on signaling mechanisms for the above features to improve latency and efficiency with more usage of dynamic control signaling (as opposed to RRC)
	+ Identify and specify features to facilitate UL beam selection for UEs equipped with multiple panels, considering UL coverage loss mitigation due to MPE, based on UL beam indication with the unified TCI framework for UL fast panel selection
 |

This summary includes the following:

* Observation and proposal
* Summary of current companies’ positions on each of the aspects within the category

## Summary of companies’ inputs

The listed issues are structured primarily to facilitate some progress on pending issues identified in the agreements (see Appendix A).

### Issue 1 (Rel.17 unified TCI framework – note: for intra-cell beam management)

UL PC parameters other than PL-RS

**Proposal 1.1A**: On the setting of UL PC parameters except for PL-RS (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for Rel.17 unified TCI framework, for PUSCH and PUCCH, the setting is either included in UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state or associated with UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state.

* Whether it is ‘included in’ or ‘associated with’ (including the manner it is performed and the signaling), and whether it is up to RAN2

Note: It has been agreed that the setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is associated with UL channel or UL RS (therefore the setting is channel- and signal-specific)

**vs.**

**Proposal 1.1B:** On the setting of UL PC parameters except for PL-RS (P0, alpha, closed loop index) for Rel.17 unified TCI framework,

* For each of PUSCH, PUCCH, and SRS, the setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) can be associated with UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state.
	+ In this case, multiple settings are configured where each setting is associated with at least one TCI state
* If not associated, for each of the PUSCH, PUCCH, and SRS, only one setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is configured for all the UL or (if applicable) joint TCI states

Note: It has been agreed that the setting of (P0, alpha, closed loop index) is associated with UL channel or UL RS (therefore the setting is channel- and signal-specific).

Table 1 Additional inputs: issue 1 – UL PC other than PL-RS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **Two alternatives for compromise proposals: 1.1A vs 1.1B**1. **Proposal 1.1A: to accommodate AltC proponents, AltA/B is made applicable only for PUSCH and PUCCH. This means that AltC is used for SRS**
2. **Proposal 1.1B: proposed by Ericsson as a compromise/synthesis between AltB and AltC (based on Samsung, Apple, and Spreadtrum wording proposals)**

**Please share your inputs on the above proposals** |
| Apple | Support Proposal 1.1B.  |
| OPPO | We support 1.1A. the reason is in our view, for SRS resource, the PC parameters (P0, alpha, closed loop index) shall be configured per SRS resource set, but not associated/contained in each TCI states.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

PL-RS

**Proposal 1.2**: On path-loss measurement for Rel.17 unified TCI framework, a PL-RS (configured for path-loss calculation) is either included in UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state or associated with UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state.

* If the DL source RS in the UL or (if applicable) joint TCI state to provide spatial relation indication is different from PL-RS, the choice of RS for path-loss measurement (either the DL source RS in the TCI state or the PL-RS) is up to the UE
* Whether it is ‘included in’ or ‘associated with’ (including the manner it is performed and the signaling), and whether it is up to RAN2
* The UE maintains the PL-RS of the activated UL TCI state or (if applicable) joint TCI state
* The maximum number of activated UL TCI states or (if applicable) joint TCI states per band is a UE capability
* FFS: detailed aspects of PL-RS, e.g. CSI-RS type(s), time-domain behavior(s), restriction on configuration

Table 2 Additional inputs: issue 1 – PL-RS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **The wording of proposal 1.2 has been relatively stable****Please share your inputs, if any** |
| Apple | We can accept this compromise proposal |
| OPPO | Overall the proposal is fine to us.One clarification question on 4th bullet: here the maximum number activated UL TCI states or joint TCI states intents to specify the UE capability of the maximum number of PL RS the UE can maintain at the same time, right? If so, we suggest to add “per serving cell” there too.* The maximum number of activated UL TCI states or (if applicable) joint TCI states per band, per serving cell is a UE capability

  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

QCL for CA

**Proposal 1.3A**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework, [a single RRC pool of TCI states is used] for common TCI state ID update and activation to provide common QCL information and/or common UL TX spatial filter(s) across a set of configured CCs/BWPs

* A CC~~-~~specific source RS can be determined from the indicated common TCI state ID to provide QCL Type-D indication and to determine UL TX spatial filter. The determined CC-specific source RSs for the set of configured CCs/BWPs are further associated with a same QCL-TypeD RS.
	+ The CC-specific source RS is applied to all BWPs within the CC but measured only within the active BWP
	+ [FFS: how to provide the CC/BWP-specific RSs in a TCI state of the single RRC TCI state pool shared among the set of configured CCs/BWPs, e.g., the BWP/CC ID for the source RS for QCL Type-D reference and/or UL TX spatial reference can be absent in a TCI state]
* “A set of configured CCs/BWPs” includes all the BWPs in the set of configured CCs in one band

**Proposal 1.3X:** ‘A single RRC pool of TCI states’ implies that the single RRC TCI state pool can be configured in a CC and can be shared among the set of configured CCs.

* For QCL Type-A, the BWP /CC ID for QCL -Type A source RS can be absent in a TCI state
* When the BWP /CC ID for QCL -Type A source RS is absent in the TCI state, the BWP /CC ID for QCL -Type A source RS is determined according to a target CC of the TCI state and the corresponding active BWP
* For each applied active BWP per CC, UE uses the corresponding BWP ID + CC ID + QCL TypeA RS source ID to locate the corresponding QCL Type-A source RS
* Note that cross-CC UL power control indication is FFS as a separate issue
* FFS: inter-band CA, e.g. two or more sets of configured CCs in a UE

Table 3 Additional inputs: issue 1 – QCL for CA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **Given the views of companies in round 0 (super-majority wanting 1.3A and many having concern with 1.3B) and differences among 1.3B proponents regarding the additional QCL rule (e.g. same vs same/different), we will focus on proposal 1.3A and see how this can be reworded to be agreeable.** **Note: If the proponents of 1.3B can converge, we can introduce 1.3B again for consideration.****Proposed for common pool for CA was provided by ZTE (1.3X).****Please provide your inputs, if any, for 1.3A and 1.3X** |
| Apple | We support both proposals and we support single RRC pool of TCI states in principle, which is important to reduce UE memory size. |
| OPPO | 1.3A: we do not support. This proposal reverts our previous agreement made in RAN1#103e meeting:* The agreement made in 103e meeting requires “**same/single RS for QCL TypeD**”, but the proposal 1.3A proposes CC-specific RS for TypeD
* The motivation of rel17 TCI for CA is to provide same beam/QCL-TypeD to multiple CCs. The proposal 1.3A does not satisfy that. The CC-specific QCL-TypeD RS associated with same QCL-TypeD RS does not provide same QCL-TypeD/beam for the PDCCH/PDSCH in different CCs.

Proposal 1.3X: we prefer to use CC-specific RRC TCI state pool. The only benefit of using a single RRC TCI state pool is the overhead of RRC can be reduced. But on the other hand, it would impose big restriction on the system scheduling. Furthermore, how much RRC overhead can be saved is unclear, which depends on the ratio of RRC overhead for TCI state pool configuration in the whole RRC configuration. If the RRC overhead for TCI state pool configuration is only a very small portion of the whole RRC configuration, then the benefit of overhead reduction is not so important.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

’Other’ signals/channels

**Proposal 1.4**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework,

* Any DL RS or DL physical channel that is a valid target signal/channel of a Rel-15/16 TCI state based on the Rel-15/16 QCL rules can be configured as a target signal/channel of a Rel-17 DL TCI (hence the Rel-17 DL TCI state pool)
	+ Note: This does not imply that all such DL RSs and DL physical channels necessarily share a same TCI
* FFS: Whether some SRS resources or resource sets for BM can be configured as a target signal/channel of a Rel-17 UL TCI (hence the Rel-17 UL TCI state pool)
* Note: This does not imply that DL and UL TCI state pools are separate or shared for separate DL/UL TCI (this issue is still TBD)

**Proposal 1.5**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework, discuss and decide by RAN1#106-e (August 2021)

* Whether each of the following DL RSs and channels can share the same indicated Rel-17 TCI state as UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and for UE-dedicated reception on all or subset of CORESETs in a CC
	+ CSI-RS resources for CSI
	+ Some CSI-RS resources for BM, if so, which ones (e.g. aperiodic, repetition ‘ON’)
	+ CSI-RS for tracking
	+ Non-UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and all/subset of CORESETs
* Whether some SRS resources or resource sets for BM can share the same indicated Rel-17 TCI state as dynamic-grant/configured-grant based PUSCH, all or subset of dedicated PUCCH resources in a CC

**Proposal 1.6**: On Rel.17 unified TCI framework, for any DL RS or DL physical channel that does not share the same indicated Rel-17 TCI state as UE-dedicated reception on PDSCH and for UE-dedicated reception on all or subset of CORESETs in a CC, but can be configured as a target signal/channel of a Rel-17 DL TCI (hence the Rel-17 DL TCI state pool), discuss and down-select by RAN1#106-e (August 2021) between the following two alternatives:

* Alt1. Rel-15/16 TCI state update signaling/configuration mechanism(s) are reused to update/configure the Rel-17 TCI state
* Alt2. Rel-17 TCI state update signaling/configuration mechanism(s) are used, e.g. with Rel-17 MAC-CE/DCI-based beam indication for Rel-17 joint/separate TCI

Note: For some channels/signals, only one of the above two alternatives may apply (to be discussed).

Note: This does not imply that DL and UL TCI state pools are separate or shared for separate DL/UL TCI (this issue is still TBD)

FFS: Whether/how the selected alternative can be used to align the Rel-17 DL TCI state between two target channels/signals which do not share the same Rel-17 DL TCI state

* E.g. TCI state #1 can be activated for PDCCH+PDSCH as in Rel-17 and can also be simultaneously configured for a CSI-RS resource for BM as in Rel-15/16.

Table 4 Additional inputs: issue 1 –‘Other’ signals/channels

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **The wording of proposal 1.4-1.6 has been quite stable from last round. The last discussion was only on the last FFS in 1.6.****Please share your inputs, if any, on proposals 1.4-1.6** |
| Apple | Proposal 1.4: SupportProposal 1.5: Support Proposal 1.6: Support Alt1.  |
| OPPO | For proposal 1.6: we support Alt1.One question on Alt2 in Proposal 1.6: What does it mean by “e.g. with Rel-17 MAC-CE/DCI-based beam indication for Rel-17 joint/separate TCI”? Is the ‘common’ TCI state indicated by DCI format 1\_1/1\_2 applied here? |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### Issue 2 (L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility)

Beam indication

**Proposal 2.1**: On Rel.17 beam indication enhancements for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility, support the following:

* At least for UE reception (on PDSCH and PDCCH) and transmission (on PUSCH and PUCCH) associated with UE-dedicated CORESETs, Rel-17 MAC-CE-based and DCI-based beam indication (at least using DCI formats 1\_1/1\_2 with and without DL assignment including the associated MAC-CE-based TCI state activation) for joint TCI [and/or separate DL/UL TCI]
	+ {FFS (to be decided in RAN1#106-e): Beam indication support for separate DL/UL TCI in case of L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility}
	+ FFS: Whether to support activation of TCI states for more than one cells simultaneously
* The DL QCL and UL spatial relation rules already agreed for intra-cell scenario
* The use of SSB associated with a physical cell ID different from that of the serving cell as a direct/indirect QCL reference, except for a direct QCL reference for UE-dedicated PDCCH/PDSCH
	+ Note: When RS X is an indirect QCL reference of a target channel, RS X serves as a QCL source RS of the source RS configured for the target channel.
	+ FFS (to be decided in RAN1#106-e): Whether SSB associated with a physical cell ID different from that of the serving cell can also be used as a direct QCL reference (source RS) for UE-dedicated PDCCH/PDSCH

Table 5 Additional inputs: issue 2 – Beam indication

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **The wording of proposal 2.1 has been quite stable from last round except for the following:**1. **Joint vs separate TCI – raised by Nokia**
2. **The last bullet (note) was removed since it has caused confusion (pointed out by Huawei).**

**Please share your inputs, if any, on proposal 2.1** |
| Apple | We support current version. |
| OPPO | Suggest to add “at least for the case when the serving cell is not changed” because we are not sure what would be the impact if the serving cell is changed. When the serving cell is changed, both control plane and data plane need change, including the whole MAC entity. **Proposal 2.1**: On Rel.17 beam indication enhancements for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility, support the following at least for the case when the serving cell is not changed: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Measurement/reporting

**Conclusion 2.2**: On Rel.17 multi-beam measurement/reporting enhancements for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility and inter-cell mTRP, there is no consensus on supporting the following RS types as measurement RS in RAN1#105-e

* CSI-RS for mobility/RRM associated with a non-serving cel
* CSI-RS for BM configured for a non-serving cell
* CSI-RS for tracking configured for a non-serving cell

**Proposal 2.3**: On Rel.17 multi-beam measurement/reporting enhancements for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility and inter-cell mTRP,

* Support at least K=4, where K is defined as the number of beams associated at least with non-serving cell(s) reported in a single CSI reporting instance
	+ The maximum value of supported K is a UE capability
	+ K is configured by NW based on the UE capability
	+ FFS: The support of K=8 and 16
		- For K>4, the maximum number of beams associated with one cell is 4
* FFS: Support L1-based event-driven reporting, including the definition of L1-based event, if needed

Table 4 Additional inputs: issue 2 – measurement/reporting

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Mod V0 | **Conclusion 2.2: Huawei pointed out that CSI-RS for BM and tracking should be reintroduced for this to be acceptable.****Proposal 2.3: The wording has been stable for a long time (no input)** **Please provide your inputs, if any, on conclusion 2.2 and proposal 2.3**  |
| Apple | Support in general, but we suggest some changes. I think they are editorial. We agree TRS should not be used for beam reporting, but it should be necessary for time/freq offset tracking. I understand there are some concerns about the scope of event driven based beam report. Is it possible that we try to modify the last bullet of proposal 2.3 like “Support L1-based event-driven reporting based on SCell BFR framework, including the definition of L1-based event, if needed”, so that the scope can be smaller? We only need to define an event based on L1 measurement (This is related to RAN1 spec), and the reporting MAC CE content.**Conclusion 2.2**: On Rel.17 multi-beam L1-RSRP measurement/reporting enhancements for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility and inter-cell mTRP, there is no consensus on supporting the following RS types as measurement RS in RAN1#105-e* CSI-RS for mobility/RRM associated with a non-serving cell
* CSI-RS for BM configured for a non-serving cell
* CSI-RS for tracking configured for a non-serving cell

**Proposal 2.3**: On Rel.17 multi-beam L1-RSRP measurement/reporting enhancements for L1/L2-centric inter-cell mobility and inter-cell mTRP,* Support at least K=4, where K is defined as the number of beams associated at least with non-serving cell(s) reported in a single CSI reporting instance
	+ The maximum value of supported K is a UE capability
	+ K is configured by NW based on the UE capability
	+ FFS: The support of K=8 and 16
		- For K>4, the maximum number of beams associated with one cell is 4
* FFS: Support L1-based event-driven reporting, including the definition of L1-based event, if needed
 |
| OPPO | Support 2.2 and 2.3 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |