# **[102-e-NR- 5G\_V2X\_NRSL-PHYprocedure-02] Email discussion/approval regarding the assumptions for the CSI reference resource for sidelink CSI**

[102-e-NR- 5G\_V2X\_NRSL-PHYprocedure-02] Email discussion/approval regarding the assumptions for the CSI reference resource for sidelink CSI by 8/21, followed by potential TPs by 8/26 – Hanbyul (LGE)

Q1: Do you agree following assumption?

* + For the sidelink CSI reference resource, UE assumes
    - First SL symbol is occupied by duplicated symbol of 2nd SL symbol within a SL slot
    - Numerology (CP length and SCS) of configured SL BWP is used

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Answer | Comment |
| NTT DOCOMO |  | For the first sub-bullet, the situation is always true, so the ‘assumption’ would not be necessary.  Second sub-bullet is OK. |
| Sharp | Agree |  |
| Ericsson | Agree |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Agree | The first SL symbol is “*sl-LengthSymbols* – 2”.  Note, that we understand the meaning of “assumption” here to be what is used for the purpose of determining a CSI reference resource. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Agree |  |
| Intel | Agree |  |
| Futurewei | Agree |  |

Q2: What is the assumption on RV for the sidelink CSI reference resource?

* + Option 1: RV0
  + Option 2: RV indicated by SCI triggering the CSI report
  + Option 3: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
| NTT DOCOMO | Option 1 | Reuse NR-Uu.  For CSI report, self-decodable RV is feasible. RV0 should be OK. |
| Sharp | Option 1 | Reuse NR Uu. |
| Ericsson | Option 1 |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 1 |  |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Option 1 | Reuse logic on NR Uu. |
| Intel | Option 1 | Following Uu assumption on the most self-decodable RV |
| Futurewei | Option 1 |  |

Q3: What is the assumption on PSCCH overhead for the sidelink CSI reference resource?

* + Option 1: PSCCH occupies 2 OFDM symbols
  + Option 2: PSCCH occupies timeResourcePSCCH OFDM symbols and frequencyResourcePSCCH PRBs in the resource pool
  + Option 3: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
| NTT DOCOMO | Option 1 | Reuse Uu.  UE receiving the CSI report would correct the information for actual transmission. As discussed before/after this question, there are some/many assumptions. Regardless of outcome of this question, the UE needs to do that. So it seems that option 2 has no benefit. |
| Sharp | Option 1 | Reuse NR Uu. |
| Ericsson | Option 1 |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 2 | It would seem there is no need for a fixed assumption here since the exact values are already known to both the reporting and triggering UEs. TX UE can still perform whatever adjustments it needs, and they will be from a more accurate base. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Option 2 | We can also accept Option 1. |
| Intel | Option 2 | When semi-static configuration is available, it is better to follow that with no harm to the system or UE implementation |
| Futurewei | Option 2 | There is no unknown, so no point in making assumption |

Q4: What is the assumption on the number of PSSCH and DM-RS symbols for the sidelink CSI reference resource?

* + Option 1: 10
  + Option 2: sl-LengthSymbols ‒ 2
  + Option 3: the number of PSSCH and DM-RS symbols in a slot where SCI triggering the CSI report is transmitted
  + Option 4: sl-LengthSymbols ‒ 5 if periodPSFCHresource = 1. Otherwise, sl-LengthSymbols ‒ 2.
  + Option 5: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
| NTT DOCOMO | Option 1 | Reuse NR-Uu, where assumption of the number of PDSCH and DM-RS is fixed as 12.  Similar to our comment on Q3. |
| Sharp | Option 1 | Reuse NR Uu, where the assumption is (14-2-2)=10 |
| Ericsson | Option 1 |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 3 | We do not yet understand why a Uu slot format baseline is of high relevance sidelink.  The number of PSSCH and DM-RS symbols depends on whether the CSI reference resource includes PSFCH. If there is PSFCH, then the number should be *sl-LengthSymbols* ‒ 5, where 2 AGC symbols, 2 GAP symbols and 1 PSFCH symbol are considered. Otherwise, it should be *sl-LengthSymbols* ‒ 2. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | option 2 | We can also accept Option 1. |
| Intel | Option 2 | When semi-static configuration is available, it is better to follow that with no harm to the system or UE implementation |
| Futurewei | Option 2 |  |

Q5: What is the assumption on sidelink CSI-RS overhead for the sidelink CSI reference resource?

* + Option 1: No CSI-RS mapping REs
  + Option 2: the number of CSI-RS REs in a PSSCH resource scheduled by SCI triggering the CSI report
  + Option 3: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
| NTT DOCOMO | Option 1 | Reuse NR-Uu. |
| Sharp | Option 1 | Reuse NR Uu |
| Ericsson | Option 1 |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 1 |  |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Option 1 | Reuse logic on NR Uu. |
| Intel | Option 1 | For something dynamic, our assumption that the least possible OH should be taken |
| Futurewei | Option 1 |  |

Q6: What is the assumption on 2nd SCI overhead for the sidelink CSI reference resource?

* + Option 1: No 2nd SCI mapping REs
  + Option 2: Lowest overhead per (pre)configuration
  + Option 3: : the number of REs for 2nd SCI triggering the CSI report
  + Option 4: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
| NTT DOCOMO | Option 1 | Reuse NR-Uu.  Similar to our comment on Q3. |
| Sharp | Option 1 | Reuse NR Uu |
| Ericsson | Option 1 |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 1 | Since 2nd SCI is also transmitted in PSSCH, there is no need to consider its overhead.  What does “re use NR Uu” mean, when there is no concept of 2nd SCI? |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Option 1 |  |
| Intel | Option 1 | For something dynamic, our assumption that the least possible OH should be taken. Although 2nd stage SCI is always present, it requires additional effort to account for least possible configuration, thus no OH is preferred in this case |
| Futurewei | Option 1 |  |

Q7: What is the assumption on 2nd SCI overhead for the PSSCH DMRS ~~the sidelink CSI reference resource~~?

* + Option 1: Smallest number of PSSCH DMRS symbols per (pre)confiugraiton
  + Option 2: the number of PSSCH DMRS symbols indicated by SCI associated with the triggered CSI report
  + Option 3: Others (please specify)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred option | Comment |
| NTT DOCOMO | Option 1 | First of all, the question would be about DM-RS, not 2nd-stage SCI overhead.  Regarding preferred option, reuse NR-Uu, where assumption of the number of DM-RS is based on the configurations. |
| Sharp | Option 3 | If the question refers to PSSCH DMRS overhead, then we propose to reuse NR Uu without containing DMRS, i.e. no overhead is assumed. |
| Ericsson | Option 1 |  |
| Huawei, Hisilicon | Option 2 | Using the indicated PSSCH DMRS time-domain pattern helps to provide accurate CSI result. The TX UE can easily adjust the MCS according to the DMRS pattern of the following transmissions. |
| ZTE, Sanechips | Option 1 |  |
| Intel | Option 1 | For something dynamic, our assumption that the least possible OH should be taken. |
| Futurewei | Option 1 |  |
|  |  |  |

Q8: Do you think there are other aspects RAN1 needs to consider in defining assumptions for the sidelink CSI reference resource?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Answer | Comment |
| Sharp |  | Assume the SL CSI reference resource does not contain SL PT-RS. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | The assumption on precoding matrix needs specifying, as in the Uu reference resource definition. |
| Intel |  | Agree with Huawei/HiSilicon regarding the precoder |
| Futurewei | Yes | Agree that precoding needs to be specified |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |