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**Email discussion/approval related to DM-RS and QCL for S-SSB**

[100b-e-NR-5G\_V2X\_NRSL-SYNC-02] Email discussion/approval related to

DM-RS sequence initialization for PSBCH and QCL for S-SSB

(a,k.a. issues 2 and 3) by 4/24, with potential TPs by 4/29 (CATT, Teng)

**Issue 2 DM-RS sequence initialization for PSBCH**

***Proposal 3: The DM-RS sequence initialization for PSBCH can be:***

* , where is 3 LSBs of S-SSB index.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Futurewei | Support |
| Sharp | It is a little surprising that “Alt2” is removed here, and only “Alt1” is left in the proposal, especially when considering that:   * Alt2 has more supporting companies than the currently proposed “Alt1”, according to the FL summary. * RAN1 explicitly agreed in the last e-meeting to down-select from Alt1 and Alt2.   Please bring back Alt2 for down-selection. Our preference is Alt2. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 3 QCL for S-SSB transmission**

***Proposal 4: QCL mechanism is not used for S-SSB transmissions in NR SL.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Views** |
| Futurewei | Support. Our understanding is that the proposal applies to one SSB period |
| Sharp | Support. We share the view stated already by some other companies that QCL is not applicable here in NR V2X due to the SFN type of transmissions of S-SSB. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |