

Moderator's summary
for email discussion
“[41][5G_broadcast_bands]”

Lorenzo Casaccia, Qualcomm

Related inputs

- Input tdocs:
 - Liaison from 5G-MAG: RP-210730
 - Motivation document: RP-210250
 - Draft WID: RP-210127, revised into RP-210739 (which was then uploaded duplicated by a member), and then further revised into RP-210781
- Comments on the RAN reflector:
 - ABS, CBN, ABP, EBU, Apple, Mediatek, Nokia, ZTE, Rohde & Schwarz, ESA, SaankhyaLabs, OneMedia, Spark, ATEME, Facebook, LGE, Reliance, SyncTechno, Enesys, Qualcomm, TDF/BNE, 5G-MAG, Panasonic

- **Technical formulation of the proposed WID**

- Over the two rounds, comments have been relatively minimal (in fact, there was only 1 substantive set of comments from a member and they were addressed in a revision – that member confirmed on the reflector that they are ok)
- **Conclusion:** the technical formulation of the proposed WID appears stable. See **RP-210781**

- **Perceived existence of market demand**

- Some members have commented that there is no market demand in a specific geography
- Other members have commented there is market demand in other geographies. There is a LS from a 3GPP MRP (5G-MAG) stating the same
- **Conclusion:** Multiple regions see demand, while some regions do not

- **TU allocation**

- A TU sheet has been available
 - 0.5TU for RAN4, 0.5TUs in RAN1 for Q3/Q4 (nothing in Q2), and 0.25TUs in Q1-22 for RAN2/RAN3

- **Overall conclusion: the moderator feels the discussion has progressed enough (see “conclusions” above) to warrant taking the topic up in a GTW session**