

TSG RAN Meeting #20
Hämeenlinna, Finland, 3 - 6 June, 2003

RP-030326

Title CRs (Rel-4 and Rel-5 Category A) to TS 25.413, 25.419, 25.423, 25.433 and 25.453 (Rel-5 and Rel-6 Category A) on Correction of Failure message used for logical errors

Source TSG RAN WG3

Agenda Item 7.3.6

RAN3 Tdoc	Spec	curr. Vers.	new Vers.	REL	CR	Rev	Cat	Title	Work item
R3-030869	25.413	4.8.0	4.9.0	REL-4	571	1	F	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030870	25.413	5.4.0	5.5.0	REL-5	572	1	A	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030888	25.419	4.7.0	4.8.0	REL-4	116	-	F	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030889	25.419	5.3.0	5.4.0	REL-5	117	-	A	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030890	25.423	4.8.0	4.9.0	REL-4	841	-	F	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030891	25.423	5.5.0	5.6.0	REL-5	842	-	A	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030892	25.433	4.8.0	4.9.0	REL-4	866	-	F	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030893	25.433	5.4.0	5.5.0	REL-5	867	-	A	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030894	25.453	5.5.0	5.6.0	REL-5	049	-	F	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4
R3-030895	25.453	6.0.0	6.1.0	REL-6	050	-	A	Correction of Failure message used for logical errors	TEI4

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 17th-23th February 2003

Tdoc #R3-030869

CR-Form-v7	
CHANGE REQUEST	
# 25.413 CR # 571 # rev 1 #	Current version: 4.8.0 #

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the # symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps# ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	# Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	# RAN WG3		
Work item code:	# TEI4	Date:	# 19/05/2003
Category:	# F	Release:	# Rel-4
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:
	F (correction)		2 (GSM Phase 2)
	A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)		R96 (Release 1996)
	B (addition of feature),		R97 (Release 1997)
	C (functional modification of feature)		R98 (Release 1998)
	D (editorial modification)		R99 (Release 1999)
	Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Rel-4 (Release 4)
			Rel-5 (Release 5)
			Rel-6 (Release 6)

Reason for change:	# RANAP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	# The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures.
	<u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u>
	This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release).
	This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view.
	The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the relocation, security, location related data, and RAB Assignment functions.
Consequences if not approved:	# Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5							
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X			
Y	N						
X							
	Other core specifications ⌘ TS 25.413 REL-5 CR572rev1 TS 25.419 REL-4 CR116 TS 25.419 REL-5 CR117 TS 25.423 REL-4 CR841 TS 25.423 REL-5 CR842 TS 25.433 REL-4 CR866 TS 25.433 REL-5 CR867 TS 25.453 REL-5 CR049 TS 25.453 REL-6 CR050						
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications
	X	Test specifications					
	X	O&M Specifications					
Other comments: ⌘							

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality information of the IEs/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), ~~this failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

- Semantic Error.
- Message not compatible with receiver state.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Class 3:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 3 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), ~~this failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

- Semantic Error.
- Message not compatible with receiver state.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 3 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 3 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.

- In case a response message, ~~failure message~~ or Error Indication message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.
- If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 17th-23th February 2003

Tdoc #R3-030870

CR-Form-v7	
CHANGE REQUEST	
# 25.413 CR 572 # rev 1 #	Current version: 5.4.0 #

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the # symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps# ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	# Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	# RAN WG3		
Work item code:	# TEI4	Date:	# 19/05/2003
Category:	# A	Release:	# Rel-5
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:
	F (correction)		2 (GSM Phase 2)
	A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)	R96	(Release 1996)
	B (addition of feature),	R97	(Release 1997)
	C (functional modification of feature)	R98	(Release 1998)
	D (editorial modification)	R99	(Release 1999)
	Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .	Rel-4	(Release 4)
		Rel-5	(Release 5)
		Rel-6	(Release 6)

Reason for change:	# RANAP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	# The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures.
	<u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u>
	This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release).
	This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view.
	The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the relocation, security, location related data, and RAB Assignment functions.
Consequences if not approved:	# Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5							
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X			
Y	N						
X							
	Other core specifications ⌘ TS 25.413 REL-4 CR571rev1 TS 25.419 REL-4 CR116 TS 25.419 REL-5 CR117 TS 25.423 REL-4 CR841 TS 25.423 REL-5 CR842 TS 25.433 REL-4 CR866 TS 25.433 REL-5 CR867 TS 25.453 REL-5 CR049 TS 25.453 REL-6 CR050						
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications
	X	Test specifications					
	X	O&M Specifications					
Other comments: ⌘							

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality information of the IEs/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), ~~this failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

- Semantic Error.
- Message not compatible with receiver state.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~ message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Class 3:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 3 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), ~~this failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

- Semantic Error.
- Message not compatible with receiver state.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 3 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~ message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 3 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.

- In case a response message, ~~failure message~~ or Error Indication message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.
- If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 17th-23th February 2003

Tdoc #R3-030888

CR-Form-v7

CHANGE REQUEST

25.419 CR 116 # rev - # Current version: **4.7.0**

For [HELP](#) on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the # symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps# ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	# Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	# RAN WG3		
Work item code:	# TEI4	Date:	# 23/05/2003
Category:	# F	Release:	# Rel-4
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories: F (correction) A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) B (addition of feature), C (functional modification of feature) D (editorial modification) Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases: 2 (GSM Phase 2) R96 (Release 1996) R97 (Release 1997) R98 (Release 1998) R99 (Release 1999) Rel-4 (Release 4) Rel-5 (Release 5) Rel-6 (Release 6)

Reason for change:	# SABP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	# The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures. <u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u> This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release). This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view. The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the relocation, security, location related data, and RAB Assignment functions.
Consequences if not approved:	# Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5							
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X			
Y	N						
X							
	Other core specifications ⌘ 25.413 REL-4 CR571r1 25.413 REL-5 CR572r1 25.419 REL-5 CR117 25.423 REL-4 CR841 25.423 REL-5 CR842 25.433 REL-4 CR866 25.433 REL-5 CR867 25.453 REL-5 CR049 25.453 REL-6 CR050						
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications
	X	Test specifications					
	X	O&M Specifications					
Other comments: ⌘							

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/>. For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality information of the IE's/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message to report this unsuccessful outcome, ~~the this failure~~-message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

- Semantic Error;
- Message not compatible with receiver state.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~-message to report this unsuccessful outcome, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.
- In case a response message, ~~failure message~~ or Error Indication message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.
- If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 17th-23th February 2003

Tdoc #R3-030889

CR-Form-v7	
CHANGE REQUEST	
# 25.419 CR 117 # rev - #	Current version: 5.3.0 #

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the # symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps# ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	# Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	# RAN WG3		
Work item code:	# TEI4	Date:	# 23/05/2003
Category:	# A	Release:	# Rel-5
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:
	F (correction)		2 (GSM Phase 2)
	A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)	R96	(Release 1996)
	B (addition of feature),	R97	(Release 1997)
	C (functional modification of feature)	R98	(Release 1998)
	D (editorial modification)	R99	(Release 1999)
	Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .	Rel-4	(Release 4)
		Rel-5	(Release 5)
		Rel-6	(Release 6)

Reason for change:	# SABP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	# The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures.
	<u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u>
	This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release).
	This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view.
	The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the relocation, security, location related data, and RAB Assignment functions.
Consequences if not approved:	# Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5																												
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X																								
Y	N																											
X																												
	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Other core specifications</td> <td>⌘</td> <td>25.413 REL-4 CR571r1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.413 REL-5 CR572r1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.419 REL-4 CR116</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.423 REL-4 CR841</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.423 REL-5 CR842</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.433 REL-4 CR866</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.433 REL-5 CR867</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.453 REL-5 CR049</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.453 REL-6 CR050</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Other core specifications	⌘	25.413 REL-4 CR571r1			25.413 REL-5 CR572r1			25.419 REL-4 CR116			25.423 REL-4 CR841			25.423 REL-5 CR842			25.433 REL-4 CR866			25.433 REL-5 CR867			25.453 REL-5 CR049			25.453 REL-6 CR050
Other core specifications	⌘	25.413 REL-4 CR571r1																										
		25.413 REL-5 CR572r1																										
		25.419 REL-4 CR116																										
		25.423 REL-4 CR841																										
		25.423 REL-5 CR842																										
		25.433 REL-4 CR866																										
		25.433 REL-5 CR867																										
		25.453 REL-5 CR049																										
		25.453 REL-6 CR050																										
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications																					
	X	Test specifications																										
	X	O&M Specifications																										
Other comments: ⌘																												

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/>. For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality information of the IE's/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message to report this unsuccessful outcome, ~~the this failure~~-message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

- Semantic Error;
- Message not compatible with receiver state.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~-message to report this unsuccessful outcome, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure Code* IE and the *Triggering Message* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.
- In case a response message, ~~failure message~~ or Error Indication message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.
- If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 17th-23th May 2003

Tdoc #R3-030890

CR-Form-v7	
CHANGE REQUEST	
# 25.423 CR 841 # rev - #	Current version: 4.8.0 #

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the # symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps# ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	# Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	# RAN WG3		
Work item code:	# TEI4	Date:	# 19/05/2003
Category:	# F	Release:	# Rel-4
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:
	F (correction)		2 (GSM Phase 2)
	A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)		R96 (Release 1996)
	B (addition of feature),		R97 (Release 1997)
	C (functional modification of feature)		R98 (Release 1998)
	D (editorial modification)		R99 (Release 1999)
	Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Rel-4 (Release 4)
			Rel-5 (Release 5)
			Rel-6 (Release 6)

Reason for change:	# RNSAP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	# The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures.
	<u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u>
	This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release).
	This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view.
	The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the class 1 functions.
Consequences if not approved:	# Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5																												
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X																								
Y	N																											
X																												
	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Other core specifications</td> <td>⌘</td> <td>25.413 REL-4 CR571r1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.413 REL-5 CR572r1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.419 REL-4 CR116</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.419 REL-5 CR117</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.423 REL-5 CR842</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.433 REL-4 CR866</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.433 REL-5 CR867</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.453 REL-5 CR049</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.453 REL-6 CR050</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Other core specifications	⌘	25.413 REL-4 CR571r1			25.413 REL-5 CR572r1			25.419 REL-4 CR116			25.419 REL-5 CR117			25.423 REL-5 CR842			25.433 REL-4 CR866			25.433 REL-5 CR867			25.453 REL-5 CR049			25.453 REL-6 CR050
Other core specifications	⌘	25.413 REL-4 CR571r1																										
		25.413 REL-5 CR572r1																										
		25.419 REL-4 CR116																										
		25.419 REL-5 CR117																										
		25.423 REL-5 CR842																										
		25.433 REL-4 CR866																										
		25.433 REL-5 CR867																										
		25.453 REL-5 CR049																										
		25.453 REL-6 CR050																										
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications																					
	X	Test specifications																										
	X	O&M Specifications																										
Other comments: ⌘																												

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/>. For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality information of the IEs/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), this ~~failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

Protocol Causes:

1. Semantic Error;
2. Message not Compatible with Receiver State.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~ message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure ID* IE, the *Triggering Message* IE and the *Transaction ID* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure ID* IE, the *Triggering Message* IE and the *Transaction ID* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.
- In case a response message, ~~failure message~~ or ERROR INDICATION message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.
- If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 19th-23th May 2003

Tdoc #R3-030891

CR-Form-v7

CHANGE REQUEST

⌘ 25.423 CR 842 ⌘ rev - ⌘ Current version: 5.5.0 ⌘

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	⌘ Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	⌘ RAN WG3		
Work item code:	⌘ TEI4	Date:	⌘ 19/05/2003
Category:	⌘ A	Release:	⌘ Rel-5
Use <u>one</u> of the following categories: F (correction) A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) B (addition of feature), C (functional modification of feature) D (editorial modification) Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases: 2 (GSM Phase 2) R96 (Release 1996) R97 (Release 1997) R98 (Release 1998) R99 (Release 1999) Rel-4 (Release 4) Rel-5 (Release 5) Rel-6 (Release 6)	

Reason for change:	⌘ RNSAP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	⌘ The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures. <u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u> This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release). This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view. The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the class 1 functions.
Consequences if not approved:	⌘ Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5																												
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X																								
Y	N																											
X																												
	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Other core specifications</td> <td>⌘</td> <td>25.413 REL-4 CR571r1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.413 REL-5 CR572r1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.419 REL-4 CR116</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.419 REL-5 CR117</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.423 REL-4 CR841</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.433 REL-4 CR866</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.433 REL-5 CR867</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.453 REL-5 CR049</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.453 REL-6 CR050</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Other core specifications	⌘	25.413 REL-4 CR571r1			25.413 REL-5 CR572r1			25.419 REL-4 CR116			25.419 REL-5 CR117			25.423 REL-4 CR841			25.433 REL-4 CR866			25.433 REL-5 CR867			25.453 REL-5 CR049			25.453 REL-6 CR050
Other core specifications	⌘	25.413 REL-4 CR571r1																										
		25.413 REL-5 CR572r1																										
		25.419 REL-4 CR116																										
		25.419 REL-5 CR117																										
		25.423 REL-4 CR841																										
		25.433 REL-4 CR866																										
		25.433 REL-5 CR867																										
		25.453 REL-5 CR049																										
		25.453 REL-6 CR050																										
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications																					
	X	Test specifications																										
	X	O&M Specifications																										
Other comments: ⌘																												

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/>. For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality information of the IEs/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), this ~~failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

Protocol Causes:

1. Semantic Error;
2. Message not Compatible with Receiver State.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~ message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure ID* IE, the *Triggering Message* IE and the *Transaction ID* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure ID* IE, the *Triggering Message* IE and the *Transaction ID* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.
- In case a response message, ~~failure message~~ or ERROR INDICATION message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 19th-23th May 2003

Tdoc #R3-030892

CR-Form-v7	
CHANGE REQUEST	
# 25.433 CR 866 # rev - #	Current version: 4.8.0 #

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the # symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps# ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	# Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	# RAN WG3		
Work item code:	# TEI4	Date:	# 19/05/2003
Category:	# F	Release:	# Rel-4
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:
	F (correction)		2 (GSM Phase 2)
	A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)		R96 (Release 1996)
	B (addition of feature),		R97 (Release 1997)
	C (functional modification of feature)		R98 (Release 1998)
	D (editorial modification)		R99 (Release 1999)
	Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Rel-4 (Release 4)
			Rel-5 (Release 5)
			Rel-6 (Release 6)

Reason for change:	# NBAP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	# The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures.
	<u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u>
	This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release).
	This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view.
	The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the class 1 functions.
Consequences if not approved:	# Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5								
Other specs	⌘	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Y</td> <td style="text-align: center;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> <td style="text-align: center;"></td> </tr> </table>	Y	N	X		Other core specifications	⌘
Y	N							
X								
				25.413 REL-4 CR571r1 25.413 REL-5 CR572r1 25.419 REL-4 CR116 25.419 REL-5 CR117 25.423 REL-4 CR841 25.423 REL-5 CR842 25.433 REL-5 CR867 25.453 REL-5 CR049 25.453 REL-6 CR050				
affected:		<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">X</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	X		X		Test specifications O&M Specifications	
X								
X								
Other comments: ⌘								

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality of the IEs/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message to report this unsuccessful outcome, ~~thise failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value.

Typical cause values are:

- Protocol Causes:
 1. Semantic Error
 2. Message not compatible with receiver state

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~ message to report this unsuccessful outcome, the procedure shall be terminated and the ERROR INDICATION procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure ID* IE, the *Triggering Message* IE and the *Transaction ID* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the ERROR INDICATION procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure ID* IE, the *Triggering Message* IE and the *Transaction ID* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclause of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.
- In case a response message, ~~failure message~~ or ERROR INDICATION message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.
- If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 19th-23th May 2003

Tdoc #R3-030893

CR-Form-v7

CHANGE REQUEST

⌘ **25.433 CR 867** ⌘ rev - ⌘ Current version: **5.4.0** ⌘

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	⌘ Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	⌘ RAN WG3		
Work item code:	⌘ TEI4	Date:	⌘ 19/05/2003
Category:	⌘ A	Release:	⌘ Rel-5
Use <u>one</u> of the following categories: F (correction) A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) B (addition of feature), C (functional modification of feature) D (editorial modification) Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases: 2 (GSM Phase 2) R96 (Release 1996) R97 (Release 1997) R98 (Release 1998) R99 (Release 1999) Rel-4 (Release 4) Rel-5 (Release 5) Rel-6 (Release 6)	

Reason for change:	⌘ NBAP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	⌘ The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures. <u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u> This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release). This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view. The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the class 1 functions.
Consequences if not approved:	⌘ Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5							
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X			
Y	N						
X							
	Other core specifications ⌘ 25.413 REL-4 CR571r1 25.413 REL-5 CR572r1 25.419 REL-4 CR116 25.419 REL-5 CR117 25.423 REL-4 CR841 25.423 REL-5 CR842 25.433 REL-4 CR866 25.453 REL-5 CR049 25.453 REL-6 CR050						
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications
	X	Test specifications					
	X	O&M Specifications					
Other comments: ⌘							

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/>. For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality information of the IEs/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure~~-message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), this ~~failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

Protocol Causes:

1. Semantic Error;
2. Message not Compatible with Receiver State.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure~~ message [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure ID* IE, the *Triggering Message* IE and the *Transaction ID* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value. The *Procedure ID* IE, the *Triggering Message* IE and the *Transaction ID* IE within the *Criticality Diagnostics* IE shall then be included in order to identify the message containing the logical error.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.
- In case a response message, ~~failure message~~ or ERROR INDICATION message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 17th-23th February 2003

Tdoc #R3-030894

CR-Form-v7	
CHANGE REQUEST	
# 25.453 CR 049 # rev - #	Current version: 5.5.0 #

For [HELP](#) on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the # symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps# ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	# Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	# RAN WG3		
Work item code:	# TEI5	Date:	# 23/05/2003
Category:	# F	Release:	# Rel-5
	Use <u>one</u> of the following categories:		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases:
	F (correction)		2 (GSM Phase 2)
	A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)		R96 (Release 1996)
	B (addition of feature),		R97 (Release 1997)
	C (functional modification of feature)		R98 (Release 1998)
	D (editorial modification)		R99 (Release 1999)
	Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Rel-4 (Release 4)
			Rel-5 (Release 5)
			Rel-6 (Release 6)

Reason for change:	# PCAP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	# The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures.
	<u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u>
	This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release).
	This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view.
	The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the relocation, security, location related data, and RAB Assignment functions.
Consequences if not approved:	# Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5							
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X			
Y	N						
X							
	Other core specifications ⌘ 25.413 REL-4 CR571r1 25.413 REL-5 CR572r1 25.419 REL-4 CR116 25.419 REL-5 CR117 25.423 REL-4 CR841 25.423 REL-5 CR842 25.433 REL-4 CR866 25.433 REL-5 CR867 25.453 REL-6 CR050						
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications
	X	Test specifications					
	X	O&M Specifications					
Other comments: ⌘							

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/>. For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality of the IEs/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Protocol Causes:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure-message~~ [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), ~~the this failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

1. Semantic Error.
2. Message not compatible with receiver state.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure-message~~ [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.
- In case a response message, ~~failure-message~~ or Error Indication message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.
- If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.

3GPP TSG-RAN3 Meeting #36
 Paris, France, 17th-23th February 2003

Tdoc #R3-030895

CR-Form-v7

CHANGE REQUEST

⌘ 25.453 CR 050 ⌘ rev - ⌘ Current version: 6.0.0 ⌘

For **HELP** on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ⌘ symbols.

Proposed change affects: UICC apps ME Radio Access Network Core Network

Title:	⌘ Correction of failure message used for logical errors		
Source:	⌘ RAN WG3		
Work item code:	⌘ TEI5	Date:	⌘ 23/05/2003
Category:	⌘ A	Release:	⌘ Rel-6
Use <u>one</u> of the following categories: F (correction) A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) B (addition of feature), C (functional modification of feature) D (editorial modification) Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900 .		Use <u>one</u> of the following releases: 2 (GSM Phase 2) R96 (Release 1996) R97 (Release 1997) R98 (Release 1998) R99 (Release 1999) Rel-4 (Release 4) Rel-5 (Release 5) Rel-6 (Release 6)	

Reason for change:	⌘ PCAP uses 'failure message' for reporting logical errors in section 10.4 whereas it is nowhere defined. Different interpretations can lead to use either Error Indication or the unsuccessful outcome message of class 1/3 procedures to report these errors.
Summary of change:	⌘ The Failure message used in section 10.4 is removed. Section 10.4 is clarified as for how to report the unsuccessful outcome caused by logical errors in both class 1 procedures and class 3 procedures. <u>Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):</u> This CR has isolated impact towards the previous version of the specification (same release). This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view. The impact can be considered isolated because it only affects the relocation, security, location related data, and RAB Assignment functions.
Consequences if not approved:	⌘ Inter-working problems due to logical errors incorrectly reported by different vendors.

Clauses affected: ⌘ 10.4, 10.5																												
Other specs	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Y	N	X																								
Y	N																											
X																												
	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>Other core specifications</td> <td>⌘</td> <td>25.413 REL-4 CR571r1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.413 REL-5 CR572r1</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.419 REL-4 CR116</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.419 REL-5 CR117</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.423 REL-4 CR841</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.423 REL-5 CR842</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.433 REL-4 CR866</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.433 REL-5 CR867</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25.453 REL-5 CR049</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Other core specifications	⌘	25.413 REL-4 CR571r1			25.413 REL-5 CR572r1			25.419 REL-4 CR116			25.419 REL-5 CR117			25.423 REL-4 CR841			25.423 REL-5 CR842			25.433 REL-4 CR866			25.433 REL-5 CR867			25.453 REL-5 CR049
Other core specifications	⌘	25.413 REL-4 CR571r1																										
		25.413 REL-5 CR572r1																										
		25.419 REL-4 CR116																										
		25.419 REL-5 CR117																										
		25.423 REL-4 CR841																										
		25.423 REL-5 CR842																										
		25.433 REL-4 CR866																										
		25.433 REL-5 CR867																										
		25.453 REL-5 CR049																										
affected:	<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>Test specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>O&M Specifications</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		X	Test specifications		X	O&M Specifications																					
	X	Test specifications																										
	X	O&M Specifications																										
Other comments: ⌘																												

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked ⌘ contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/>. For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

10.4 Logical Error

Logical error situations occur when a message is comprehended correctly, but the information contained within the message is not valid (i.e. semantic error), or describes a procedure which is not compatible with the state of the receiver. In these conditions, the following behaviour shall be performed (unless otherwise specified) as defined by the class of the elementary procedure, irrespective of the criticality of the IEs/IE groups containing the erroneous values.

Class 1:

Protocol Causes:

Where the logical error occurs in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure has a ~~failure-message~~ [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), ~~the this failure~~ message shall be sent with an appropriate cause value. Typical cause values are:

1. Semantic Error.
2. Message not compatible with receiver state.

Where the logical error is contained in a request message of a class 1 procedure, and the procedure does not have a ~~failure-message~~ [to report this unsuccessful outcome](#), the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value.

Where the logical error exists in a response message of a class 1 procedure, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.

Class 2:

Where the logical error occurs in a message of a class 2 procedure, the procedure shall be terminated and the Error Indication procedure shall be initiated with an appropriate cause value.

10.5 Exceptions

The error handling for all the cases described hereafter shall take precedence over any other error handling described in the other subclauses of clause 10.

- If any type of error (Transfer Syntax Error, Abstract Syntax Error or Logical Error) is detected in the ERROR INDICATION message, it shall not trigger the Error Indication procedure in the receiving Node but local error handling.
- In case a response message, ~~failure-message~~ or Error Indication message needs to be returned, but the information necessary to determine the receiver of that message is missing, the procedure shall be considered as unsuccessfully terminated and local error handling shall be initiated.
- If an error that terminates a procedure occurs, the returned cause value shall reflect the error that caused the termination of the procedure even if one or more abstract syntax errors with criticality “ignore and notify” have earlier occurred within the same procedure.