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# Introduction

This email discussion concerns three topics

1. Maintenance for 38.101-3 and 38.307 (EN-DC and NR-DC)
2. RAN2 LS on cell grouping for synchronous NR-DC
3. New UE capabilities (FG): ‘Only switched-UL’ capability for roaming UE and a modified FG [2-20]

*List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round*

* 1st round:
	1. decide which of the CR to pursue
	2. agreement on a what to reply to RAN2
	3. decision on introduction of proposed UE capabilities
* 2nd round: TBA

# Topic #1: Maintenance for 38.101-3 and 38.307

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2014958 | ZTE Corp. | CR to TS 38.101-3 on intra-band contiguous EN-DC BW class (Rel-16)CR 38.101-3 Cat-FSummary of change:1. Add intra-band contiguous EN-DC bandwidth class “AB” to Table 5.3B-1.
2. Editorial correction to table number of Table 5.3B-1.
3. Remove the note for uplink EN-DC configuration for DC\_(n)41AA and DC\_41A\_n41A in Table 5.3B.1.2-1.
 |
| R4-2015036 | ZTE Corp. | CR to TS 38.307 on the definition of the duplex-mode for the band configurationsCR 38.307 Cat-FSummary of change:By using the similar method of TS36.307, the NOTE for each ‘duplex-mode’ in the table is added. Also duplex mode of ‘FDD and TDD’ is added for PC3 inter-band ENDC. |
| R4-2015037 | ZTE Corp. | CR to TS 38.307 on the definition of the duplex-mode for the band configurationsCR 38.307 Cat-A |

## Open issues summary

No open issues listed, the CRs submitted are for ‘close-to-finalize Rel-16’ work.

### Sub-topic 1-1

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1: TBA**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: TBA
	+ Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 1-2

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-2: TBA**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: TBA
	+ Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

Comments in the CRs in the next sub-clause.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2014958TS 38.101-3 CR 382Rel-16 Cat-F | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2015036TS 38.307 CR 37Rel-15 Cat-F | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2015037TS 38.307 CR 38Rel-16 Cat-A | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: RAN2 LS on cell grouping for synchronous NR-DC

RAN4 has received an LS from RAN2 on UE cell-grouping capability for synchronous NR-DC asking for RAN4 input. What to reply?

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2014229 | Apple | On cell-grouping UE capability for synchronous NR-DC**Observation 1: it is beneficial to introduce cell grouping UE capability for synchronous NR-DC.****Observation 2: having differentiation between MCG and SCG for cell grouping UE capability can give UE more flexibility.****Proposal 1: To reply RAN2 that RAN4 sees benefit to introduce cell grouping UE capability for synchronous NR-DC, and the need of differentiation between MCG and SCG.** |
| R4-2014230 | Apple | Draft Reply LSOverall description:RAN4 has discussed this issue in RAN4#97e and determined the following:* Inter-band NR-DC configurations with three or more bands, including at least one FR2 band, are already defined in the Rel-16 version of TS38.101-3, with more configurations requested as part of the Rel-17 work item “Rel-17 NR Inter-band Carrier Aggregation/Dual Connectivity for 3 bands DL with 2 bands UL” [RP-201541]
* Configurations for inter-band NR-DC with three or more bands, where all bands are within FR1, are also requested as part of the Rel-17 work item “Rel-17 NR Inter-band Carrier Aggregation/Dual Connectivity for 3 bands DL with 2 bands UL” [RP-201541]
* RAN4 considers cell grouping UE capability signaling for synchronous NR-DC beneficial in these scenarios
* RAN4 further considers that differentiation between MCG and SCG is also beneficial in the related signaling design
 |
| R4-2014486 | Qualcomm Inc. | Draft Reply LSOverall description:From the point of view of RAN4 requirements, the RF requirements are the same irrespective of how the cells are grouped. All the CCs in a cell group have to be a valid CA combination with at least one UL CC. This information should already be available at the gNB through UE CA capability signalling. |
| R4-2016151 | Ericsson | Draft Reply LSOverall description:RAN4 has discussed the reasons above and is of the opinion that it is beneficial to define cell-grouping UE capability also for synchronous NR-DC not to limit support of deployment options. However, RAN4 recognises the concern on signalling overhead should LTE style cell grouping be adopted and that this approach would increase signalling overhead significantly. RAN4 is hoping that methods for limiting the signalling overhead used for other features could be employed also for cell grouping. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 2-1 RAN4 Reply LS on cell-grouping UE capability for synchronous NR-DC

*Sub-topic description: RAN4 Reply LS.*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-1: Reply to RAN2**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: in accordance with R4-2014230, “cell grouping UE capability signaling for synchronous NR-DC beneficial, […] differentiation between MCG and SCG is also beneficial in the related signaling design”,
	+ Option 2: in accordance with R4-2014486, “information should already be available at the gNB through UE CA capability signalling”
	+ Option 3: in accordance with R4-2016151, “beneficial to define cell-grouping UE capability, […] methods for limiting the signalling overhead used”
	+ Option 4: other option (specify which)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 2-2

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-2: TBA**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: TBA
	+ Option 2: TBA
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 2-1:Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #3: ‘Only switched-UL’ capability and a modified FG [2-20]

A continued discussion on “only switched-UL” capability and a modified version of the tentative FG 2-20.

Note: this is also discussed under agenda 8

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2015556 | Huawei, HiSilicon | Discussion on how to support EN-DC bandcombinations for Roaming UE**Observation 1: In order to meet the global 5G roaming function, UE vendors have to implement lots of EN-DC band combinations due to the lack of global unified ENDC band combinations.****Observation 2: UE vendor or IMT industry have to pay an expensive price to the roaming experience, if UE implements all the EN-DC band combinations with dual UL Tx that is only used in the roaming scenario.****Proposal 1: UE is allowed to indicate "only single switched UL" for any EN-DC band combinations when roaming.** |
| R4-2016487 | Huawei, HiSilicon | On UE capability for distinguishing EN-DC implementation capable for different deployment scenarios***Proposal: It is proposed to introduce new UE capability for UE supporting FDD-FDD inter-band EN-DC with overlapping DL spectrum.*** |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 2-1 UE capability ‘only switched-UL’ for roaming UE

*Sub-topic description: two new UE capabilities (FG)*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-1: ‘only switched-UL’ for roaming UE**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: allow UE indication of "only single switched UL" for any EN-DC band combinations when roaming.
	+ Option 2: do not allow this
	+ Option 3: other (specify which)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

### Sub-topic 2-2 UE capability ‘FDD-FDD inter-band EN-DC with overlapping DL spectrum’ (modified FG 2-20)

*Sub-topic description*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-2: modified version of FG 2-20 ‘FDD-FDD inter-band EN-DC with overlapping DL spectrum’**

Proposals

* + Option 1: introduce capability (FG) as proposed in R4-2015556
	+ Option 2: do not introduce the proposed FG
	+ Option 2: other (specify which)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBA

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 2-1: Sub topic 2-2:….Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |