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# 0 Introduction

The scope of this email discussion is core UE RRM requirements for NR positioning (AI 8.8.2.1.1 – 8.8.2.1.6). All Tdocs submitted to AI 8.8 were reviewed and relevant observations and proposals are included here. The following lists the topics discussed in submitted Tdocs.

* AI 8.8.2.1.2 PRS-RSTD measurements (Topic# 1 – 7)
	+ Side conditions
	+ Report mapping table(s)
	+ Intra-frequency vs. inter-frequency measurement
	+ Differential RSTD reporting
	+ Measurement period
	+ Measurement accuracy
	+ Measurement capability and reporting criteria
* AI 8.8.2.1.3 PRS-RSRP measurement topics (Topic# 8 – 15)
	+ Side conditions
	+ Report mapping table(s)
	+ Intra-frequency vs. inter-frequency measurement
	+ Differential RSRP requirements and reporting
	+ Non-DRX only mode in applicability of requirements
	+ Measurement period
	+ Measurement accuracy
	+ Measurement capability and reporting criteria
* AI 8.8.2.1.4 Rx-Tx time difference measurements (Topic# 16 – 24)
	+ Side conditions
	+ Report mapping table(s)
	+ Intra-frequency vs. inter-frequency measurement and their relationship to MG
	+ Differential Rx-Tx reporting
	+ Non-DRX only mode in applicability of requirements
	+ Measurement period
	+ Measurement accuracy
	+ Measurement capability and reporting criteria
	+ Proximity of SRS resources to PRS resources
* AI 8.8.2.1.5 SSB and CSI-RS RSRP/RSRQ measurements (Topic#35)
	+ Applicability of core R15 requirements of SSB and CSI-RS RSRP/RSRQ and existing MG configurations to E-CID
	+ Inclusion of SSB and CSI-RS SINR in E-CID measurements
* AI 8.8.2.1.6 Link-level evaluations for PRS-RSTD and PRS-RSRP (Topic#26)
	+ Updates to simulation assumptions
* Need for MG in PRS measurements (common to DL-PRS measurements) (Topic#27)

No Tdoc was submitted under AI 8.8.2.1.1 (System-level evaluations for PRS-RSTD and PRS-RSRP).

The following schedule is proposed for email discussions in 1st and 2nd rounds:

* 1st round:
	+ start Monday February 24
	+ Companies’ comments by Thursday February 27 (23:59 CT)
	+ Moderator to merge comments (if needed), collect tentative agreements (if any), WF for 2nd round of discussion on Friday February 28
* 2nd round:
	+ start Monday March 2
	+ Companies’ comments by Wednesday March 4 (23:59 CT)
	+ Moderator to merge comments (if needed), collect all agreements, prepare WF for RAN4#94-Bis On Thursday March 5

In providing comments, companies are encouraged to:

* Be concise
* Provide comments on all topics/sub-topics of interest to them
* Ensure that their comments are inserted in the latest version of the document by checking the folder before uploading
* Use “Track changes” to help identify added comments/changes

# Topic #1: PRS-RSTD Side conditions

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000589 | CATT | Side conditions for PRS RSTD measurements are defined as follows:* Side conditions for FR1 PRS RSTD measurements
	+ Neighbor cells: PRS Es/Iot = [-13] dB
	+ Reference cell: PRS Es/Iot = [-6] dB
* Side conditions for FR2 PRS RSTD measurements
	+ Neighbor cells: PRS Es/Iot = [-13] dB
	+ Reference cell: PRS Es/Iot = [-6] dB
 |
| R4-2000998 | MediaTek | Side conditions for FR1 and FR2 PRS-RSTD measurements:* Neighbor cells: PRS Es/Iot = -13 dB
* Reference cell: PRS Es/Iot = -6 dB
 |
| R4-2001637 | Huawei, HiSi | The accuracy requirements are defined for a limited set of combinations of PRS configuration (BW, comb, symbol number, repetition). The side condition is defined as -6dB for reference cell and -13dB for neighbor cells for both FR1 and FR2. |
| R4-2001941 | Ericsson | RSTD side conditions for the reference cell in FR1: -6 dB.RSTD side conditions for neighbour and reference cell in FR2: same as for FR1 |
| R4-2000735 | Qualcomm | For FR2, the side condition for PRS SNR of reference cell to be -3 dB and the side condition for PRS SNR of neighbor cells to be -10 dB. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 1-1 Reference cell side condition in FR1

From WF in RAN4-93, two options exist. Companies were asked to evaluate the two options in link-level evaluations of PRS-RSTD.

* Option 1: PRS Es/Iot = -6 dB (CATT/MediaTek/Huawei/Ericsson)
* Option 2: PRS Es/Iot = -3 dB (Qualcomm)

Possible agreement: Side condition for FR1 PRS-RTD reference cell to be PRS Es/Iot = -6 dB.

### Sub-topic 1-2 Reference and neighbor cells side conditions in FR2

From WF in RAN4-93, two options exist. Companies were asked to evaluate the two options in link-level evaluations of PRS-RSTD.

* Option 1: PRS Es/Iot = -6 dB for reference and PRS Es/Iot = -13 dB for neighbour (CATT/MediaTek/Huawei/Ericsson)
* Option 2: PRS Es/Iot = -3 dB for reference and PRS Es/Iot = -10 dB for neighbour (Qualcomm)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #2: PRS-RSTD report mapping table(s)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001632 | Huawei, HiSi | For RSTD report mapping, 1) The minimum value for configuration parameter k is -1. 2) Do not specify the scaling of the configuration parameter k with PRS BW. 3)The granularity is Tc\*2k for absolute value of RSTD <= 4096Ts, and 5\*Tc\*2k otherwise. |
| R4-2000389 | Intel | RSTD minimum reporting granularity can  |
| R4-2000589 | CATT | The reporting resolution of RSTD is defined as T = Tc2k and k is configured according to DL PRS bandwidth with a minimum value at most 0 |
| R4-2000783 | Apple | Proposal 1: The RSTD report mapping table will be defined per SCS per FR and choose the finest granularity among different BWs within each SCS.Proposal 2: The basic granularity of RSTD report mapping table is as defined in table 3.Proposal 3: The oversampling rate of RSTD report mapping table is same as LTE, i.e., 2, for the range from RSTD\_2260 to RSTD\_10451.Proposal 4: The granularity from RSTD\_2260 to RSTD\_10451 after oversampling is summarized in table 4 for FR1 and FR2.Proposal 5: if RSTD measurement is performed between PRSs with different SCS, then UE can follow the worst granularity between two SCSs to report.Proposal 6: similar as LTE, RAN4 will use a RSTD report mapping table together with a relative quantity mapping table for NR RSTD report.Proposal 7: For different SCSs in FR1, use the same unit as LTE for the baseline RSTD report mapping table, i.e., 1Ts=64TcProposal 8: Compared with relative RSTD quantity mapping table in LTE, Extend the relative quantity mapping table with more values to cover the different SCS in FR1 RSTD report mapping.Proposal 9: For different SCSs in FR2, use the 16Tc as unit for the baseline RSTD report mapping table.Proposal 10: for different SCS in FR2 RSTD report mapping, use the same number of values for relative quantity mapping table as FR1.Proposal 11: The RSTD report mapping table and relative quantity mapping table for different SCSs in FR1 is designed as table x-1 and x-2.Proposal 12: The RSTD report mapping table and relative quantity mapping table for FR2 is designed as table y-1 and y-2. |
| R4-2000998 | MediaTek | * One RSTD mapping table for both FR1 and FR2
* Time resolution is 4Tc for |RSTD|≤4096Ts
* Time resolution is 20Tc for 15391Ts >|RSTD|> 4096Ts

The RSTD reporting granularity is a UE capability. UE may report its capability to the network |
| R4-2001942 | Ericsson | * **Proposal 1**: Reuse LTE approach, where the UE reports a reference quantity rstd and a relative quantity .
* **Proposal 2**: The reportable reference quantities are the same as Table 9.1.10.3-1 from TS 36.133.
* **Proposal 3**: The maximum step in Table 2 is 32 Tc (kmax=5) for both center and edge ranges of the reference quantities, which corresponds to 0.5 Ts in LTE, both FR1 and FR2.
* **Proposal 4**: The minimum step in Table 2 is:
	+ In FR1:
		- 16 Tc (kmin,FR1,center=4) for the center range of the reference quantities, which corresponds to 0.25 Ts in LTE,
		- 32 Tc (kmin,FR1,edge=5) for the edge ranges of the reference quantities, which corresponds to 0.5 Ts in LTE.
	+ In FR2:
		- 1 Tc (kmin,FR2,center=0) for the center range of the reference quantities,
		- 16 Tc (kmin,FR2,edge=4) for the edge ranges of the reference quantities.
* **Observation**: the network may not always have enough information to request a reasonable parameter k (suggested in [2]), e.g., it knows which k-values are applicable for FR1 and FR2 but it may not know whether the measured RSTD is large (falls in one of the edge parts of Table 1 where, for example, 1 Tc resolution does not make sense) or not.
* **Proposal 5**: The UE shall use the recommended by the network k-value if the k-value is applicable for the measured RSTD to be reported, otherwise the UE shall choose, e.g., the applicable k´ closest to k.
 |
| R4-2000731 | Qualcomm | Observation. Using a different granularity for smaller vs. larger PRS-RSTD values of the same DL PRS BW is a violation of RAN1 agreement. PRS-RSTD measurements with larger values correspond to farther cells and they can be as important in the positioning fix. There is no reason to use a coarser granularity for them.Proposal. RAN4 to use uniform report mapping table(s) for PRS-RSTD measurements, i.e., smaller and larger PRS-RSTD values to have the same reporting granularity. Proposal. Reporting granularity for PRS-RSTD measurement to be as in Table 2Proposal Use Table 3 for PRS-RSTD measurement report mapping for FR1 with 100 MHz PRS BW when UE also measures PRS with 100 MHz. * RAN4 to consider extending the RSTD report mapping range to +/- 532 us as it does not increase the bitwidth of each measurement report.

Observation. In FR2, max RSTD of 500 us leads to more than 1.5 km cell radius which is quite impractical in terms of PRS hear-ability. Proposal. Scale down maximum RSTD range in FR2 by a factor of 4 (i.e., +/- 125 us) and adopt Table 4 for PRS-RSTD measurement report mapping for FR2 with 400 MHz PRS BW when UE also measures PRS with 400 MHz. * RAN4 to consider extending the RSTD report mapping range to +/- 133 us as it does not increase the bitwidth of each measurement report.

Proposal . PRS BW used for measurement report mapping is the minimum of configured DL PRS BW and the BW that UE can use for measurement subject to its capability.The same report mapping table as in Tables 3-4 should be used for smaller PRS BW as reduction in reporting payload is not as significant as differential RSTD reporting. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 2-1 Uniform vs. Non-uniform granularity

The issue is whehter the entire range of RSTD report mapping table is covered with a uniform step size (granularity) or larger granularity is used for edges (as in LTE). Companies are encouraged to analyze the pros and cons of each option such as agreements in other WGs, complexity, savings in bitwidth (reporting payload), specification impact, etc.

* Option 1. Non-uniform granularity in report mapping table(s) similar to LTE (Huawei, MediaTek, Ericsson, Apple
* Option 2. Uniform granularity in report mapping table(s) (Qualcomm)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 2-2 Number of report mapping tables

The issue is how many report mapping tables should be specified in TS 38.133. Companies are encouraged to analyze the pros and cons of each option such as complexity, signalling aspects, savings in bitwidth (reporting payload), specification impact, etc.

* Option 1. One table per SCS per FR (Apple)
* Option 2. One table per FR (Qualcomm)
* Option 3. One table (MediaTek, Ericsson)
* Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 2-3 RSTD range in FR2 for report mapping table

The issue is the max RSTD range in FR2 that should be reflected in report mapping table. This issue is dependent on the outcome of Sub-topic 2-2. Companies are encouraged to evaluate whether +/- 500us in FR2 is a practical consideration or not.

* Option 1. Same as FR1 (+/- 500 us) (Ericsson, MediaTek)
* Option 2. Scaled down by 4 in FR2 (+/- 125 us) (Qualcomm)
* Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 2-4 Relative report mapping table

The issue is whether two report mapping table is needed: one for reporting a coarse value of RSTD and one for refining the reported RSTD based on smaller granularity. This approach was taken in later release of LTE. Companies are encouraged to analyze the pros and cons of each option such as complexity, signalling aspects, savings in bitwidth (reporting payload), specification impact, etc.

* Option 1. No (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. Yes (Apple, Ericsson)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 2-5 Minimum granualirty in report mapping table

The issue is the minimum granularity in the report mapping table to be specified in TS 38.133. Companies are encouraged to analyze the pros and cons of each option such as complexity, signalling aspects, savings in bitwidth (reporting payload), specification impact, etc.

* Option 1. Tc/2 (Huawei/Intel)
* Option 2. Tc (CATT)
* Option 3. 8Tc for FR1 and 2Tc for FR2 (Apple)
* Option 4. 16Tc for FR1 and Tc for FR2 (Ericsson)
* Option 5. 4Tc for FR1 and Tc for FR2 (Qualcomm)
* Option 6. Other options not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 2-6 Parameter *k*

The issues related to parameter *k* are diverse and thus, companies are asked to reply to the questions below as raised in Tdocs.

* Q1: Does UE select parameter *k* or does it follow the configured value by NW?
* Q2: If UE selects parameter *k*, how is it done? Should this be specified, or should a minimum value of k be assumed based on e.g., configured PRS BW subject to UE capability?
* Q3: If UE selects parameter *k*, does it need to signal it to NW?

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2001943 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #3: Inter-frequency vs. Intra-frequency PRS-RSTD

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000389 | Intel | Observation 1: If the measured PRS resource’s central frequency is same as that of serving cell’s activated bandwidth, UE need NOT the measurement gap for RF operations. Proposal 1. Define several types of PRS measurements as: intra-frequency is defined as DL PRS resources of the measured neighbor cells/TRPs and the serving/reference cell/TRP have the same SCS and CP type, the same centre frequency, the same point-A, same configured PRS BW. MG is needed when measurements for PRS outside of activated BWP. Inter-frequency if DL PRS resources of the measured neighbor cells/TRPs and the serving/reference cell/TRP is different in any of SCS and CP type, centre frequency, point-A , configured PRS BW. MG not needed if the center PRS BW of the measured cell/TRP is same as that of serving cell’s activated BWP.  |
| R4-2000998 | MediaTek | RAN4 to define intra-frequency RSTD measurement as when the positioning frequency layer associated with a DL PRS resource set is* the same as that of the DL PRS resource set of the reference cell
* within UE’s active BWP

A RSTD measurement is defined to be an inter-frequency RSTD measurement if it is not an intra-frequency RSTD measurement |
| R4-2001637 | Huawei,HiSi | RAN4 not to define intra/inter-frequency for PRS measurement. |
| R4-2001941 | Ericsson | RAN4 defines the following RSTD measurement requirements:* + Intra-frequency measurement requirements, FR1 and FR2,
	+ Inter-frequency measurement requirements, FR1 and FR2.

RAN4 defines the following RSTD measurement accuracy requirements:* Intra-frequency measurement accuracy requirements, FR1 and FR2
* Inter-frequency measurement accuracy requirements, FR1 and FR2.

Intra/inter-frequency RSTD measurement definitions are as in Table 1 (R4-20001941) and follow similar principles as intra/inter-frequency CSI-RS based measurement definitions, earlier agreed by RAN4.  |
| R4-2000731 | Qualcomm | Intra-frequency RSTD is defined as RSTD measurements within the same positioning frequency layer if the SCS and CP of the positioning frequency layer is the same as UE’s active BWP and the BW of the positioning frequency layer is contained within the UE’s active BWP**.** |
| R4-2000593 | CATT | The measurement is defined as intra-frequency measurement in these cases：* The PRS resources to be measured are in the same frequency layer
* The PRS resources to be measured are not in the same frequency layer but have the same SCS and centre frequency, and the bandwidth are all within the active BWP

Otherwise the measurement is defined as Inter-frequency measurement. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 3-1

The first issue on this topic is whether intra-frequency and inter-frequency RSTD should be defined in RAN4. Companies are encouraged to provide their views considering the specification impact, how many types of requirements are needed with respect to MG and intra-vs-inter, and whether requirements need to be different for each type.

* Option 1: definition of intra-frequency and inter-frequency RSTD measurement is needed (CATT, MediaTek, Qualcomm, Intel, Ericsson)
* Option: No need to define intra-frequency and inter-frequency RSTD measurement (Huawei)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 3-2

If option 1 is agreed in Sub-topic 3-1, RAN4 needs to agree on the definition of intra-frequency and inter-frequency RSTD and the scenarios in which each category will require MG (if any). Companies’ opinions here are very diverse so the proposed definitions are not replicated below for the sake of brevity. Instead, the following questions are posed in hope of a better mutual understanding of the issue.

* Q1: if MG is needed for both intra-frequency and inter-frequency RSTD measurement according to a company’s definition, are the requirements (accuracy, measurement period, …) expected to be different between intra-frequency RSTD with MG and inter-frequency RSTD with MG? If yes, please elaborate
* Q2: if the answer to Q1 is No, what is the advantage of having intra-frequency with MG and inter-frequency with MG?
* Other views not previously expressed in Tdocs are welcomed.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #4: Differential PRS-RSTD reporting

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000731 | Qualcomm | Observation. The value of M PRS-RSTD reports between different pairs of DL PRS resources or DL PRS resource sets of the same pair of TRP are close as they reflect the distance between the same two geographically separated TRPs.Proposal. RAN4 to define differential RSTD report mapping table. UE to report the minimum RSTD value from the set of M RSTD values of the same TRP pair with absolute report mapping as in Tables 3-4 and use positive differential RSTD value for the remaining M-1 RSTD values.* FFS: the maximum range of differential RSTD report mapping
 |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 4-1

Reporting payload reduction is important in signaling overhead for NR positioning. Differential RSTD reporting can reduce this overhead. Companies are encouraged to share their views on this topic.

* Q1: RAN4 to define differential RSTD report mapping table?
	+ Option 1: Yes (Qualcomm)
	+ Option 2: No

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #5: PRS-RSTD measurement period

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000389 | Intel | The number of cells/TRPs which UE can perform the accurate DL PRS RSTD measurement can be [8]. UE capability related to NR positioning measurement requirements in RAN4 RRM can also be defined by the number of measurement positioning frequency layer.The allowed RSTD measurement delay would be multiplied by the number of layers that need to be measured as defined for LTE RSTD measurement. Where in, is the periodicity of DL PRS allocation in slots configured per DL PRS resource, is the number of PRS positioning resource for all cells (e.g. n=16 in []) to be detected and measured, is the measurement time for a single PRS positioning resource which includes the sampling time and the processing time.UE can continue PRS measurement in all target cells/TRPs for HO.The total measurement delay when serving cell changed (e.g. HO) can defined as: Where is the number of times the intra/inter-frequency handover occurs during .is the time during which the intra/inter-frequency RSTD measurement may not be possible due to intra/inter-frequency handover. |
| R4-2000589 | CATT | The measurement period requirements of NR can reuse the same equation as LTE. |
| R4-2000998 | MediaTek | UE measures at least n =16 cells and reports at least 15 RSTDs within msprovided that reference cell and there are at least 15 neighbor cells satisfying the side conditions in proposal1, where *k* is the number of positioning frequency layers, M = 16, and is the number of PRS slots in one PRS instance.Furthermore, it is assumed that is the same for all positioning frequency layers.The requirement on RSTD measurement period under cell is given by  mswhere *K* is the number of HO, is the PRS periodicity, and is given above |
| R4-2001637 | Huawei, HiSi | PRS measurement period is defined asTmeas\_PRS = NRxBeam \* Nfreq \* [(max(Tres, Tproc) \* [3] + Tproc] where NRxBeam is the scaling factor for Rx beam sweeping, Nfreq is the number of PRS frequency layers, Tres is the maximum resource periodicity among all PRS resources on the PRS frequency layer, Tproc is the PRS processing time as indicated in UE capability reporting. The requirements apply provided that on a PRS frequency layer the duration of any PRS occasion is no larger than the PRS buffering time as indicated in UE capability reporting, and the separation between any two PRS occasions is no less than Tproc.Follow the same principle as in LTE to define extension of RSTD measurement period due to HO. |
| R4-2001941 | Ericsson | * The RSTD measurement under handover depends on the number of serving cell changes and on the handover interruption time.
* RSTD measurement period is extended, but not more than a certain maximum, to compensate for the number of PRS occasions not available at the UE over a certain time period due to their overlap with SSB symbols, at least when the number of the non-available PRS occasions is large (e.g., >X% of the needed samples) and the SSB symbols location is known to the UE.
* When the measurement period is extended, the increase in the measurement period depends at least on the periodicity of the PRS resource which has non-available occasions and the number of such non-available PRS occasions, but may further depend on the measurement gap configuration, etc.
	+ The extension is proportional to max(T1\_PRS\*N1\_PRS,T2\_PRS\*N2\_PRS), where T1\_PRS and T2\_PRS are the PRS periodicity for the reference and neighbor TRPs, respectively, and N1\_PRS and N2\_PRS are the numbers of periodic occasions with dropped PRS in the reference and neighbor TRPs, respectively.
* If the number of PRS occasions not available at the UE exceeds an acceptable limit, the measurement can be dropped, i.e., no further extension of the measurement period is allowed.
 |
| R4-2000731 | Qualcomm | Max number of DL PRS resources per frequency layer constrained by UE capability is The total number of required PRS periods, in units of TPRS to process PRS resources is where and {N1, T1} is the signalled capability duplet for PRS processing. Observation 3. The total number of PRS periods, in units of TPRS, required to process PRS resources is where and {N2, T2} is the signalled capability duplet for PRS buffering.PRS-RSTD measurement period for PRS resources in one frequency layer can be expressed as Where * is the UE processing time as expressed in Observation 2 (FFS)
* is the UE buffering time as expressed in Observation 3 (FFS)
* When UE signals a list of {N1,T1} and {N2,T2}, as opposed to one {N1,T1} and one {N2,T2}, a separate is derived for each pair and max of all is used.
 |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 5-1 Number of TRPs/DL-PRS resource sets/DL-PRS resources

RSTD measurement period is a function of number of DL PRS resources that UE should measure. The issue is whether the measurement period should be defined based on a minimum number of RSTDs or it should be based on UE’s signaled capabilities.

* Option 1: PRS-RSTD measurement period is based on a minimum number of RSTDs to be measured (Intel, MediaTek, CATT)
* Option 2: PRS-RSTD measurement period is based on UE’s signalled capabilities (details FFS) without a minimum number of RSTDs to be measured (Huawei, Qualcomm)
* Option 3: other options not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 5-2 Parameters impacting measurement period

A few companies have presented their views on which parameters impact the RSTD measurement period. The goal in this section is to only list the relevant parameters. Companies are encouraged to review the list below and provide their comments.

* PRS-RSTD measurement period per frequency layer is a function of the following parameters:
	+ Number of RSTD pairs per frequency layer to be measured
	+ Periodicity of PRS resources (FFS on details if PRS resources have different periodicities)
	+ : the measurement time for a single PRS positioning resource which includes the sampling time and the processing time.
	+ NRxBeam : the scaling factor for Rx beam sweeping
	+ UE processing time per signalled UE capability (details FFS)
	+ UE buffering time per signalled UE capability (details FFS)
	+ Number of PRS occasions not available at the UE over a certain time period due to their overlap with SSB symbols (details FFS)
	+ Other parameters are not excluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 5-3 Impact of HO on measurement period

During RSTD measurement period, several HO’s may occur. In WF from RAN4#93, it was agreed to extend the measurement period but details were left as FFS.

PRS-RSTD measurement period is extended due to HO as:

* Option 1: The total measurement delay when serving cell changed (e.g. HO) can defined as: where is the number of times the intra/inter-frequency handover occurs during . is the time during which the intra/inter-frequency RSTD measurement may not be possible due to intra/inter-frequency handover (Intel)
* Option 2: The RSTD measurement under handover depends on the number of serving cell changes and on the handover interruption time (Ericsson).
* Option 3: The requirement on RSTD measurement period under cell is given by ms where *K* is the number of HO, is the PRS periodicity, and is RSTD measurement period without HO.
* Option 4: other options not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #6: PRS-RSTD measurement accuracy

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000731 | Qualcomm | PRS-RSTD measurement accuracy requirements to be defined using samples from only one DL PRS resource repetition for reference and neighbor, i.e., the time duration spanned by one DL PRS resource after repetition by *DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor*. Combining measurements across PRS repetitions, if possible and available, to improve performance should be left to UE implementation. |
| R4-2001941 | Ericsson | Applicable accuracy requirements for RSTD measurements under cell change:* For intra-frequency HO, intra-frequency accuracy applies;
* For inter-frequency HO,
	+ When the measured inter-frequency becomes a serving carrier frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies,
	+ When the measured inter-frequency remains inter-frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies,

When the measured intra-frequency becomes inter-frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies. . |

## Open issues summary

The accuracy requirement is defined based on “N” DL PRS resource repetition for reference and neighbor. Companies are encouraged to provide their views on the value of N.

### Sub-topic 6-1 Number of samples for accuracy requirements (N)

* Option 1. N = 1 (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. ?

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 6-2 Accuracy requirements in HO

Applicability of accuracy requirements under HO.

Option 1.Applicable accuracy requirements for RSTD measurements under cell change:

* For intra-frequency HO, intra-frequency accuracy applies;
* For inter-frequency HO,
	+ When the measured inter-frequency becomes a serving carrier frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies,
	+ When the measured inter-frequency remains inter-frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies,
* When the measured intra-frequency becomes inter-frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies. .

Option 2. ?

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #7: PRS-RSTD reporting capability and criteria

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000589 | CATT | The UE capability that the number of frequency layer UE can monitor can be defined as {1,2,4} and the number of TRPs per frequency layer is depend on the system simulation. |
| R4-2001637 | Huawei, HiSi | RAN4 not to define measurement capability in terms of number of PRS frequency layers, TRPs, resource sets and resources that UE shall be able to measure.The measurement requirements apply only if the number of configured PRS frequency layers, TRPs, resource sets and resources is within UE reported capabilities.Ecat = 1 for RSTD measurement per positioning session. |
| R4-2001941 | Ericsson | Ecat=1 for intra-frequency RSTD measurements, 1 report capable of RSTD measurements and PRS-RSRP measurements (when configured together with the RSTD) on at least TBD PRS resources, per intra-frequency layer.Ecat=1 for inter-frequency RSTD measurements, 1 report capable of RSTD measurements and PRS-RSRP measurements (when configured together with the RSTD) on at least TBD PRS resources, per inter-frequency layer. |
| R4-2000731 | Qualcomm | In DL-TDOA positioning, each PRS-RSTD measurement reporting criterion corresponds to one frequency layer with Ecat = 1 indicating PRS-RSTD measurement reports.FFS:  |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 7-1 Measurement capability

Per RAN1 agreement, a list of UE capabilities in terms of number of positioning frequency layers, number of TRPs per frequency layer, number of resource sets per TRP, .... are defined and will be signalled as UE capability. The issue is whether RAN4 should specify anything further.

RAN4 to define measurement capability in terms of number of positioning frequency layers, number of TRPs per frequency layer, number of resurce sets per TRP, .... ?

* Option 1: Yes (at least for positioning frequency layer) (CATT)
* Option 2. No (Huawei)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 7-2 Reporting criteria (Ecat)

RAN4 should define measurement reporting criteria (Ecat) for PRS-RSTD measurement. The issues are the value of Ecat, the level of separation (separate Ecat for inter-frequency and inter-frequency).

Ecat for PRS-RSTD reporting to be:

* Option 1: In DL-TDOA positioning, each PRS-RSTD measurement reporting criterion corresponds to one frequency layer with Ecat = 1 indicating PRS-RSTD measurement reports. (Qualcomm)
* Option 2: Ecat=1 for (Ericsson)
	+ intra-frequency RSTD measurements, 1 report capable of RSTD measurements and PRS-RSRP measurements (when configured together with the RSTD) on at least TBD PRS resources, per intra-frequency layer.
	+ inter-frequency RSTD measurements, 1 report capable of RSTD measurements and PRS-RSRP measurements (when configured together with the RSTD) on at least TBD PRS resources, per inter-frequency layer.
* Option 3 Ecat = 1 for RSTD measurement per positioning session.(Huawei)
* Option 4. Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #1: PRS-RSRP side conditions

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000732 | Qualcomm | Only one side condition is needed and applicable to PRS resources in the assistance data for PRS-RSRP. This side condition is proposed to be the same as neighbour cell side condition in RSTD requirements.  |
| R4-2000590 | CATT | The side condition for serving cell for PRS RSRP should be defined and the value is the same as the side condition for reference cell for RSTD |
| R4-2000999 | MediaTek | RAN4 to discuss side conditions for PRS-RSRP relative accuracy requirement |
| R4-2001638 | Huawei, HiSi | Define the side conditions on reference cell and neighbor cells for PRS-RSRP measurement by re-using the SINR levels from RSTD measurement requirements. |
| R4-2001944 | Ericsson | For OTDOA, PRS-RSRP side conditions are specified for reference (which may or may not be serving) TRP and neighbor TRPs, in which case the PRS-RSRP side conditions are the same as for RSTD.For DL-AoD and Multi-RTT, PRS-RSRP side conditions are specified for serving TRP and neighbor TRPs.For DL-AoD and Multi-RTT, the side conditions for FR1 and FR2 are:* + -3 dB for serving TRP,
	+ -13 dB for non-serving TRP.
 |

## Open issues summary

The open issues on this topic include how many different sets of side conditions are needed for PRS-RSRP (e.g., depending on concurrency with other positioning measurements), whether side condition for serving cell is necessary, whether side condition for reference cell is necessary, and their values.

### Sub-topic 8-1 Side condition for neighbor cells

Side condition for neighbor cell in PRS-RSRP measurement:

* Option 1. Same as side condition for neighbor cell in PRS-RSTD (Qualcomm, Huawei, Ericsson)
* Option 2. ?

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 8-2 Side condition for serving cells

Side condition for serving cell in PRS-RSRP measurement:

* Option 1. Needed in DL-AoD and multi-RTT and proposed to be -3 dB (Ericsson)
* Option 2. Needed and same as side condition for reference cell PRS-RSTD, i.e., -6 dB (CATT)
* Option 3. Not needed (Huawei, Qualcomm)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 8-3 Side condition for reference cells

Side condition for reference cell in PRS-RSRP measurement:

* Option 1. Needed in DL-TDOA and proposed to be the same as that in PRS-RSTD (Ericsson)
* Option 2. Needed in all positioning methods and proposed to be the same as that in PRS-RSTD (Huawei)
* Option 3. Not needed (Qualcomm)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #9: Differential (relative) PRS-RSRP requirements

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000590 | CATT | Both relative and absolute accuracy are needed for PRS RSRP. |
| R4-2000999 | MediaTek | No need to define absolute PRS-RSRP accuracy requirementsRAN4 to define relative accuracy requirements for PRS-RSRP  |
| R4-2001638 | Huawei | Both absolute and relative accuracy requirements are defined for PRS-RSRP. |
| R4-2001944 | Ericsson | RAN4 defines the following PRS-RSRP measurement requirements:* Intra-frequency measurement requirements, FR1 and FR2,
* Inter-frequency measurement requirements, FR1 and FR2.

RAN4 defines the following PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy requirements:* Intra-frequency measurement accuracy requirements, FR1 and FR2
* Inter-frequency measurement accuracy requirements, FR1 and FR2.
 |
| R4-2000732 | Qualcomm | DL-AoD is the only clear use case of PRS-RSRP measurement wherein serving gNB transmit PRS resources in a beam-sweeping manner and UE measures RSRP of each PRS resource with a fixed Rx beam. Positioning calculation is based on TRP geographical locations and PRS beam information (e.g., azimuth, width, elevation). In multi-RTT and DL-TDOA, no clear use case of PRS-RSRP measurement other than being a weighting factor on other measurements (e.g., UE Rx-Tx time difference or RSTD) has yet been identified. In DL-AoD positioning method, differential PRS-RSRP measurement is used for positioning calculation. In multi-RTT or DL-TDOA with PRS-RSRP used as a weighting factor for other timing related measurements, differential RSRP serves the purpose and there is no need to have absolute PRS-RSRP requirements. RAN4 to define only differential measurement accuracy requirements for PRS-RSRP. |

## Open issues summary

The issue here is how many sets of requirements are needed for PRS-RSRP; relative (differential) and/or absolute requirements. Companies are encouraged to consider the usage of relative and absolute requirements in different positioning methods.

### Sub-topic 9-1

Type of requirements should be defined for PRS-RSRP measurements in RAN4:

* Option 1. Both relative (differential) and absolute (Ericsson, Huawei, CATT)
* Option 2. Only relative (differential) (MediaTek, Qualcomm)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

Possible agreement: RAN4 to define at least relative (differential) requirements for PRS-RSRP.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #10: PRS-RSRP report mapping tables

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000590 | CATT | For the minimum value of PRS-RSRP report mapping there is no need to define a lower value than the existing SS-RSRP report mapping. |
| R4-2000999 | MediaTek | The minimum value of SS-RSRP reporting table, namely -140 dBm, can be reused for PRS-RSRP reportingSupport PRS-RSRP differential report. Reuse SS-RSRP differential reporting design for PRS-RSRP differential report, i.e., 30dB as maximum range and 2dB as step size |
| R4-2001945 | Ericsson | For PRS-RSRP, reuse measurement report mapping specified for Rel-15 SS-RSRP, i.e., the measurement report mapping consists of 128 values.For differential PRS-RSRP, the range of the measured quantities specified in the measurement report mapping for Rel-15 differential SS-RSRP is reused but with the resolution 1 dB, i.e., the measurement report mapping consists of 31 values. |
| R4-2000732 | Qualcomm | Differential PRS-RSRP report mapping table can be one-sided covering only negative values since UE can select one PRS resource from each TRP as reference and report differential PRS-RSRP measurement with respect to it.RAN4 to use Table 1 (differential SS-RSRP report mapping table) for differential PRS-RSRP report mapping table.Min value of absolute PRS-RSRP report mapping table to be the same as that in existing RSRP reporting mapping table in TS 38.133. |
| R4-2001632 | Huawei, HiSi | For PRS-RSRP report mapping, the minimum value is same as SS-RSRP. |

## Open issues summary

Companies views are aligned in regard to absolute PRS-RSRP report mapping table. The SS-RSRP absolute report mapping table in TS 38.133 can be used for absolute PRS-RSRP report mapping. For differential report mapping, the range (-30, 0] dB appears agreeable but different views exist for granularity*.*

### Sub-topic 10-1 Absolute PRS-RSRP report mapping table

Possible agreement: RAN4 to use absolute SS-RSRP report mapping table in TS 38.133 for absolute PRS-RSRP report mapping table.

### Sub-topic 10-2 Relative PRS-RSRP report mapping table

Possible agreement: The min/max values of differential PRS-RSRP report mapping table shall be the same as min/max of differential SS-RSRP report mapping table in TS 38.133

Granularity (step size) of differential PRS-RSRP report mapping table:

* Option 1. 2 dB (Qualcomm, MediaTek)
* Option 2. 1 dB (Ericsson)
* Other options not precluded

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2001946 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #11: Non-DRX requirements for PRS-RSRP

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000999 | MediaTek | Non-DRX requirements apply for PRS-RSRP measurement period, regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE. |
| R4-2001638 | Huawei, HiSi | Non-DRX requirements apply for PRS-RSRP measurement period, regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE |
| R4-2001944 | Ericsson | RAN4 will define different PRS-RSRP measurement requirements for the non-DRX case and DRX case.When DRX is used and PRS-RSRP is configured to be measured along with UE Rx-Tx or configured for AOD positioning, the PRS-RSRP measurement period depends on DRX cycle length. |

## Open issues summary

In RAN4#93, it was agreed that non-DRX requirements apply for PRS-RSTD measurement period, regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE. The issue here is whether the same should be applicable to PRS-RSRP measurement period.

### Sub-topic 11-1

PRS-RSRP measurement requirements will be defined for:

* Option 1: only non-DRX regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE (Huawei, MediaTek)
* Option 2: both non-DRX and DRX cases (Ericsson)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

#  Topic #12: Inter-frequency vs. Intra-frequency PRS-RSRP

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000999 | MediaTek | For the scenario that PRS-RSRP measurement is configured independently, RAN4 to define intra-frequency PRS-RSRP measurement as when the positioning frequency layer of a DL PRS resource set is within UE’s active BWP. A PRS-RSRP measurement is defined to be an inter-frequency PRS-RSRP measurement if it is not an intra-frequency PRS-RSRP measurement |
| R4-2001638 | Huawei, HiSi | Conclusions for RSTD measurement on PRS measurement capability and the need for measurement gap or scheduling restriction should be re-used for PRS-RSRP measurement. |
| R4-2001944 | Ericsson | Intra/inter-frequency PRS-RSRP measurement definitions are as in Table 1 and follow similar principles as intra/inter-frequency CSI-RS based measurement definitions, earlier agreed by RAN4. |

## Open issues summary

Companies views on this issue are not any different from definition of intra-frequency and inter-frequency for PRS-RSTD. Instead of replicating the thread, the question is posed whether companies agree to apply the same conclusions and/or agreements from Topic#3 to this topic.

### Sub-topic 12-1

Can the same definitions for intra-frequency and inter-frequency PRS-RSTD measurements (if defined) be applicable to PRS-RSRP measurements?

* Option 1. Yes (Ericsson, Huawei, MediaTek)
* Option 2. No (please elaborate)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #13: PRS-RSRP measurement period

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000590 | CATT | Measurement period requirements of PRS RSRP when measured alone can reuse the requirement of RSTD measurement.  |
| R4-2000999 | MediaTek | For non-DRX case, UE measures and reports PRS-RSRP for at least n =16 cells within msprovided that there are 16 cells satisfying Es/Iot , where *k* is the number of positioning frequency layers, M = 16, and is the number of PRS slots in one PRS instance.Furthermore, it is assumed that is the same for all positioning frequency layers.**Proposal 5**: The requirement on PRS-RSRP measurement period under cell is given by  mswhere *K* is the number of HO, is the PRS periodicity, and is given above |
| R4-2001638 | Huawei, HiSi | As a starting point, re-use the measurement period requirements of RSTD for PRS-RSRP measurement. The achievable accuracy should be further checked.  |
| R4-2001944 | Ericsson  | **Proposal**:If PRS-RSRP is configured to be measured along with UE Rx-Tx using the same assistance data:Then the measurement periods of PRS-RSRP and UE Rx-Tx are the same.**Proposal**: When not configured to be measured along with RSTD or UE Rx-Tx measurements, the PRS-RSRP measurement period is extended to compensate for the number of PRS occasions not available at the UE due to their overlap with SSB symbols, at least when the number of the non-available PRS occasions is large (e.g., >X% of the needed samples) and the SSB symbols location is known to the UE.When configured with UE Rx-Tx or RSTD, the PRS-RSRP measurement period is the same as that for UE Rx-Tx or RSTD, respectively.**Proposal:** When the measurement period is extended, the increase in the measurement period depends at least on the periodicity of the PRS resource which has non-available occasions and the number of such non-available PRS occasions, but may further depend on the measurement gap configuration, DRX, etc.The extension is proportional to T\_PRS\*N\_PRS, where T\_PRS is the PRS periodicity, and N\_PRS is the number of periodic occasions with dropped PRS.**Proposal**: If the number of PRS occasions not available at the UE exceeds an acceptable limit, the measurement can be dropped, i.e., no further extension of the measurement period is allowed..**Proposal**: When not configured together with UE Rx-Tx, the UE behavior rules for PRS-RSPR measurement under cell change are the same as for RSTD; otherwise, the rules are the same as for UE Rx-Tx. |
| R4-2000732 | Qualcomm | Max number of DL PRS resources per frequency layer constrained by UE capability is for PRS-RSRP measurement.The total number of required PRS periods, in units of TPRS to process PRS resources is where and {N1, T1} is the signalled capability duplet for PRS processing. The total number of PRS periods, in units of TPRS, required to process PRS resources is where and {N2, T2} is the signalled capability duplet for PRS buffering.Proposal . PRS-RSRP measurement period for PRS resources in one frequency layer can be expressed as Where * is the UE processing time as expressed in Observation 4 (FFS)
* is the UE buffering time as expressed in Observation 5 (FFS)
* When UE signals a list of {N1,T1} and {N2,T2}, as opposed to one {N1,T1} and one {N2,T2}, a separate is derived for each pair and max of all is used.
 |

##  Open issues summary

Companies views on this issue are not any different from discussion on PRS-RSTD measurement period. Instead of replicating the thread, the question is posed whether companies agree to apply the same conclusions and/or agreements from Topic#5 to this topic.

### Sub-topic 13-1

Can the same principals in the definition of PRS-RSTD measurement period be applicable to PRS-RSRP measurement period?

* Option 1. Yes (Ericsson, Huawei, MediaTek, Qualcomm)
* Option 2. No (please elaborate)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #14: PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000591 | CATT | The PRS-RSRP measurement accuracy becomes better as the number of used samples increase, and the absolute measurement error of PRS-RSRP is less than 2dB in the case of 5 samples. |
| R4-2000732 | Qualcomm | PRS RSRP measurement accuracy to be defined using samples from only one DL PRS resource repetition, i.e., the time duration spanned by one DL PRS resource after repetition by *DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor*. Combining measurements across PRS repetitions, if possible and available, to improve performance should be left to UE implementation. |
| R4-2001638 | Huawei, HiSi | As a starting point, re-use the measurement period requirements of RSTD for PRS-RSRP measurement. The achievable accuracy should be further checked.  |

## Open issues summary

The accuracy requirement is defined based on “N” DL PRS resource repetition for reference and neighbor. Companies are encouraged to provide their views on the value of N.

### Sub-topic 14-1 Number of samples for accuracy requirements (N)

* Option 1. N = 1 (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. N = 5 (CATT)
* Option 3. Needs further study. Other values are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #15: PRS-RSRP reporting capability and criteria

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001638 | Huawei, HiSi | UE should be able to support 1 reporting criteria per supported positioning technique among DL-TDOA, DL-AoD and Multi-RTT. |
| R4-2001944 | Ericsson | **Proposal**: When PRS-RSRP measurements are configured together with RSTD, the RSTD reporting criteria shall also include PRS-RSRP reports.**Proposal**: When PRS-RSRP measurements are configured together with UE Rx-Tx, the UE Rx-Tx reporting criteria shall also include PRS-RSRP reports.**Proposal**: Separate reporting criteria for PRS-RSRP are specified when PRS-RSRP measurements are not configured with RSTD or UE Rx-Tx. |
| R4-2000732 | Qualcomm | In DL-AoD positioning, each PRS-RSRP measurement reporting criterion corresponds to one frequency layer with Ecat = 1 indicating PRS resources.* FFS:
 |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 15-1 Measurement capability

Per RAN1 agreement, a list of UE capabilities in terms of number of positioning frequency layers, number of TRPs per frequency layer, number of resource sets per TRP, .... are defined and will be signalled as UE capability. The issue is whether RAN4 should specify anything further.

RAN4 to define measurement capability in terms of number of positioning frequency layers, number of TRPs per frequency layer, number of resurce sets per TRP, .... ?

* Option 1: Yes
* Option 2. No (Huawei)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 15-2 Reporting criteria (Ecat)

RAN4 should define measurement reporting criteria (Ecat) for PRS-RSRP measurement. The issues are the value of Ecat, the level of separation (separate Ecat for PRS-RSRP reporting alone or along with other measuremnts).

Ecat for PRS-RSRP reporting to be:

* Option 1: In DL-AoD positioning, each PRS-RSRP measurement reporting criterion corresponds to one frequency layer with Ecat = 1 indicating PRS resources. FFS: (Qualcomm)
* Option 2: When PRS-RSRP measurements are configured together with RSTD, the RSTD reporting criteria shall also include PRS-RSRP report. When PRS-RSRP measurements are configured together with UE Rx-Tx, the UE Rx-Tx reporting criteria shall also include PRS-RSRP reports. Separate reporting criteria for PRS-RSRP are specified when PRS-RSRP measurements are not configured with RSTD or UE Rx-Tx.(Ericsson)
* Option 3. UE should be able to support 1 reporting criteria per supported positioning technique among DL-TDOA, DL-AoD and Multi-RTT.(Huawei)
* Option 4. Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #16: Rx-Tx time difference side conditions

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000603 | CATT | Side conditions (PRS Es/Iot) for UE Rx-Tx time difference for serving cell are defined same as side conditions for SSB-RSRP measurement in FR1 or FR2. |
| R4-2001000 | MediaTek | Serving cell:* Side conditions (PRS Es/Iot) for UE Rx-Tx time difference in FR1: -6dB
* Side conditions (PRS Es/Iot) for UE Rx-Tx time difference in FR2: -6dB.
 |
| R4-2001639 | Huawei, HiSi | Define the side conditions on reference cell and neighbor cells for Rx-Tx time different measurement by re-using the SINR levels from RSTD measurement requirements. |
| R4-2001940 | Ericsson | Serving cell side condition for UE Rx-Tx: -3 dB. |
| R4-2000733 | Qualcomm | In multi-RTT positioning technique, only one side condition is needed and applicable to PRS resources in the assistance data for UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement. This side condition is proposed to be the same as neighbour cell side condition in RSTD requirements.  |

## Open issues summary

In RAN4#93 meeting, it was agreed to use the same side condition for neighbour cell in PRS-RSTD for Rx-Tx time difference measurement. The open issues on this topic include whether side condition for reference cell is needed and if so, what it should be, and whether side condition for serving cell is needed and if so, what it should be.

### Sub-topic 16-1 Side condition for serving cells

Side condition for serving cell in Rx-Tx time difference measurement:

* Option 1. Needed and proposed to be -3 dB (Ericsson)
* Option 2: Needed and proposed to be -6 dB (MediaTek)
* Option 3. Needed and same as side condition for serving cell in PRS-RSRP (CATT)
* Option 4. Not needed (Huawei, Qualcomm)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 16-2 Side condition for reference cells

Side condition for reference cell in Rx-Tx time difference measurement:

* Option 1. Needed and same as reference cell side condition for PRS-RSTD (Huawei)
* Option 2. Not needed (Qualcomm)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #17: Rx-Tx report mapping table(s)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000604 | CATT | The UE Rx – Tx time difference measurement can be negative value.The report mapping of UE Rx – Tx time difference measurement should be designed coverage range of -0.5ms ~ 0.5ms.Similarly, the report mapping of gNB Rx – Tx time difference measurement should be designed coverage range of -0.5ms ~ 0.5ms.The RTT can be calculated from (UE Rx – Tx time difference) + (gNB Rx – Tx time difference). If calculated RTT is negative value, it should be corrected by adding 1ms. |
| R4-2001000 | MediaTek | For the UE Rx-Tx time difference mapping table* One mapping table for both FR1 and FR2
* Time resolution is 4Tc for | Rx-Tx\_time\_diff | < 4096Ts
* Time resolution is 16Tc for 20472Ts >| time\_diff | 4096Ts

The UE Rx-Tx time difference reporting granularity is a UE capability. UE may report its capability to the network |
| R4-2001859 | Ericsson | In FR1 the serving gNB can configure one of the three possible values ofwhich impacts the UE Rx-Tx measurement report mapping.The positioning node needs to be aware of the *N*TA offset used by the UE for deriving the reported UE Rx-Tx measurement value.gNB needs to be aware of the granularity parameter (*k*) used by the UE for deriving the reported UE Rx-Tx measurement value when reporting the UE Rx-Tx measurement value to gNB.In FR1 the UE shall signal the information about the *N*TA offset used for deriving the reported value when reporting UE Rx-Tx measurement value to the positioning node.UE shall signal the granularity parameter (*k*) used for deriving the reported value when reporting UE Rx-Tx measurement value to gNB.Smaller value of granularity parameter, *k*, leads to finer resolution compared to larger value of k. In FR1 the sampling rate is much lower than in FR2. The basic principle is that the resolution shall not be larger than 128Tc and 1024Tc for lower and upper parts of the report mapping (i.e. at least as good as LTE UE Rx-Tx report mapping). The resolution proportionally increases with *k* as shown inTdocIn FR1 the UE Rx-Tx reporting mapping is defined for the granularity parameter, *k* ≥ 3.In FR2 the UE Rx-Tx reporting mapping is defined for all values of the granularity parameter, *k* ≥ 0.In FR1, for UE Rx-Tx measured only on the serving cell, the largest number of reportable values (for *k* =3) shall be 8189.In FR2, for UE Rx-Tx measured only on the serving cell, the largest number of reportable values (for *k* =0) shall be 131041.UE configured with multi-RTT positioning is required to report the UE Rx-Tx measurement from multiple cells with measured value which can be larger than the maximum value in the UE Rx-Tx report mapping being defined for only serving cell measurement.For multi-RTT positioning the UE Rx-Tx report mapping is obtained by extending the upper bound of the UE Rx-Tx report mapping defined for serving cell by 10308\*64 Tc resulting in the maximum range corresponding to RSTD in LTE.In FR1, for UE Rx-Tx measured on serving and neighbor cells (multi-RTT), the largest number of reportable values for (*k* =3) shall be 21535.In FR2, for UE Rx-Tx measured on serving and neighbor cells (multi-RTT), the largest number of reportable values for (*k* =0) shall be 172273. |
| R4-2001632 | Huawei, HiSi | For UE Rx-Tx time different report mapping, Reporting range is from -15391Ts to +15391Ts. Reporting granularity is re-used from RSTD. |
| R4-200073 | Qualcomm | Using a different granularity for smaller vs. larger UE Rx-Tx time difference values of the same DL PRS BW is a violation of RAN1 agreement. In multi-RTT, measurements with larger UE Rx-Tx time difference values correspond to farther cells and they are as important in the positioning fix. There is no reason to use a coarser granularity for them.RAN4 to use uniform report mapping table(s) for UE Rx-Tx time difference measurements, i.e., smaller and larger UE Rx-Tx time difference values to have the same reporting granularity. Reporting granularity for UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement to be as in Table 2 of TdocUse Table 3 of Tdoc for UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement report mapping for FR1 with 100 MHz PRS BW when UE also measures PRS with 100 MHz BW where is either configured through *n-TimingAdvanceOffset* or default values in Table 7.1.2-3 of TS 38.133 is used. Use Table 4 of Tdoc for UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement report mapping for FR2 with 400 MHz PRS BW when UE also measures PRS with 400 MHz BW where is either configured through *n-TimingAdvanceOffset* or default values in Table 7.1.2-3 of TS 38.133 is used. PRS BW used for measurement report mapping is the minimum of configured DL PRS BW and the BW that UE can use for measurement subject to its capability. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 17-1 Uniform vs. Non-uniform granularity

The issue is whehter the entire range of Rx-Tx time difference report mapping table is covered with a uniform step size (granularity) or larger granularity is used for edges (as in LTE). Companies are encouraged to analyze the pros and cons of each option such as agreements in other WGs, complexity, savings in bitwidth (reporting payload), specification impact, etc.

* Option 1. Non-uniform granularity in report mapping table(s) similar to LTE (Huawei, MediaTek, Ericsson)
* Option 2. Uniform granularity in report mapping table(s) (Qualcomm)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 17-2 Number of report mapping tables

The issue is how many report mapping tables should be specified in TS 38.133. Companies are encouraged to analyze the pros and cons of each option such as complexity, signalling aspects, savings in bitwidth (reporting payload), specification impact, etc.a

* Option 1. One table per FR (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. One table (MediaTek)
* Option 3. Three tables in FR1 and one table in FR2 (Ericsson)
* Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 17-3 Negative values in report mapping

In multi-RTT, UE measures Rx-Tx time difference from neighbor cells in addition to serving cell. Given the definition of Rx-Tx time difference measurement in TS 38.215, some companies believe the report mapping should cover both positive and negative values. Companies are encouraged to investigate this issue.

Should the Rx-Tx time difference report mapping table(s) be double-sided (both positive and negative values) or single-sided?

* Option 1. Double-sided (Huawei, CATT, MediaTek)
* Option 2. Single-sided (Qualcomm, Ericsson)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 17-4 Range of report mapping table

The issue is the max range that should be reflected in report mapping table. Companies are encouraged to evaluate the range given the agreements in RAN1 for max RSTD as well as cell radius supported in each numerology.

* Option 1. Same as LTE (20472Ts) (MediaTek)
* Option 2. Same as RSTD in FR1 (15391Ts) (Huawei)
* Option 3. 1969920\*Tc in FR1 and 1983712\*Tc in FR2 (Ericsson)
* Option 4. 2097148\*Tc in FR1 and 65536\*Tc in FR2 (Qualcomm)
* Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 17-5 Relative report mapping table

The issue is whether two report mapping table is needed: one for reporting a coarse value of Rx-Tx and one for refining the reported Rx-Tx based on smaller granularity. This approach was taken in later release of LTE. Companies are encouraged to analyze the pros and cons of each option such as complexity, signalling aspects, savings in bitwidth (reporting payload), specification impact, etc.

* Option 1. No (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. Yes

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 17-6 Minimum granualirty in report mapping table

The issue is the minimum granularity in the report mapping table to be specified in TS 38.133. Companies are encouraged to analyze the pros and cons of each option such as complexity, signalling aspects, savings in bitwidth (reporting payload), specification impact, etc.

* Option 1. 4Tc (MediaTek)
* Option 2. 32Tc in FR1 and 4Tc in FR2 (Ericsson)
* Option 3. 4Tc in FR1 and Tc in FR2 (Qualcomm)
* Option 4. Same as RSTD (Huawei)
* Other options not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 17-7 Parameter *k*

The issues related to parameter *k* are diverse and thus, companies are asked to reply to the questions below as raised in Tdocs.

* Q1: Does UE select parameter *k* or does it follow the configured value by NW?
* Q2: If UE selects parameter *k*, how is it done? Should this be specified, or should a minimum value of k be assumed based on e.g., configured PRS BW subject to UE capability?
* Q3: If UE selects parameter *k*, does it need to signal it to NW?

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 17-8 Parameter NTA,Offset

The issues relates to at least 4 possible values of NTA,Offset in NR compared to two values in LTE and whether this vlaue (or an index to the proper table) should be signalled to NW.

Does UE need to signal NTA,Offset used for Rx-Tx time difference measurement and report mapping to NW?

* Option 1. Yes (Ericsson)
* Option 2. No

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #18: Differential Rx-Tx time difference measurement reporting

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000733 | Qualcomm | The M UE Rx-Tx time difference report belong to the same TRP and are logically close in value as they are a measure of round-trip delay to that TRP. RAN4 to define differential UE Rx-Tx time difference report mapping table. UE to report the minimum UE Rx-Tx time difference value from the set of M UE Rx-Tx time difference values of the same TRP with absolute report mapping as in Tables 3-4 and use positive differential UE Rx-Tx time difference value for the remaining M-1 UE Rx-Tx time difference values.* FFS: the maximum range of differential UE Rx-Tx time difference report mapping
 |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 18-1 Differential report mapping table(s)

Reporting payload reduction is important in signaling overhead for NR positioning. Differential Rx-Tx reporting can reduce this overhead. Companies are encouraged to share their views on this topic.

* Q1: RAN4 to define differential Rx-Tx report mapping table?
	+ Option 1: Yes (Qualcomm)
	+ Option 2: No

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #19: Non-DRX requirements for Rx-Tx time difference

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001000 | MediaTek | For multi-RTT, Non-DRX requirements apply for UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement period, regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE |
| R4-2001639 | Huawei, HiSi | Non-DRX requirements apply for Rx-Tx time different measurement period, regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE. |
| R4-2000733 | Qualcomm | The same high-level requirements in terms of final positioning error and time-to-fix also applies to multi-RTT. Given the sensitivity of timing measurements to latency, UE and gNB clock drift, and UE mobility, non-DRX mode in UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement is as important. Non-DRX requirements apply for UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement period, regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE.  |

## Open issues summary

In RAN4#93, it was agreed that non-DRX requirements apply for PRS-RSTD measurement period, regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE. The issue here is whether the same should be applicable to Rx-Tx time difference measurement period.

### Sub-topic 19-1

Rx-Tx time difference measurement requirements will be defined for:

* Option 1: only non-DRX regardless of whether and which DRX configuration is configured for the UE (Huawei, MediaTek, Qualcomm)
* Option 2: both non-DRX and DRX cases

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #20: Inter-frequency vs. Intra-frequency Rx-Tx time difference

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001000 | MediaTek | RAN4 to define intra-frequency UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement as when the positioning frequency layer of a DL PRS resource set is within UE’s active BWP. Otherwise, the UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement is defined to be an inter-frequency measurement |

## Open issues summary

Companies views on this issue are not any different from definition of intra-frequency and inter-frequency for PRS-RSTD. Instead of replicating the thread, the question is posed whether companies agree to apply the same conclusions and/or agreements from Topic#3 to this topic.

### Sub-topic 20-1

Can the same definitions for intra-frequency and inter-frequency PRS-RSTD measurements (if defined) be applicable to Rx-Tx time difference measurements?

* Option 1. Yes (MediaTek)
* Option 2. No (please elaborate)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #21: Rx-Tx time difference measurement period

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000603 | CATT | The measurement period of UE Rx-Tx time difference is defined same as measurement period of PRS-RSRP measurement when UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement is configured to be measured along with PRS-RSRP using the same assistance data, otherwise, same as measurement period of SSB-RSRP or CSI-RSRP measurement in non-DRX and DRX mode. |
| R4-2001000 | MediaTek | For multi-RTT, the measurement period and the corresponding requirements are the same as that for RSTD measurement, provided that the side conditions are the same, and provided that SRS resource is configured in the measurement periodFor multi-RTT, when PRS-RSRP is configured to be measured along with UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement using the same assistance data:* the measurement period of UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement remains the same as that in proposal above.
 |
| R4-2000733 | Qualcomm |  Max number of DL PRS resources per frequency layer constrained by UE capability is The total number of required PRS periods, in units of TPRS to process PRS resources is where and {N1, T1} is the signalled capability duplet for PRS processing. The total number of PRS periods, in units of TPRS, required to process PRS resources is where and {N2, T2} is the signalled capability duplet for PRS buffering. PRS measurement period for PRS resources in one frequency layer can be expressed as Where * is the UE processing time as expressed in Observation 3 (FFS)
* is the UE buffering time as expressed in Observation 4 (FFS)
* When UE signals a list of {N1,T1} and {N2,T2}, as opposed to one {N1,T1} and one {N2,T2}, a separate is derived for each pair and max of all is used.
 |
| R4-2001639 | Huawei, HiSi | Re-use the measurement period requirements of RSTD for Rx-Tx time different measurement.  |
| R4-2001940 | Ericsson | UE Rx-Tx measurement period depends on PRS periodicity and SRS periodicity and is based on UE Rx-Tx measurement period is extended, but not more than a certain maximum, to compensate for the number of PRS occasions not available at the UE over a certain time period due to their overlap with SSB symbols, at least when the number of the non-available PRS occasions is large (e.g., >X% of the needed samples) and the SSB symbols location is known to the UE.* Similarly, the impact of dropped SRS on the measurement period needs to be accounted for.

When the measurement period is extended, the increase in the measurement period depends at least on the periodicity of the PRS/SRS resource which has non-available occasions and the number of such non-available PRS/SRS occasions, but may further depend on the measurement gap configuration, DRX, etc.* The extension is proportional to max(T\_PRS,T\_SRS)\*N, where T\_PRS and T\_SRS are the PRS periodicity and SRS periodicity, respectively, and N is the number of time intervals of length max(T\_PRS,T\_SRS) where at least one of PRS and SRS is dropped.

If the number of PRS/SRS occasions not available at the UE exceeds an acceptable limit, the measurement can be dropped, i.e., no further extension of the measurement period is allowed.When the on-going UE Rx-Tx measurement continues under a serving cell change, the UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement period is extended, and the extension depends on the number of serving cell changes and on the corresponding interruption time. |

## Open issues summary

Companies views on this issue are not any different from discussion on PRS-RSTD measurement period. Instead of replicating the thread, the question is posed whether companies agree to apply the same conclusions and/or agreements from Topic#5 to this topic.

### Sub-topic 21-1 Principals of Rx-Tx measurement period

Can the same principals in the definition of PRS-RSTD measurement period be applicable to PRS-RSRP measurement period?

* Option 1. Yes (Ericsson, Huawei, MediaTek, Qualcomm)
* Option 2. No (please elaborate)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 21-2 Additional factors specific to Rx-Tx measurement

In addition to the factors outlined in SubTopic 5-2, what are the factors specifically impacting Rx-Tx time difference measurement

* SRS resource periodicity (Ericsson)
* Others (please elaborate)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 21-3 Rx-Tx measurement period under serving cell change

PRS-RSTD measurement period is extended due to HO change as discussed in SubTopic 5-3. However, in RAN4#93 meeting, it was agreed that Rx-Tx time difference measurement is restarted when serving cell changes if the changed serving cell is configured with SRS

Does Rx-Tx time difference measurement period need to be extended in case of serving cell change if serving cell change is not configured with SRS? Details FFS.

* Option 1. Yes (Ericsson)
* Option 2. No (please elaborate)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #22: Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001940 | Ericsson | Applicable accuracy requirements for UE Rx-Tx measurements under cell change:* For intra-frequency serving cell change, intra-frequency accuracy applies;
* For inter-frequency serving cell change,
	+ When the measured inter-frequency becomes a serving carrier frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies,
	+ When the measured inter-frequency remains inter-frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies,
	+ When the measured intra-frequency becomes inter-frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies.
 |
| R4-2000733 | Qualcomm | UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy requirements to be defined using samples from only one DL PRS resource repetition, i.e., the time duration spanned by one DL PRS resource after repetition by *DL-PRS-ResourceRepetitionFactor* and one SRS resource repetition. Combining measurements across PRS/SRS repetitions, if possible and available, to improve performance should be left to UE implementation.With respect to TA commands during a positioning session, accuracy requirements are valid under the condition that no changes to UL transmission timing are applied during the measurement period. * A measurement period includes an instance of PRS and its associated SRS as in Proposal 3. Exact definition is FFS.

Two factors impact the accuracy requirements of gNB/UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement:* Time-of-arrival (TOA) estimation error from DL PRS (for UE) or UL SRS (for gNB) resources
* Rx-Tx calibration error

RAN4 to use the same simulation assumptions as in RSTD link-level simulation assumptions to provide CDFs of TOA estimation error where TOA error = estimated TOA – ideal TOA. The performance and accuracy of multi-RTT depends on Rx-Tx calibration error on both UE and gNB. Hence, the error budget should be viewed holistically from both sides. Allocating a small error budget on one side (UE or gNB) while permitting a disproportionately larger error budget on the other side is nonsensical. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 22-1 Number of samples for accuracy requirements (N)

The accuracy requirement is defined based on “N” DL PRS resource repetition for reference and neighbor. Companies are encouraged to provide their views on the value of N.

* Option 1. N = 1 (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. ?

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 22-2 Applicability of accuracy requirement with TA update

In LTE, accuracy requirements where applicable only if no update to UL timing due to TA change was applied during the measurement period.

Are accuracy requirements applicable to Rx-Tx time difference measurements if UL timing change is applied due to TA update during a measurement period? Details of measurement period is FFS.

* Option 1. No (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. Yes

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 22-3 Simulation assumptions

Companies’ views on how to define the Rx-Tx time difference measurement accuracy requirements are encouraged. As a first step, TOA estimation accuracy can be evaluated.

* Option 1. Use the PRS-RSTD simulation assumptions and add CDF of TOA for three cells as additional metrics to be evaluated (Qualcomm)
* Other options not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 22-4 Applicable accuracy requirements under cell change

* Option 1. Applicable accuracy requirements for UE Rx-Tx measurements under cell change (Ericsson):
	+ For intra-frequency serving cell change, intra-frequency accuracy applies;
	+ For inter-frequency serving cell change,
		- When the measured inter-frequency becomes a serving carrier frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies,
		- When the measured inter-frequency remains inter-frequency: inter-frequency accuracy applies,
		- When the measured intra-frequency becomes inter-frequency: inter-frequency accuracy appliesOther options not precluded.
* Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #23: Rx-Tx time difference reporting capability and criteria

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001639 | Huawei, HiSi | Ecat = 1 for Rx-Tx time difference measurement per positioning session. |
| R4-2000733 | Qualcomm | In multi-RTT positioning, each UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement reporting criterion corresponds to one frequency layer with Ecat = 1 indicating UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement reports.* FFS:
 |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 23-1 Measurement capability

Per RAN1 agreement, a list of UE capabilities in terms of number of positioning frequency layers, number of TRPs per frequency layer, number of resource sets per TRP, .... are defined and will be signalled as UE capability. The issue is whether RAN4 should specify anything further.

RAN4 to define measurement capability in terms of number of positioning frequency layers, number of TRPs per frequency layer, number of resurce sets per TRP, .... ?

* Option 1: Yes
* Option 2. No (Huawei)

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 23-2 Reporting criteria (Ecat)

RAN4 should define measurement reporting criteria (Ecat) for Rx-Tx time difference measurement. The issues are the value of Ecat, the level of separation.

Ecat for Rx-Tx time difference reporting to be:

* Option 1. 1 per positioning session (Huawei)
* Option 2. In multi-RTT positioning, each UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement reporting criterion corresponds to one frequency layer with Ecat = 1 indicating UE Rx-Tx time difference measurement reports.(Qualcomm)
	+ FFS:

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #24: Proximity of SRS and PRS in Rx-Tx time difference measurement

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000733 | Qualcomm | UE motion, UE clock drift and gNB clock drift can all result in significant errors for measurements that are conducted apart in time but are all used to generate the same position fix.The core measurement and performance requirements for UE Rx-Tx time difference applies if the configured *SRS-Slot-offset* and *SRS-Periodicity* parameters for SRS resource for positioning are such that any SRS transmission is within [-X, X] msec of at least one DL PRS resource from each of the TRPs in the assistance data. * FFS: X = 25 msec
* Note: Such a RS configuration may enable sufficiently close measurements in time in both the UE and TRPs
 |

## Open issues summary

UE motion, UE clock drift and gNB clock drift can all result in significant errors for measurements that are conducted apart in time but are all used to generate the same position fix.

### Sub-topic 24-1

* Option 1. The core measurement and performance requirements for UE Rx-Tx time difference applies if the configured *SRS-Slot-offset* and *SRS-Periodicity* parameters for SRS resource for positioning are such that any SRS transmission is within [-X, X] msec of at least one DL PRS resource from each of the TRPs in the assistance data (Qualcomm)
	+ FFS: X = 25 msec
	+ Note: Such a RS configuration may enable sufficiently close measurements in time in both the UE and TRPs
* Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #25: E-CID positioning method

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000734 | Qualcomm | R15 core measurement requirements, measurement report mapping, and measurement accuracy requirements for SS-RSRP, SS-RSRQ, CSI-RS-RSRP and CSI-RS-RSRQ are applicable to E-CID positioning technique without any modification.All existing measurement gap configurations in R15 applicable to RRM measurements to be applicable for E-CID measurements. |
| R4-2001950 (LS) | Ericsson  | RAN4 would like to inform about RAN4 conclusion that SINR measurements (SS-SINR and CSI-SINR) would need to be included in the set of NR E-CID measurements and would not require any additional complexity in the UE, since the reportable NR E-CID measurements are the measurements that are already available in the UE. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 25-1 SS-RSRP/SS-RSRQ/CSI-RS-RSRP/CSI-RS-RSRQ requirements

* Option 1. (Qualcomm, Ericsson)
	+ R15 core measurement requirements, measurement report mapping, and measurement accuracy requirements for SS-RSRP, SS-RSRQ, CSI-RS-RSRP and CSI-RS-RSRQ are applicable to E-CID positioning technique without any modification.
	+ All existing measurement gap configurations in R15 applicable to RRM measurements to be applicable for E-CID measurements.
* Other options are not precluded.

Possible agreement: agree on option 1 above.

### Sub-topic 25-2 Addition of SS-SINR/CSI-RS-SINR for E-CID measurements

Should SS-SINR and CSI-RS SINR be included for E-CID measurements?

* Option 1. Yes (Ericsson)
* Option 2. No

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2001948 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| R4-2001949 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #26: Updates to link-level simulation assumptions

Few companies submitted link-level simulation results. Due to nature of e-meeting and lack of adequate participation from companies, the discussion here is only focused on necessary updates to simulation assumptions.

For RAN4#94-Bis meeting, interested companies are encouraged to provide simulation results according to simulation assumptions and the agreed updates (if any).

Morever, companies are encouraged to provide:

* any specific assumptions (e.g., number of samples used to arrive at a measurement, power boost, ...) not included or agreed in the simulation assumptions
* tabulated results according to the agreed metrics (e.g., 90% of RSTD error) as opposed to providing only graphs (reading graphs is time-consuming and prone to error)

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2001636 | Huawei, HiSi | We see some updates are needed to [1] as follows:* In [1] three comb sizes are included: comb-4, comb-6, comb-2 (with muting). In RAN1#99, comb-12 was also agreed, so it should be included in the updated simulation assumption.
* In [1] both sync and async cases are to be simulated, where the timing offset between the 3 cells for async case is <0, 7 symbols, -7 symbols>. It means the PRS from one cell will collide with data loads of the other two cells. In particular for the two neighbour cells, the reference cell will cause strong interference, and we understand this may not be the typical scenario in real deployment. Also, with 50% utilization of non-PRS symbols, it is difficult to control the Es/Iot to the required values. We therefore suggest to remove the async case from the assumption.
* In [1] the performance metric for RSTD are ‘RSTD error CDFs for 3 cells’ and ‘90%-ile of the RSTD errors for each cell’, however, with 3 cells in the simulation, there are only two RSTD measurements for the two neighbor cells. The performance metric should be updated to avoid confusion.

[1] R4-1915802 |
| R4-2000735 | Qualcomm | Study the impact of *PRS-ResourceTimeGap* > 1 in FR1 before finalizing the RSTD accuracy requirements for mobility channels.  |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 26-1 Inclusion of comb-12

Should comb-12 be included in the simulation assumptions?

* Option 1. Yes (Huawei)
* Option 2. No

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 26-2 Removal of async scenario in FR1

Should async scenario be removed in the simulation assumptions for FR1?

* Option 1. Yes (Huawei)
* Option 2. No

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 26-3 Inclusion of PRS-ResourceTimeGap

Should different values of PRS-ResourceTimeGap be evaluated? Details FFS.

* Option 1. Yes (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. No

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

### Sub-topic 26-4 Inclusion of TOA as a performance metric

As discussed in Topic#22, TOA estimation accuracy is needed to define the accuracy requirements of Rx-Tx time difrence measurement.

Should Time-of-arrival error (TOA error = real TOA – estimated TOA) be included for cells 1-3 in the list of performance metrics (i.e., 90% of CDF of TOA error)?

* Option 1. Yes (Qualcomm)
* Option 2. No

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #1: MG for PRS measurements

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2000388 | Intel | With current agreements for NR positioning, measurement gaps is necessary for PRS measurements when the DL PRS resource is outside the configured BWPs. Additional UE specific measurement gap for PRS measurement in the different BWP will introduce high system complexity.If PRS BW central frequency is same as that of activated BWP of the serving cell, UE may NOT need the measurement gap for RF operations. In order to reduce both system and UE implementation complexity when measuring PRS outside of activated BWP, it is unnecessary to configure the measurement gap for the PRS measurement outside of the activated BWP under the condition below. Otherwise the measurement gap is needed indeed.* The center frequency of measurement PRS BW can overlap that of the activated BWP of serving cell
 |
| R4-2001637 | Huawei, HiSi | For FR1 and when PRS is within serving cell BWP and also the SCS is same as that of serving cell BWP, UE shall be able to measure PRS without measurement gap or causing any scheduling restriction. Otherwise, UE should be allowed to request measurement gaps for PRS measurement and is required to meet the PRS measurement requirements only when it is provided measurement gaps for PRS measurement. |
| R4-2001941 | Ericsson | At least Rel-15 measurement gap configurations are also applicable for OTDOA measurements.* + Measurement gaps applicability is clarified for OTDOA measurements in Section 9.1.2.

The need for gaps is according to Table 1 in Tdoc for PRS-RSTD measurements (Similar to table for PRS-RSRP) |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 27-1 Applicability of R15 MG patterns to OTDOA measurements

* + Option 1: At least Rel-15 measurement gap configurations are also applicable for OTDOA measurements (Ericsson)
	+ Measurement gaps applicability is clarified for OTDOA measurements in Section 9.1.2.
	+ Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

### Sub-topic 27-2 Need for MG to measure PRS

* + Option 1 (Intel):
	+ If PRS BW central frequency is same as that of activated BWP of the serving cell, UE may NOT need the measurement gap for RF operations.
	+ In order to reduce both system and UE implementation complexity when measuring PRS outside of activated BWP, it is unnecessary to configure the measurement gap for the PRS measurement outside of the activated BWP under the condition below. Otherwise the measurement gap is needed indeed.
		- The center frequency of measurement PRS BW can overlap that of the activated BWP of serving cell

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Definition | Need gap or Not |
| intra-frequency  | DL PRS resources of the measured neighbor cells/TRPs and the serving/reference cell/TRP have* the same SCS and CP type
* the same centre frequency
* the same point-A
* same configured PRS BW
 | NO |
| Yes: when measurements for PRS outside of activated BWP. |
| inter-frequency  | DL PRS resources of the measured neighbor cells/TRPs and the serving/reference cell/TRP is different in any of* SCS and CP type
* centre frequency
* point-A
* configured PRS BW
 | No: if the centre PRS BW of the measure cell/TRPs is same as that of serving cell’s activated BW.  |
| Yes |

* + Option 2 (Huawei):
		- For FR1 and when PRS is within serving cell BWP and also the SCS is same as that of serving cell BWP, UE shall be able to measure PRS without measurement gap or causing any scheduling restriction.
		- Otherwise, UE should be allowed to request measurement gaps for PRS measurement and is required to meet the PRS measurement requirements only when it is provided measurement gaps for PRS measurement.
	+ Option 3 (Ericsson): The need for gaps is according to Table below

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***Definition*** | ***Need for measurement gaps Note 1*** |
| *Intra-frequency* | * *the bandwidth of the PRS resource configured for the measurement on the neighbour cell is within the bandwidth of at least one resource (e.g., PRS or CSI-RS resource or SSB) configured for a measurement on the serving cell, and*
* *the SCS of the active BWP in the serving cell and of the RSTD measurement are the same*

*NOTE: for RSTD, the above conditions are met for both reference and the other DL links* | *not needed* | *The measurement is over the PRS bandwidth which is fully within the active BWP of the UE* |
| *needed* | *The measurement is over the PRS bandwidth which is not fully within the active BWP of the UE* |
| *Inter-frequency* | *if at least one of the two conditions above is not met**NOTE: for RSTD, this applies for at least one of the reference and the other DL links* | *not needed* | *The measurement is over the PRS bandwidth which is fully within the active BWP of the UE* |
| *needed* | *The measurement is over the PRS bandwidth which is not fully within the active BWP of the UE* |
| *NOTE 1: For RSTD configured for a reference and the other DL links, measurement gaps may be needed for both DL links, for one of the two DL links, or for none of the two DL links, depending for which of the two DL links the conditions are met.* |

* + Other options are not precluded.

Recommended WF: Further discussion needed. Collect companies’ views.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
| #1 |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

## Summary on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 2nd round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs/WFs/LSs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP/LS/WF number** | **T-doc Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 2nd round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |