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## 4 Rel-17 non-spectrum related on-going work items for NR and LTE

### 4.1 NR repeater

#### 4.1.1 General requirement maintenance

#### 4.1.2 Conductive RF core requirement maintenance

**R4-2216607 CR to 38.106: ACRR requirements**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.106 v17.2.0 CR-0023 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216610 CR to 38.106: EVM requirements**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.106 v17.2.0 CR-0024 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216613 CR to 38.106: ACLR requirements**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.106 v17.2.0 CR-0025 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.1.3 Radiated RF core requirement maintenance

**R4-2215490 CR for 38.106 OOB gain radiated related requirements**

*Type: CR For: Approval  
 38.106 v17.2.0 CR-0022 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CMCC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.1.4 EMC core requirement maintenance and performance requirements

**R4-2215732 Discussion on NR repeater EMC performance assessment**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215733 Draft CR to TS38.114 repeater clause 8.1 R17**

*Type: draftCR For: Approval  
 38.114 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **withdrawn**.

**R4-2215960 Draft CR to TS 38.114 Clause 4.5**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.114 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

The missing content of clause 4.5 is introduced.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216038 CR to TS38.114 repeater clause 8.1 R17**

*Type: CR For: (not specified)  
 38.114 v17.1.0 CR-0003 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.1.5 RF Conformance testing

##### 4.1.5.1 General

###### 4.1.5.1.1 Stimulus signal /Test models

**R4-2216192 NR Repeater stimulus signal**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216500 Repeater stimulus signal spectral purity annex**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.1.5.1.2 Test configurations

###### 4.1.5.1.3 Others

##### 4.1.5.2 Conductive conformance Testing

###### 4.1.5.2.1 Transmitted power related requirements

**R4-2216611 TP to 38.115-1: EVM requirement**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216838 TP to TS 38.115-1: Repeater output power (6.1, 6.2)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the conducted conformance test specification TS 38.115-1 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.1.5.2.2 Emission requirements

**R4-2216608 TP to 38.115-1: ACRR requirement**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216614 TP to 38.115-1: ACLR requirement**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.1.5.2.3 Others

**R4-2215387 TP for TS 38.115-1: scope and reference**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216837 TP to TS 38.115-1: Measurement uncertainties and test requirements (4.1)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the conducted conformance test specification TS 38.115-1 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216839 TP to TS 38.115-1: Annex B: Environmental requirements for the repeater**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the conducted conformance test specification TS 38.115-1 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216840 TP to TS 38.115-1: Annex C: Test tolerances and derivation of test requirements**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the conducted conformance test specification TS 38.115-1 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216841 TP to TS 38.115-1: Annex E: Characteristics of interfering signals**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-1 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the conducted conformance test specification TS 38.115-1 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.1.5.3 Radiated conformance Testing

**R4-2216567 TP for TS 38.115-2: Scope, reference and editorial changes**

*Type: pCR For: Endorsement  
 38.115-2 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216568 TS 38.115-2**

*Type: draft TS For: Endorsement  
 38.115-2 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.1.5.3.1 Transmitted power related requirements

**R4-2216612 TP to 38.115-2: OTA EVM requirement**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216843 TP to TS 38.115-2: OTA output power (6.1, 6.2)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the radiated conformance test specification TS 38.115-2 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.1.5.3.2 Emission requirements

**R4-2215791 Discussion on test tolerance values of OOB Gain for FR2 repeater**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: NTT DOCOMO, INC.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216194 Draft CR to TS 38.115-2 with updates and corrections**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216609 TP to 38.115-2: OTA ACRR requirement**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216615 TP to 38.115-2: OTA ACLR requirement**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: NEC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.1.5.3.3 Others

**R4-2215388 TP for TS 38.115-2: scope and reference**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215389 Discussion of remaining issues for FR2 MU**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216193 TP to TS 38.115-2 – Annex I TRP measurement procedures**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216407 Spectrum purity requirements for FR2**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Proposal for FR2 spectrum purity

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216408 Draft CR to 38.115-2: Spectrum purity requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.115-2 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Proposal for FR2 spectrum purity

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216842 TP to TS 38.115-2: Measurement uncertainties and test requirements (4.1)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the radiated conformance test specification TS 38.115-2 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216844 TP to TS 38.115-2: Annex A: Environmental requirements for the repeater**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the radiated conformance test specification TS 38.115-2 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216845 TP to TS 38.115-2: Annex B: Test tolerances and derivation of test requirements**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.115-2 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the radiated conformance test specification TS 38.115-2 for the NR repeater.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216846 On the need for the Annex on Characteristics of interfering signals in TS 38.115-2**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we were supposed to provide a TP to the radiated conformance test specification TS 38.115-2 for the NR repeater, capturing an annex on the “Characteristics of interfering signals”. Based on initial analysis, we formul

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.1.6 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][301] NR\_Repeater\_RFMaintenance, AI 4.1.1,4.1.2,4.1.3,4.1.4– Golebiowski, Bartlomiej**

**R4-2216885 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][301] NR\_Repeater\_RFMaintenance**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Nokia)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][302] NR\_Repeater\_RFConformance\_Part1, AI 4.1.5.1, 4.1.5.2- Michal Szydelko**

**R4-2216886 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][302] NR\_Repeater\_RFConformance\_Part1**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Huawei)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][303] NR\_Repeater\_RFConformance\_Part3, AI 4.1.5.3- Huiping Shan**

**R4-2216887 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][303] NR\_Repeater\_RFConformance\_Part2**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (CATT)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 4.2 Solutions for NR to support non-terrestrial networks (NTN)

#### 4.2.1 System parameters maintenance

**R4-2216150 CR to 38.101-5: Corrections on section 5.3.3 for NTN UE**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.101-5 v17.1.0 CR-0006 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.2.2 Satellite Access Node RF requirement maintenance

**R4-2215336 Corrections to SAN TS 38.108**

*Type: CR For: Decision  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR-0012 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

Correct some typos, symbols, remove symbols not used, correct definitions, correct BWchannel, and align text/fonts. OBUE corrections for ?fOBUE (MHz) values are aligned with ITU-R SM.1541-6 recommendation.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215337 Discussion on SAN Out-of-Band Mask**

*Type: discussion For: Decision  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

This contribution proposes to discuss Out-of-Band (OoB) Mask for SAN TS 38.108 and related OBUE requirements resulted from ITU-R SM.1541-6.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215412 CR for TS 38.108, Corrrect definiiton order in sub-clause 3.1**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR-0013 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.2.2.1 Conductive RF requirements

**R4-2216064 CR for TR 38.863 to maintain SAN parts**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.863 v17.1.0 CR-0003 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216065 Discussion on definition of delta FOBUE**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216066 CR for 38.108 to maitain unwanted emissions clause**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR-0015 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216526 NTN - Discussion on remaining open issues**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the remaining open issues from RAN4#103-e meeting

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216527 CR to TS 38.108 - Updates related to DfOBUE - conducted clauses**

*Type: CR For: Approval  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR-0016 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution is a CR to TS 38.108, removing reference to DfOBUE in the conducted sub-clauses

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.2.2.2 Radiated RF requirements

**R4-2216528 CR to TS 38.108 - Updates related to DfOBUE - radiated clauses**

*Type: CR For: Approval  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR-0017 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution is a CR to TS 38.108, removing reference to DfOBUE in the radiated sub-clauses

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.2.3 Satellite Access Node RF conformance testing

##### 4.2.3.1 General and work plan

**R4-2215802 TS 38.181 v0.2.0 NR Satellite Access Node (SAN) conformance testing**

*Type: draft TS For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.3.1.1 Test Model

**R4-2215401 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 4.9 RF channels and test models**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.3.1.2 Test configuration

**R4-2215350 TP for TS 38.181 - Annex D**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides a Text Proposal for SAN conformance testing.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215400 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 4.7 Test configurations and Clause 4.8 Applicability of requirements**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.3.1.3 Others

**R4-2215338 Discussion on SAN Test Conditions**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

This contribution proposes to discuss the (extreme) test conditions for TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215397 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 1 Scope, Clause 2 References and Clause 3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215398 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 4.1 Measurement uncertainties and test requirements**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215399 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 4.6 Manufacturer declarations**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215406 TP for TS 38.181 – A.1 FRCs for RF Rx requriement(QPSK, R=1/3) and A.2 FRCs for dynamic range (16QAM, R=2/3)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215407 TP for TS 38.181 – Annex F Calibration**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215408 TP for TS 38.181 – Annex H In-channel Tx test**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215409 TP for TS 38.181 – Annex I Transmitter spatial emissions declaration**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215410 TP for TS 38.181 – Annex K Measuring noise close to the noise-floor**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215411 Discussion on conformance testing for NTN SAN**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216195 TP to TS 38.181 – Clauses 4.10 and 4.11**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216489 TS 38.181: TP on clause 5**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Text proposal on the new TS 38.181 - Satellite Access Node (SAN) conformance testing

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216491 TS 38.181: TP on Annex B**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Text proposal on the new TS 38.181 - Satellite Access Node (SAN) conformance testing

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216492 TS 38.181: TP on Annex C**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Text proposal on the new TS 38.181 - Satellite Access Node (SAN) conformance testing

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216493 TS 38.181: TP on Annex E**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Text proposal on the new TS 38.181 - Satellite Access Node (SAN) conformance testing

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216494 TS 38.181: TP on Annex J**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Text proposal on the new TS 38.181 - Satellite Access Node (SAN) conformance testing

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216495 Discussion on relevant test environment for SAN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Discussion on the relevant test environment for SAN with focus on the differences from BS

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216847 TP to TS 38.181: General test conditions and declarations (4.2 - 4.5)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the NTN SAN conformance test specification TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.2.3.2 Conductive conformance Testing

###### 4.2.3.2.1 Tx requirements

**R4-2215339 TP for TS 38.181 - Clause 6.5.3 EVM**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: THALES, CATT*

**Abstract:**

In this proposal, the previous contribution from TP R4-2214834 (THALES) is updated accordingly taking into account current TM.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215340 TP for TS 38.181 - Clause 6.6.4 OBUE**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides a Text Proposal for SAN OBUE conformance testing.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215341 TP for TS 38.181 - Clause 6.6.5 Spurious Emissions**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides a Text Proposal for SAN Spurious Emissions conformance testing.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215349 TP for TS 38.181 - Occupied BandWidth Clauses 6.6.1 and 6.6.2**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides a Text Proposal for SAN conformance testing.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215402 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 6.1 General and Clause 6.2 Satellite Access Node output power**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT, THALES*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216561 TP for TS 38.181: Section 6.3 Output power dynamics**

*Type: pCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216848 TP to TS 38.181: occupied bandwidth (6.6.1, 6.6.2)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the NTN SAN conformance test specification TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216849 TP to TS 38.181: OBUE (6.6.4)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the NTN SAN conformance test specification TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.3.2.2 Rx requirements

**R4-2215403 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 7.1 General and Clause 7.2 Reference sensitivity level**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216196 TP to TS 38.181 – Clause 7.4 In-band selectivity and blocking**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216562 TP for TS 38.181: Section 7.3 Dynamic range**

*Type: pCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216563 TP for TS 38.181: Section 7.6~7.8**

*Type: pCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216850 TP to TS 38.181: Out-of-band blocking (7.5)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the NTN SAN conformance test specification TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.3.2.3 MU assessment

##### 4.2.3.3 Radiated conformance Testing

###### 4.2.3.3.1 Tx requirements

**R4-2215404 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 9.1 General**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216564 TP for TS 38.181: Section 9.4 OTA output power dynamics**

*Type: pCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216851 TP to TS 38.181: OTA occupied bandwidth (9.7.1, 9.7.2)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the NTN SAN conformance test specification TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216852 TP to TS 38.181: OTA ACLR (9.7.3)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the NTN SAN conformance test specification TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216853 TP to TS 38.181: OTA OBUE (9.7.4)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the NTN SAN conformance test specification TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.3.3.2 Rx requirements

**R4-2215405 TP for TS 38.181 – Clause 10.1 General and Clause 10.2 OTA sensitivity**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216197 TP to TS 38.181 – Clause 10.5 In-band selectivity and blocking**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216490 TS 38.181: TP on clause 10.3 OTA refsens**

*Type: pCR For: Decision  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Text proposal on the new TS 38.181 - Satellite Access Node (SAN) conformance testing

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216565 TP for TS 38.181: Section 10.4 OTA dynamic range**

*Type: pCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216566 TP for TS 38.181: Section 10.7~10.9**

*Type: pCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216854 TP to TS 38.181: OTA out-of-band blocking (10.6)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Abstract:**

Based on the pre-arranged work-split, we provide TP to the NTN SAN conformance test specification TS 38.181.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.3.3.3 MU assessment

#### 4.2.4 UE RF requirement maintenance

**R4-2215315 CR: 0005 Doppler test conditions for RF requirements 38.101-5**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.101-5 v17.1.0 CR-0005 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216593 On decoupling DL MIMO from number of Rx branches for NTN UE capabilities**

*Type: discussion For: Decision  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216594 CR to 38.101-5 on corrections related to 64QAM requirements**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.101-5 v17.1.0 CR-0007 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216640 On NTN Frequency error requirment**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we present our view on the improvement of NTN Frequency error requirement

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216641 CR on NTN Frequency error requirement**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.101-5 v17.1.0 CR-0008 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, improvement of NTN Frequency error requirement is introduced.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216835 NR NTN Frequency Error**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: MediaTek (Chengdu) Inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.2.7 Demodulation requirements

##### 4.2.7.1 General

**R4-2215344 Work Split for Performance Requirements in TS 38.108 and TS 38.181**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

This contribution proposes to further discuss and decide the work split for TS 38.108 conducted and OTA performance requirements at RAN4#104-bis-e. As a further outcome of offline discussions, it is proposed also to further discuss and decide the work spl

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215674 Discussion on NTN channel model**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Clarify the channel model profile for NLOS and LOS channel

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215976 Discussion on UE NTN demod general**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.2.7.2 Satellite Access Node demodulation requirements

**R4-2215977 Summary of simulation results for NTN SAN demodulation performance requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215978 Big CR on NTN SAN performance requirements (TS38.108, Rel-17)**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR-0014 rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215979 Draft CR on propagation conditions of NTN SAN performance requirements (TS38.108, Rel-17)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215980 pCR on FRC of NTN SAN performance requirements (TS38.181, Rel-17)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.7.2.1 PUSCH requirements

**R4-2215548 Simulation results for NTN SAN PUSCH demodulation**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses aspects related to NTN SAN PUSCH demodulation requirements.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215549 Discussion on NTN SAN PUSCH demodulation requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses aspects related to NTN SAN PUSCH demodulation requirements.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215675 Discussion on general and PUSCH issue SAN demodulation**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Discuss remianing open issues on PUSCH

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215677 Simulation results for SAN PUSCH demodulation**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215680 draftCR for TS38.108 introduce FRC tables for SAN PUSCH demodulation**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

introducing FRC tables for PUSCH requirements

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215682 draftCR for TS38.181 introduce SAN PUSCH conducted demodulation requirements and Annex for test setup**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

introducing conducted requirements for PUSCH and test setup discription

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215981 Discussion on satellite NTN demod PUSCH**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215982 Simulation results on satellite NTN demod PUSCH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215983 Draft CR on NTN SAN PUSCH performance requirements (TS38.108, Rel-17)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215984 pCR on NTN SAN PUSCH performance requirements (TS38.181, Rel-17)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216697 Initial simulation results on PUSCH demodulation requirement for Rel-17 NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.7.2.2 PUCCH requirements

**R4-2215550 Simulation results for NTN SAN PUCCH demodulation**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses aspects related to NTN SAN PUCCH demodulation requirements.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215551 Discussion on NTN SAN PUCCH demodulation requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses aspects related to NTN SAN PUCCH demodulation requirements.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215676 Discussion on general and PUCCH issue SAN demodulation**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Discuss remianing open issues on PUCCH

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215678 Simulation results for SAN PUCCH demodulation**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215681 draftCR for TS38.108 introduce requirements for SAN PUSCH demodulation**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

introducing requirements for PUCCH

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215683 draftCR for TS38.181 introduce SAN PUCCH radiated demodulation requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

introducing radiated requirements for PUCCH

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215985 Discussion on satellite NTN demod PUCCH**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215986 Simulation results on satellite NTN demod PUCCH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215987 pCR on NTN SAN PUCCH performance requirements (TS38.181, Rel-17)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216698 Initial simulation results on PUCCH demodulation requirement for Rel-17 NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.7.2.3 PRACH requirements

**R4-2215679 Simulation results for SAN PRACH demodulation**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215684 draftCR for TS38.181 introduce SAN PRACH conducted demodulation requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

introducing conducted requirements for PRACH

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215988 Simulation results on satellite NTN demod PRACH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215989 Draft CR on NTN SAN PRACH performance requirements (TS38.108, Rel-17)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.108 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215990 pCR on NTN SAN PRACH performance requirements (TS38.181, Rel-17)**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.181 v0.1.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216699 Initial simulation results on PRACH demodulation requirement for Rel-17 NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.2.7.3 UE demodulation requirements

**R4-2215991 Draft CR on general part of UE NTN performance requirements (TS38.101-5, Rel-17)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-5 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.2.7.3.1 PDSCH requirements

**R4-2215546 Discussion on PDSCH demodulation requirements for NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses aspects related to NTN PDSCH demodulation requirements for NTN.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215547 Simulation results on PDSCH demodulation requirements for NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides simulation results of PDSCH demodulation requirements for NTN.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215583 On PDSCH demod requirements for NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215584 Draft CR on Propagation Conditions, Physical Channels, Environmental Conditions for NTN**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-5 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215860 Simulation Results on NTN UE PDSCH demodulation requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215861 Views on NTN UE PDSCH Requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215992 Discussion on UE NTN demod PDSCH**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215993 Simulation results on satellite NTN demod PDSCH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215994 Draft CR on applicability rules of UE NTN performance requirements (TS38.101-5, Rel-17)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-5 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216394 Discussion on the remaining issues for PDSCH requirement of NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the 64QAM and 30kHz SCS scenario for NTN UE requirement.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216395 Simulation results for PDSCH requirement of NTN**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides our simulation results for PDSCH with NTN-TDLA and NTN-TDLC channel models

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216396 draft CR to 38.101-5: Throughput and reference channel definition**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-5 v17.1.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This draft CR brings the definition of throughput and reference channel of NTN

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216420 Summary of simulation results for NTN UE demodulation**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216705 Discussion on PDSCH requirements for NR-NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: MediaTek inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.2.8 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][304] NTN\_Solutions\_RF\_Maintenance, AI 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 4.2.4– Dorin Panaitopol**

**R4-2216888 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][304] NTN\_Solutions\_RF\_Maintenance**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Thales)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][305] NTN\_Solutions\_RFConformance, AI 4.2.3– Dominique Everaere**

**R4-2216889 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][305] NTN\_Solutions\_RFConformance**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Ericsson)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][317] NR\_NTN\_Demod\_Part1, AI 4.2.7.1, 4.2.7.3– Bin Han**

**R4-2216901 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][317] NR\_NTN\_Demod\_Part1**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Qualcomm)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**GTW discussion on Oct 11th**

**Issue 1-1-1: Channel model for NTN-TDLC (LOS)**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Ericsson, Apple, Nokia, Qualcomm): DS=5ns, Doppler=200Hz. K-factor=8.05dB.
  + Option 2 (Huawei, Nokia): DS=3.5ns, Doppler=200Hz, K-factor=8.05dB.
* GTW discussion:
  + Nokia: We slightly prefer option 1 even option 2 also feasible.
  + Ericsson: We prefer option 1.
  + Apple: Delay spread (RMS) value as 5ns. We provide the results between 5ns and 3.5ns, no performance degradation observed from UE side. Companies can further check.
  + Huawei: This change will impact SAN side as well which require huge simulation effort. If companies fine to have more evaluation, that’s also acceptable for us.
  + QC: We slightly prefer option 1 for the simplicity of naming. We didn’t observe any performance difference.
* Agreement: option 1 agreed

**Issue 1-1-2: Delay resolution**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Ericsson, Qualcomm, Nokia, Apple): Adopt 5 ns as the delay resolution
  + Option 2 (Huawei): Reduce the delay resolution to 2 ns for NTN TDL channel model simplification.
* GTW discussion:
  + Apple: We support option 1.
  + QC: We share same view as Apple, 5ns delay resolution also used for TN.
  + MTK: We support option 1.
  + Huawei: In Rel-15/17, simplification of channel model adopted with 12 taps remaining. But for NTN channel model, only 2 taps and we think no complexity issue for CE vendors.
* Agreement: Option 1 agreed

**Issue 1-1-3: Delay profile for NTN-TDLA**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Ericsson, Qualcomm, Apple): Accept following delay profile. Delay resolution is 5ns

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tap #** | **Delay [ns]** | **Power [dB]** | **Fading distribution** |
|
| 1 | 0 | 0 | Rayleigh |
| 2 | 110 | -4.675 | Rayleigh |
| 3 | 285 | -6.482 | Rayleigh |

* + Option 2 (Apple): Accept following delay profile. Delay resolution is 5ns

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tap #** | **Delay** | **Power in [dB]** | **Fading distribution** |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | Rayleigh |
| 2 | 105 | -4.7 | Rayleigh |
| 3 | 280 | -6.5 | Rayleigh |

* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: We suggest to round the power values to be aligned with TDL channel.
* Agreement: Delay profile for NTN-TDLA

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tap #** | **Delay [ns]** | **Power [dB]** | **Fading distribution** |
|
| 1 | 0 | 0 | Rayleigh |
| 2 | 110 | -4.7 | Rayleigh |
| 3 | 285 | -6.5 | Rayleigh |

**Issue 1-1-4: Delay profile for NTN-TDLC**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Ericsson, Apple): Accept following delay profile DS=[5ns]

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tap #** | **Delay [ns]** | **Power [dB]** | **Fading distribution** |
| 1 | 0 | -0.394 | LOS path |
| 0 | -8.668 | Rayleigh |
| 2 | 60 | -21.423 | Rayleigh |
| NOTE: The first tap follows a Rician distribution with a K-factor of K1 = 8.05 dB and a mean power of 0dB. | | | |

* + Option 1a (Nokia):
* The delay profile according to agreements without any quantization of tap delays (resolution change) is as follows:

Using tentative agreement DS=[5 ns]

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tap #** | **Delay [ns]** | **Power [dB]** | **Fading distribution** |
| 1 | 0 | -0.394 | LOS path |
| 0 | -8.668 | Rayleigh |
| 2 | 60.7480 | -21.423 | Rayleigh |
| NOTE: The first tap follows a Rician distribution with a K-factor of K1 = 8.05 dB and a mean power of 0dB. | | | |

Using tentative agreement DS=[3.5 ns]

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tap #** | **Delay [ns]** | **Power [dB]** | **Fading distribution** |
| 1 | 0 | -0.394 | LOS path |
| 0 | -8.668 | Rayleigh |
| 2 | 42.5236 | -21.423 | Rayleigh |
| NOTE: The first tap follows a Rician distribution with a K-factor of K1 = 8.05 dB and a mean power of 0dB. | | | |

* + Option 1b (Qualcomm): Using tentative agreement DS=[3.5 ns]

Also, looking at 38.811, it does not seem to specifically mention whether 8.05dB (rural, 30-degree elevation) is K-factor of K1, rather it mentions “*K* = Ricean K-factor”, which typically means K-factor with respect to all Rayleigh paths, not just between the Ricean and first Rayleigh path. We encourage other companies to comment on this as well.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tap # | Delay [ns] | Power [dB] | Fading distribution |
| 1 | 0 | -0.6322 | LOS path |
| 0 | -8.9062 | Rayleigh |
| 2 | 42.5 | -21.662 | Rayleigh |
| NOTE: The first tap follows a Rician distribution with a K-factor of K1 = 8.05 dB and a mean power of 0dB. | | | |

* + Option 2 (Huawei): Accept following delay profile. Delay resolution is 2ns

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tap #** | **Normalized delay** | **Scaled Power in [dB]** | **Fading distribution** |
| 1 | 0 | -0.6024 | LOS path |
| 0 | -8.8768 | Rayleigh |
| 2 | 12.1496 | -21.6318 | Rayleigh |

* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: We suggest to normalize first tap as 0 dB.
  + QC: This will impact other taps with normalized power.
  + Apple: Same comments as QC. We see the difference with QC proposed values.
  + Huawei: We suggest to normalize all the taps to ensure first tap with 0dB power. This is following the procedure of the TDL channel specification introduction.
  + Apple: That applied for NLOS channel model.
  + QC: We ensure the mean power across all taps is 0 dB. We suggest to further discuss.

**Issue 2-1: K\_offset value**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Nokia, Huawei, Qualcomm, Apple, Ericsson): Confirm K\_offset equal to 8 slots for 15kHz SCS for all the HARQ configurations
  + Option 2: Specify other option if any
* GTW discussion:
  + Agreement: Option 1 agreed.

**Issue 2-2: Doppler shift for LOS Path in the NTN TDL-C Channel**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (MTK, Qualcomm): RAN4 define the Doppler shift for the LOS tap in NTN TDL-C channel, considering either fs = 0.7 fd or fs = cos(Ө) \* fd with some specific AoA Ө value, e.g., 60 degrees



* + Option 2 (Nokia, Apple, Ericsson): No need to define fs = 0.7 fd or fs = cos(Ө) \* fd with some specific AoA Ө value

Moderator’s note: Option 2 was agreed as the starting point in last meeting

* GTW discussion:
  + MTK: We would like to clarify doppler shift for LOS tap which would be useful for simulation.
  + QC: We have similar view as MTK.
  + Apple: In TR 38.901 we shave clarification on the doppler shift of LoS; but for 38.101-5 no such definition. We believe no need to capture this explicitly into 38.101-5.
  + Nokia: We prefer option 2.
  + MTK: We should be clear for that part.
* Agreement:
  + Further clarify the doppler shift for LoS tap, such information can be captured into WF.

**Issue 2-3: Modulation order**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Nokia, Apple, Qualcomm, Ericsson, MTK): Do not define PDSCH performance requirements for 64QAM
  + Option 2 (Huawei): Define PDSCH performance requirements for 64QAM
* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: The feasibility already confirmed and discussed in RF session.
* Agreement: Do not define PDSCH performance requirements for 64QAM
  + It’s not precluded to discuss and specify requirements for 64QAM in future releases.

**Issue 2-4: SCS/CBW set**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Nokia, Apple, Qualcomm, Ericsson, MTK): Do not define requirements with additional 30KHz SCS for NTN
  + Option 2 (Huawei): Define requirements with 30KHz/20MHz SCS/CBW for NTN

Moderator’s note: It has been agreed that 15kHz/10MHz SCS/CBW should be defined.

* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: In SAN demodulation discussion, we already agreed to introduce requirements for 30kHz SCS. For the alignment between SAN and UE side, we prefer to specify 30kHz SCS for UE side as well. We already compromised on 64QAM order, hope another camp can offer the compromise.
  + Apple: We didn’t see the deployment scenario on 30kHz SCS in reality for FDD NTN bands.
  + Ericsson: In TN, we only specify 15kHz SCS requirements for UE in FDD bands. We didn’t see the urgent demand on this case which will increase work load.
  + QC: We share similar view as Ericsson. We shall focus on the realistic scenario.
  + Huawei: All rel-17 features are still developing; we should not preclude 30kHz can be used for FDD bands.
  + MTK: We support option 1.
  + Thales: 15kHz is worst case from doppler shift and 30kHz is worst case from time error side. We are worry about the progress and workload.

**Issue 2-5: HARQ configurations**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Apple, MTK): Define the PDSCH test cases with the following HARQ configurations

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Prop. Channel** | 1. **MCS** | 1. **HARQ Config** |
| 1. NTN-TDLA100-200 | 1. MCS4 | 1. Disabled HARQ |
| 1. MCS13 | 1. 16 HARQ Proc |
| 1. NTN-TDLC5-200 | 1. MCS4 | 1. 32 HARQ Proc |
| 1. MCS13 | 1. 16 HARQ Proc |

* + Option 2 (Qualcomm): Define a PDSCH test with 16 HARQ processes
* Agreement:
  + Define PDSCH test cases for Disabled HARQ, 16 HARQ proc and 32 HARQ processes and further discuss the detailed test cases.

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][318] NR\_NTN\_Demod\_Part2, AI 4.2.7.1, 4.2.7.3– Tricia Li**

**R4-2216902 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][318] NR\_NTN\_Demod\_Part2**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Huawei)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**GTW discussion on Oct 11th**

**Issue 1-1-1: Antenna configuration for PUSCH requirements**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Nokia, Ericsson, Huawei, Samsung): Keep previous agreement that both 1Rx and 2Rx shall be considered for NTN SAN PUSCH demodulation requirements.
* Agreement: Option 1 agreed

**Issue 1-1-2: Test applicability rule for different antenna configuration for PUSCH requirements**

* Proposals
  + Option 1 (Nokia, Huawei, Samsung, Ericsson): Unless otherwise stated, for a SAN supporting different numbers of antenna connectors (for SAN type 1-C) or TAB connectors (for SAN type 1-H) (see D.xxx in table yyy), the tests with low MIMO correlation level shall apply only for the highest number of supported connectors, and the specific connectors used for testing are based on manufacturer declaration.
  + Option 2 (Ericsson, Nokia): Unless otherwise stated, for a SAN supporting different numbers of antenna connectors (for SAN type 1-C) or TAB connectors (for SAN type 1-H) (see D.xxx in table yyy) by same polarization type, the tests with low MIMO correlation level shall apply only for either one connector or the second lowest number of supported connecters, in addition to the highest number of supported connectors, and the specific connectors used for testing are based on manufacturer declaration
* Agreement: Option 1 agreed

**Issue 1-2-1: Antennal configuration for PUCCH requirements**

* Proposals for PUCCH long formats 1, 3 and 4:
  + Option 1 (Nokia, Ericsson, Huawei, Samsung): Consider both 1Rx and 2Rx for SAN PUCCH long formats requirements, i.e. PUCCH format 1 and format 3 and format 4, with same test applicability rule as PUSCH as discussed in Issue 1-1-2 Option 1.
* Proposals for PUCCH short formats 0 and 2:
  + Option 1 (Nokia, Ericsson, Samsung): Consider SAN PUCCH format 0 and format 2 requirements for only 2Rx configuration with NLOS channel. Corresponding manufacture declarations and applicability rules should be further discussed.
  + Option 2 (Ericsson, Nokia): Introduce LOS channel for SAN PUCCH format 0 and 2 demodulation requirements with 1Rx configuration
  + Option 3 (Huawei): Discuss a general rule that if the final derived simulation result is larger than a certain value, such as [10dB], then the corresponding cases can be not defined.
  + Option 4 (Samsung): Consider both **1Rx and 2Rx** for SAN PUCCH format 0 and 2 requirements with **NLOS** channel with same test applicability rule as PUSCH as discussed in Issue 1-1-2 Option 1.
  + Option 5 (Nokia): Option 1 + Option 2, i.e. Consider **1Rx** SAN PUCCH format 0 and 2 requirements with **LOS** channel and **2Rx** SAN PUCCH format 0 and 2 requirements with **NLOS** channel, with same test applicability rule as PUSCH as discussed in Issue 1-1-2 Option 1.
* Recommended WF

PUCCH long formats 1, 3 and 4: Option 1 is agreeable

PUCCH short format 0 and 2: TBA

* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: We observed 10dB span for the results of format 4.
  + Nokia: We proposed combined option5. Based on the collected results, we can further check by agree with option5 approach at current moment.
  + Samsung: We also support option 1. We don’t prefer to introduce LOS channel here.
  + Ericsson: We suggest to further align the simulation results. If NLOS channel not suitable for 1Rx, then we lost test coverage for 1Rx case with short format. It’s better to consider LOS channel for achievable SNR points.
  + Huawei: We see large span among the results.
* Agreement:
  + PUCCH long formats 1, 3, 4: Option 1 agreed
    - Further align the results for format 4 required
  + PUCCH short formats 0 and 2:
    - Further effort on the alignment of simulation results required
    - Both LOS and NLOS channel can be further evaluated and considered
    - Companies are encouraged to bring more results

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 4.3 Extending current NR operation to 71GHz

#### 4.3.3 BS RF requirements maintenance

**R4-2215571 CR to TS 38.104 on reference to FRCs**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR-0411 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

Add G-FR2-A1-3, G-FR2-A1-8 and G-FR2-A1-9 to the FR2-2 FRC list in annex A.1.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215832 CR to TS 38.104: Correction of guardband for FR2-2 in sub-clause 5.3.3**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR-0412 rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

During review errors related to FR2-2 guardbands for 100 MHz and 400 MHZ carrier bandwidths was discovered. The 120 kHz SCS SU allocation is aligned between FR2-1 and FR2-2, hence the guardband should be equal in the same way as in the UE specification.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.3.4 BS RF conformance testing

##### 4.3.4.1 General

**R4-2215572 Proposal on suitability of OTA measurement systems on BS conformance testing for extending current NR operation to 71 GHz**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the suitability of OTA measurement systems on BS conformance testing for extending current NR operation to 71 GHz based on the agreed WF.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215830 Draft CR to TR 37.941: Addition of aspects related to EIRP measurement in CATR relevant for FR2-2 in sub-clause 7.3, 8.3, 9.2.3 and 9.2.7**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 37.941 v17.0.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

When support for FR2-2 is added into the conformance test specification 38.141-2 re-using information relevant for FR2 is seen as the baseline. This draft CR adds relevant aspects related to FR2-2 and measurments of EIRP in CATR in TR 37.941. The technica

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215831 On further general aspects relevant for FR2-2 conformance testing**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution we continue the work initiated in [9] to provide input for discussion to resolve general open issues and propose solutions to advance in the discussion with the goal to complete the performance work. In companion contributions [7, 8]

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215836 Draft CR to TS 38.141-2: Addition of FR2-2 aspects in clause 4**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This draft CR adds support for FR2-2 in TS 38.141-2, clause 4. Information agreed in way-forward R4-2214374 is implemented.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.3.4.2 Transmitter characteristics

**R4-2215573 Proposal on measurement uncertainty of BS OTA transmitter requirements for extending current NR operation to 71 GHz**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution proposes an approach to decide the measurement uncertainty of BS OTA transmitter requirements for extending current NR operation to 71 GHz based on the agreed WF.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215828 Draft CR to TS 38.141-2: Addition of FR2-2 transmitter support in clause 6**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This draft CR adds support for FR2-2 in TS 38.141-2, clause 6. The test requirements are aligned with RF core requirements in big draft CR R4-2210641 agreed for TS 38.104.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215833 On further aspects related to FR2-2 transmitter conformance testing**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution some additional details related to transmitter conformance testing is presented.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216496 FR2-2 BS conformance test consideration for Tx testing**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216498 FR2-2 Test Model details and TP**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216499 FR2-2 EVM measurement detail and TP**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216560 Further discussion on BS conformance testing for 52.6-71GHz**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.3.4.3 Receiver characteristics

**R4-2215574 Proposal on measurement uncertainty of BS OTA receiver requirements for extending current NR operation to 71 GHz**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution proposes an approach to decide the measurement uncertainty of BS OTA receiver requirements for extending current NR operation to 71 GHz based on the agreed WF.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215829 Draft CR to TS 38.141-2: Addition of FR2-2 receiver support in clause 7**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This draft CR adds support for FR2-2 in TS 38.141-2, clause 7. The test requirements are aligned with RF core requirements in big draft CR R4-2210641 agreed for TS 38.104.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215834 On further aspects related to FR2-2 receiver conformance testing**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution some additional details related to receiver conformance testing is presented.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216497 FR2-2 BS conformance test consideration for Rx testing**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.3.7 Demodulation and CSI requirements

##### 4.3.7.1 General (incl. Channel models)

**R4-2216010 Discussion on general issues for FR2-2 demodulation requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216178 On Demod Requirements for FR2-2 - General**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216179 Draft CR to 38.101-4 for FR2-2 Demod - General section**

*Type: draftCR For: Discussion  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.3.7.2 UE Demodulation and CSI requirements

**R4-2215585 Draft CR for Introducing Propagation channel models for requirements in FR2-2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215586 Draft CR for Introducing FRCs for requirements in FR2-2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216011 BigCR: Introduction of FR2-2 UE demodulation and CSI requirements in 38.101-4**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.3.7.2.1 PDSCH requirements

**R4-2215532 On PDSCH Requirements for ext71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution we have provided our views on various open issues with relation to PDSCH requirements for the extension to 71GHz.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215533 PDSCH simulation results for ext71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215587 On PDSCH demod requirements for 52.6 - 71 GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215910 The remaining issues of the PDSCH requirements in 52.6 – 71 GHz band**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we present our view on the remaining issues of the PDSCH demodulation requirements for FR2-2.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215911 Simulation results for PDSCH demodulation in 52.6 – 71 GHz band**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper and based on the progress summarized in WF, we present new simulation results on the PDSCH performance in the frequency range 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215918 draft CR on PDSCH requirements for 52.6 - 71 GHz band**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Define PDSCH requirements for 52.6 – 71 GHz band

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216012 Discussions on FR2-2 PDSCH demodulation requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216013 Simulation results on FR2-2 PDSCH demodulation requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216014 Draft CR: Introduction of FR1+FR2-2 CA PDSCH performance requirements in TS 38.101-4**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216180 Simulation Results for FR2-2 UE Demodulation PDSCH**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.3.7.2.2 PDCCH/PBCH requirements

**R4-2215534 On PDCCH and PBCH Requirements for ext71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215535 PDCCH and PBCH simulation results for ext71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution we have provided our views on various open issues with relation to PDCCH and PBCH for the extension to 71GHz.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215536 Nokia\_DraftCR\_38101-4\_PDCCH**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215588 On PDCCH and PBCH demod requirements for 52.6 - 71 GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215912 The remaining issues for PDCCH and PBCH requirements in FR2-2**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we present our view on the remaining issues for PDCCH and PBCH demodulation requirements for FR2-2

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215913 Simulation results for PDCCH and PBCH demodulation in FR2-2**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we present the simulation results on the PDCCH and PBCH demodulation performance in the frequency range 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216015 Simulation results on FR2-2 PDCCHPBCH requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216181 Simulation Results for FR2-2 UE Demodulation PDCCH/PBCH**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216182 Draft CR to 38.101-4 for FR2-2 Demod - PBCH Requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Discussion  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.3.7.2.3 SDR requirements

**R4-2215914 SDR requirements in 52.6 – 71 GHz band**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we present our view on the SDR requirements for FR2-2

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215915 Simulation results for SDR requirements in 52.6 – 71 GHz band**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we present the simulation results to support our view on the SDR requirements in the 52.6 – 71 GHz band

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215919 SDR requirements for 52.6 GHz – 71 GHz band.**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

SDR requirements for 52.6 GHz – 71 GHz band.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216016 Discussions on FR2-2 SDR requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216017 Simulation results FR2-2 SDR requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.3.7.2.4 CSI reporting requirements

**R4-2215537 On CSI Reporting Requirements for ext71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution we have provided our views on various open issues with relation to CSI reporting requirements for the extension to 71GHz.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215589 On CQI reporting requirements for 52.6 - 71 GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215590 Draft CR for Introducing CSI reporting requirements for 52.6 - 71 GHz**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215916 CSI reporting requirements in 52.6 – 71 GHz band**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we present our view on the CSI reporting requirements in 52.5 GHz – 71 GHz band, where the related aspects have been gathered in the WF

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215917 Simulation results for CSI reporting requirements in FR2-2**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper and based on the progress summarized in WF, we present the simulation results on the CSI reporting which has been limited CQI reporting under static conditions in the frequency range 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216018 Discussion on remaining issues on FR2-2 CQI requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216019 Simulation results on FR2-2 CQI requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216183 Discussion on FR2-2 CQI Requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216707 CSI Simulation Results for ext71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.3.7.3 BS demodulation requirements

###### 4.3.7.3.1 PUSCH requirements

**R4-2215690 Discussion on general and PUSCH issue for FR2-2 BS demodualtion**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

discussion on remianing open issues

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215691 Simulation results for FR2-2 PUSCH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215694 draftCR for TS38.104 introduce FRC tables for FR2-2 PUSCH requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

introduce FRC tables for FR2-2 PUSCH demodulation requirements

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215695 draftCR for TS38.141-2 introduce FRC tables for FR2-2 PUSCH requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

introduce FRC tables for FR2-2 PUSCH demodulation requirements

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216020 Draft CR: Introduction of FR2-2 PUSCH radiated conformance testing requirements in TS 38.141-2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216021 Discussions on FR2-2 PUSCH demodulation requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216022 Simulation results on FR2-2 PUSCH demodulation requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216570 Discussion on PUSCH demodulation requirements for the extension to 71 GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216571 PUSCH simulation results for the extension to 71 GHz**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216691 View on BS demodulation requirement for NR extended to 71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216694 Initial simulation results on PUSCH demodulation requirement for Rel-17 71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.3.7.3.2 PUCCH requirements

**R4-2215692 Simulation results for FR2-2 PUCCH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216023 Discussions and simulation results on FR2-2 PUCCH demodulation requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216024 Draft CR Introduction of FR2-2 PUCCH performance requirements in TS 38.104**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216572 Discussion on PUCCH demodulation requirements for the extension to 71 GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216573 PUCCH simulation results for the extension to 71 GHz**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216695 Initial simulation results on PUCCH demodulation requirement for Rel-17 71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.3.7.3.3 PRACH requirements

**R4-2215693 Simulation results for FR2-2 PRACH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216025 Discussions on FR2-2 PRACH demodulation requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216026 Simulation results on FR2-2 PRACH demodulation requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216574 Discussion on PRACH demodulation requirements for the extension to 71 GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216575 PRACH simulation results for demodulation requirements for the extension to 71 GHz**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216576 Draft CR 38.104: PRACH requirements for FR2-2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216577 Draft CR 38.141-2: PRACH requirements for FR2-2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216692 Draft CR on annex for PRACH requirement for TS 38.104**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216693 Draft CR on annex for PRACH requirement for TS 38.141-2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216696 Initial simulation results on PRACH demodulation requirement for Rel-17 71GHz**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.3.8 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][306] NR\_exto71GHz\_BSRF, AI 4.3.3,4.3.4– Michal Szydelko**

**R4-2216890 Email discussion summary for** [**104-bis-e][306] NR\_exto71GHz\_BSRF**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Huawei)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][319] NR\_exto71GHz\_Demod\_Part1, AI 4.3.7.1, 4.3.7.3– Rafael Paiva**

**R4-2216903 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][319] NR\_exto71GHz\_Demod\_Part1**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Nokia)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**GTW discussion on October 11th**

**Issue 1-1-1: SCS for demodulation requirements**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: RAN4 do not consider 960kHz SCS for FR2-2 BS demodulation requirements. (Ericsson, Samsung)
  + Option 2: Consider 120 kHz, 480 kHz and 960 kHz SCS for FR2-2 BS demodulation requirements (Nokia)
* GTW discussion:
  + Nokia: We still prefer to include 960kHz SCS. For sake of progress, we can accept option 1 in Rel-17.
* Agreement: RAN4 agree to focus on 120kHz and 480kHz SCSs for introducing FR2-2 BS demodulation requirements in Rel-17.

**Issue 2-1-2: Channel bandwidth for PUSCH requirements with 120 kHz SCS**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: 120 kHz SCS with 100 MHz (Samsung, Huawei)
  + Option 2: 120 kHz SCS with 100 MHz and 400 MHz (Nokia, Ericsson)
* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: We support option 1. From receiver baseband processing perspective, no big difference. We also worry about the test feasibility considering link-budget.
  + Nokia: Wide channel bandwidth shall be verified as well. In RF session, the test feasibility already be confirmed.
  + Ericsson: We agree with option 2. 400MHz is mandatory for 120kHz SCS, for FR2-2 larger channel bandwidth more practical. With larger channel bandwidth, baseband processing more complicated with PN.
  + Samsung: We think 100MHz can serve test purpose. Meanwhile we are also open to consider 400Mhz if OTA test feasibility can be confirmed.
  + Huawei: We are wondering whether to specify two mandatory channel bandwidths.
  + Nokia: Does mean we only introduce 400MHz test cases or introducing test applicable rules among 100MHz and 400MHz?
  + Huawei: We only introduce 400MHz CHBW if feasibility.
  + Ericsson: We prefer to specify requirements for both minimum CHBW and maximum CHBW if feasible and then consider test applicable rules.
  + Huawei: Both 400MHz and 100MHz are mandatory for BS.
  + Nokia: BS only verified under maximum CHBW BS declared to be supported.
* Agreement: 120kHz SCS with 100MHz and/or [400MHz]
  + 400MHz introduction pending on further confirmation of the test feasibility
  + Further discuss test applicable rules considering the mandatory CHBW sets for BS

**Issue 2-1-3: Channel bandwidth for PUSCH requirements with 480 kHz SCS**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: 480 kHz SCS with 400 MHz (Samsung, Ericsson, Huawei)
  + Option 2: 480 kHz SCS with 400 MHz and 1600 MHz (Nokia, 800MHz also can be considered to replace 1600MHz)
* GTW discussion:
  + Nokia: We suggest to consider wide channel bandwidths.
  + Huawei: 480kHz is optional feature from UE side, we prefer to specify 400MHz only which is mandatory.
  + Ericsson: We share same view as Huawei.
  + Samsung: We support option 1 with same view as Huawei.
  + Nokia: Even larger CHWB is optional, still some UEs can be supported. We already compromised in large SCS on 960kHz.
* Agreement: For 480kHz SCS at least 400MHz
  + FFS for 800MHz and/or 1600MHz
    - Test applicable rules can be considered if larger CHBW introduced besides 400MHz

**Issue 3-2-1: DMRs configuration for PUCCH format 3**

* Proposals
  + Proposal 1: RAN4 to align DRMS configuration of PUCH format 3 in FR2-2 with the existing configuration for FR2-1. (Ericsson, Nokia, Samsung)
  + Proposal 2: Adopt DRMS configuration 1+1 and 1+0 for PUCCH format 3 with 14 OFDM symbols. (Nokia, Samsung)
  + Proposal 3: Adopt DRMS configuration 1+1 for PUCCH format 3 with 4 OFDM symbols.
  + Proposal 3a: Adopt DRMS configuration 1+0 for PUCCH format 3 with 4 OFDM symbols. (Nokia, Samsung)
* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: We share same view as Nokia and Samsung.
* Agreement:
  + RAN4 to align DRMS configuration of PUCH format 3 in FR2-2 with the existing configuration for FR2-1.
    - Adopt DRMS configuration 1+1 and 1+0 for PUCCH format 3 with 14 OFDM symbols.
    - Adopt DRMS configuration 1+0 for PUCCH format 3 with 4 OFDM symbols.

**Issue 4-1-2: Channel model for PRACH requirements**

* Proposals:
  + Option 1: RAN4 to define PRACH demodulation requirements using AWGN and TDL-A 30-650 channel model for 120 kHz SCS and using AWGN and TDL-A 10-650 channel model for other SCSs. (Nokia, Ericsson, Huawei)
  + Option 2: Keep the PRACH agreement with AWGN and TDL-A 10-650 for all SCSs. (Samsung)
* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: We support option 1.
  + Samsung: We still prefer option 2.
  + Nokia: We prefer option 1, with option 2, the delay resolution would be problematic for 120kHz SCS case.
  + Ericsson: We prefer option 1 to be aligned with PUCCH and PUSCH cases.
* Tentative agreement: Option 1.

**Issue 4-2-1: PRACH time error tolerance general aspects**

* Proposals
  + Proposal 1: Not to define time error tolerance that is smaller than the minimum possible step for the timing advance command.
  + Proposal 2: Reuse calculation of PRACH time error tolerance for fading channel from Rel 15 and Rel 16 as the AWGN tolerance combined with the second last tap used for the requirement.
* GTW discussion:
  + Nokia: We are fine with the equation as Ericsson mentioned. We think no performance impact with 2nd last tap approach.
* Agreement:
  + Proposal 1 agreed
  + Reuse calculation of PRACH time error tolerance for fading channel from Rel 15 and Rel 16

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][320] NR\_exto71GHz\_Demod\_Part2, AI 4.3.7.2– Pierpaolo Vallese**

**R4-2216904 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][320] NR\_exto71GHz\_Demod\_Part2**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Qualcomm)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**GTW discussion on Oct 11th**

**Issue 1-1-3: Whether to introduce requirements with 960kHz SCS**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Yes, for CBW=400MHz (Nokia);
  + Option 2: No, deprioritize 960kHz (Ericsson, QC, Huawei);
* GTW Discussion:
  + Nokia: Based on updated SNR ranges, it’s feasible to verify SCS 960 kHz.
  + QC: We support option 2. From testable SNR range, it’s feasible. But we still prefer not considering 960kHz SCS in Rel-17 given the workload and timeline.
  + Ericsson: We support option 2.
  + Huawei: We support option 2 considering workload in Rel-17.
  + Nokia: We think 960kHz is feasible and important feature meanwhile we can comprise to not specify performance requirements in Rel-17 given the feedback from other companies.
* Agreement: RAN4 focus on 120kHz and 480kHz SCS for introducing UE demodulation requirements in FR2-2.
  + It’s not precluded to discuss and introduce requirements for 960kHz SCS in future releases.

**Issue 1-1-5: Whether to introduce requirements with 400MHz CBW for 120kHz SCS for single CC**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Yes, at least one requirement for lower MCS and with reduced RB allocation (Nokia);
  + Option 2: No (Ericsson);
* GTW discussion:
  + Nokia: With updated testable SNR range, 400MHz is feasible for low MCS and we should cover 400MHz at least for one test case.
  + QC: We prefer not to include 400MHz. From baseband processing aspect, no difference among 400MHz and 100MHz.
  + Apple: We didn’t see the benefits with reduced MCS and RB allocation and no processing different compared to 100MHz.
  + Huawei: We share same view with QC and Apple. Larger BW with reduced RB allocation is similar with small CHBW; for CA there is difference according to RF requirements; we proposed to introduce requirements for 400MHz CHBW.
  + Nokia: For 400MHz CHBW, we think it’s feasible to verify with full RB allocation. Even with reduced RB allocation, we still observe the difference.
  + Huawei: In Rel-15, we choose one typical CHBW to introduce performance requirements. It’s not meaningful to introduce multiple channel bandwidths.
  + QC: We share the value from Huawei. With larger CHBW, PN maybe impacted but with low MSC, there is no impact with PN.
  + Apple: We share similar view as Huawei and QC.
  + Nokia: We would like to check whether 400MHz is mandatory or not. We can consider test applicable rules if introduced.

**Issue 1-2-2: Whether to schedule PDSCH in slots that contain TRS symbols during PDSCH testing**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: No (Qualcomm);
  + Option 2: TBA
* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: The PRB allocations of TRS can be same as scheduled PDSCH.
  + QC: We typically not configure BWP part for performance requirements.
  + Ericsson: We support option 1.
  + Apple: With option 1, what’s the BW assumption for TRS? This may be impacted the SNR set-up. Option 1 fine for use.
  + QC: Either with full BW or minimum BW for TRS transmission.
  + Huawei: BWP always configured in common table of performance requirements part in the specifications.

**Issue 1-3-3: For PDSCH Requirements for 120kHz/100Mhz, Propagation Channels:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: TDLA30-200, TDLA30-650, TDLD30-200 (Ericsson, Apple);
  + Option 2: TDLA30-200, TDLA30-650, TDLD10-200; (Nokia)
  + Option 3: TDLA30-200, TDLD30-200 (Huawei);
  + Option 4: TDLA30-650, TDLD30-650 (Qualcomm);
* Recommended WF
  + Consider TDLD10 not applicable for 120kHz/100MHz according to the Channel models agreements from RAN4#104-e;
  + To guarantee coverage and considering SNR limitations, discuss whether the following compromise is agreeable for the definition of PDSCH requirements:
    - TDLA30-650 for MCS 4;
    - TDLA30-200 for MCS 13;
    - TDLD30-200 for MCS 17 and above;
* GTW discussion:
  + Nokia: We are ok to TDL channels, for MCS selections we need more time to further discuss.
  + QC: We suggest to list tentative agreement to ease simulation effort.
  + Ericsson: We think TDL30-650 for MCS 4 and 13. And Leave TDLD30-200 for MCS 17 and above if introduced.
  + Apple: We support the recommended WF.
  + Huawei: We support the recommended WF.
* Tentative agreement: Pending on further checking on the simulation results.
  + TDLA30-650 for MCS 4;
  + TDLA30-200 for MCS 13;
  + TDLD30-200 for MCS 17 and above;

**Issue 1-3-4: For PDSCH Requirements for 480kHz/400Mhz, Propagation Channels:**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: TDLA10-200, TDLD10-200 (Ericsson, Nokia, Huawei);
  + Option 1: TDLA10-200 (Apple);
  + Option 3: TDLA10-650, TDLD10-200 (Qualcomm);
* Recommended WF
  + To guarantee coverage and considering SNR limitations, discuss whether the following compromise is agreeable for the definition of PDSCH requirements:
    - TDLA10-200 for MCS 4;
    - TDLD10-200 for MCS 13 and above;
* GTW discussion:
  + Ericsson: We are fine with the recommended WF.
* Agreement: For PDSCH Requirements for 480kHz/400Mhz: following channel model adopted
  + TDLA10-200 for MCS 4;
  + TDLD10-200 for MCS 13 and above;

**Issue 3-1-1: Whether to introduce SDR Test for FR2-2 in Rel. 17**

* Proposals
  + Option 1: Yes (Huawei)
  + Option 2: No (Ericsson, Apple, QC);
* GTW discussion:
  + Huawei: We understand SDR pending the available testable SNR range. We faced similar issue in FR2-1. We can’t preclude more advanced TE implementation introduced in the future.
* Agreement: Not define SDR test for FR2-2 in Rel-17
  + It’s not precluded to further discuss in future releases.

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 4.4 NR coverage enhancements

#### 4.4.2 BS demodulation requirements

**R4-2215643 Simulation results collection for coverage enhancement for PUCCH**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

Simulation results for PUCCH JCE

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.4.2.1 PUSCH requirements

**R4-2215325 Summary of simulation results for PUSCH coverage enhancements**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: China Telecom*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215326 On BS PUSCH demodulation requirements for NR coverage enhancements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: China Telecom*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215327 Draft CR on PUSCH with DMRS bundling BS performance test for FR1**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-1 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: China Telecom*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215328 Draft CR on PUSCH with DMRS bundling BS performance test for FR2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: China Telecom*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215638 PUSCH demodulation performance of Rel-17 NR coverage enhancements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution, we have provided parameters to test PUSCH enhancements performance.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215639 PUSCH demodulation performance of Rel-17 NR coverage enhancements: simulation results**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution, we have provided simulation results parameters for PUSCH enhancements performance.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215640 draftCR for 38.104: FRC for TBoMS and PUSCH JCE**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

FRC for TBoMS FR1 and FR2 with 5PRBs allocated

FRC for FR2 PUSCH JCE requirements

FRC for FR1 may be further updated once the agreement on CHBW and DM-RS configuration are reached.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215685 draftCR for TS38.104 PUSCH with JCE for FR1 and FR2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

draft CR for introducing PUSCH with JCE requirements

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215688 Simulation results for PUSCH with TBoMS and JCE**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215995 Discussion on BS coverage enhancement demod PUSCH**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215996 Simulation results on BS coverage enhancement demod PUSCH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215997 Draft CR on requirements for PUSCH TBoMS (TS38.104, Rel-17)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215998 Draft CR on requirements for PUSCH TBoMS (TS38.141-1, Rel-17)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-1 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215999 Draft CR on requirements for PUSCH TBoMS (TS38.141-2, Rel-17)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216686 Simulation results for PUSCH demodulation requirement for Rel-17 coverage enhancement**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216689 Draft CR on FRC for TBoMS and PUSCH JCE for TS 38.141-1**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-1 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216690 Draft CR on FRC for TBoMS and PUSCH JCE for TS 38.141-2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.4.2.2 PUCCH requirements

**R4-2215641 draftCR for 38.141-1: Perf requirements for PUCCH JCE**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-1 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

Cover requirements for PUCCH JCE format 1 and format 3.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215642 draftCR for 38.141-2: Perf requirements for PUCCH JCE**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

Cover requirements for PUCCH JCE format 1 and format 3.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215686 draftCR for TS38.141-1 manufacture declaration, applicability rule, MU and TT**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-1 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

draft CR for introducing general declaration, applicability rule for NR coverage enhancement

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215687 draftCR for TS38.141-2 manufacture declaration, applicability rule, MU and TT**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

draft CR for introducing general declaration, applicability rule for NR coverage enhancement

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215689 Simulation results for PUCCH with JCE**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216000 Discussion on BS coverage enhancement demod PUCCH**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216001 Simulation results on BS coverage enhancement demod PUCCH**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216507 PUCCH demodulation performance of Rel-17 NR coverage enhancements: simulation results**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution, we have provided parameters to test PUSCH enhancements performance.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216687 Simulation results for PUCCH demodulation requirement for Rel-17 coverage enhancement**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216688 Draft CR on PUCCH JCE requirements for TS 38.104**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.4.3 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][321] NR\_cov\_enh\_Demod, AI 4.4.3– Jingzhou Wu**

**R4-2216905 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][321] NR\_cov\_enh\_Demod**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (China Telecom)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 4.5 Further enhancements on MIMO for NR

#### 4.5.3 UE Demodulation and CSI requirements

**R4-2215728 Simulation results summary for FeMIMO demodulation and CSI requirement**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.5.3.1 Demodulation requirements

**R4-2215538 Draft CR for 38\_101-4 FeMIMO Applicability of Requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.5.3.1.1 Enhancement on HST-SFN scenario

**R4-2215595 On demod requirements for HST Scheme-A**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215596 Draft CR on PDSCH requirement for HST-SFN scheme A**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215713 Updated simulation results for HST-SFN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: CMCC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215726 Discussion and simulation results on demodulation requirement for Enhancement on HST-SFN scenario**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215882 Views on HST-SFN Tests**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215886 Simulation Results on HST-SFN Scheme A**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision: Revised to R4-2217284 (from R4-2215886).**

**R4-2217284 Simulation Results on HST-SFN Scheme A**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision: Return to.**

**R4-2215887 Simulation Results on HST-SFN Scheme B**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision: Revised to R4-2217285 (from R4-2215887).**

**R4-2217285 Simulation Results on HST-SFN Scheme B**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision: Return to.**

**R4-2215938 Discussion on demodulation requirement for Enhancement on HST-SFN deployment**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: MediaTek inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216002 Discussion on UE FeMIMO demod HST-SFN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216003 Simulation results on UE FeMIMO demod HST-SFN**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216004 Draft CR on PDSCH requirement for HST-SFN scheme B**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216387 Discussion on the PDSCH requirement for HST-SFN**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution gives our views on MCS selection of scheme A.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216388 Simulation restuls for PDSCH requirement for HST-SFN**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides simulation results for PDSCH under HST-SFN channel for both Scheme A and scheme B.

Results for scheme A contain results of MCS17 and MCS13.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.5.3.1.2 Enhancement on Multi-TRP

**R4-2215597 On PDCCH demod requirements for multi-TRP**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215727 Draft CR on PMI requirement for multi-TRP**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215874 Simulation Results on Multi-TRP PDCCH Tests**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision: Revised to R4-2217286 (from R4-2215874).**

**R4-2217286 Simulation Results on Multi-TRP PDCCH Tests**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision: Return to.**

**R4-2215876 Views on Performance Requirements for Multi-TRP PDCCH Tests**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215939 Discussion on demodulation performance requirements definition for Rel17 multi-TRP**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: MediaTek inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216005 Discussion on UE FeMIMO demod mTRP**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216006 Simulation results on UE FeMIMO demod mTRP**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216391 Discussion on the requirement of PDCCH enhancement for Multi-TRP**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the possible additional margin added to the results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216392 Simulation results for PDCCH enhancement for Multi-TRP**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides simluation results for PDCCH repetition with FDD 2Rx, 4Rx and TDD 2Rx, 4Rx for both with and without soft-combining.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216393 draft CR to 38.101-4: PDCCH requirement with inter-slot repetition**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This draft CR brings PDCCH requirements for multi-TRP

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216790 Draft CR on reference measurement channels for multi-TRP PDCCH performance**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.5.3.2 CSI requirements

**R4-2216007 Discussion on UE FeMIMO CSI mTRP**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216008 Simulation results on UE FeMIMO CSI mTRP**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216389 Discussion on the gamma value for PMI reporting tests for Multi-TRP**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the gamma value for PMI reporting tests

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216390 Simulation results for PMI reporting tests for Multi-TRP**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides simulation results for PMI reporting of 8x2, 8x4 for both FDD and TDD.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.5.4 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][322] NR\_FeMIMO\_Demod, AI 4.5.3– Yunchuan Yang**

**R4-2216906 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][322] NR\_FeMIMO\_Demod**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Samsung)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 4.6 Support of reduced capability NR devices

#### 4.6.5 UE demodulation and CSI requirements

##### 4.6.5.1 Demodulation requirements

###### 4.6.5.1.1 PDSCH/SDR requirements

**R4-2215625 Discussion on PDSCH/SDR requirements in RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 38.101-4 v CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215628 Draft CR PDSCH demodulation requirements for RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 38.101-4 v CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216027 Simulation results on RedCap PDSCH requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216028 Draft CR: Corrections of RedCap SDR requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216175 Simulation Results for Redcap UE Demodulation PDSCH**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Abstract:**

RedCap UE Demodulation Results for PDSCH

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216221 Simulation results for Redcap PDSCH**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216230 draft CR: Correction of RedCap UE demodulation requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This draft CR corrects the RedCap UE demodulation requirements

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216232 Open issues on UE demodulation requirements for RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the open issues on UE demodulation requirements for RedCap UE.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216234 Summary of simulation results for RedCap**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This spread sheet summarizes the simulation results for RedCap UE demodulation requirements.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.6.5.1.2 PDCCH/PBCH requirements

**R4-2216176 Simulation Results for Redcap UE Demodulation PDCCH/PBCH**

*Type: discussion For: Information  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Abstract:**

RedCap UE Demodulation Results for PDCCH/PBCH

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216222 Simulation results for Redcap PDCCH**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216223 Simulation results for Redcap PBCH**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 4.6.5.2 CSI requirements

###### 4.6.5.2.1 CQI requirements

**R4-2215626 Discussion on CQI requirements in RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 38.101-4 v CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216029 Simulation results on RedCap CQI requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216030 Discussion on remaining issues on RedCap CQI requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216177 RedCap UE Demodulation Requirements CQI**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Abstract:**

Discussion on RedCap UE Demodulation requirements for CQI/PMI/RI

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216224 Simulation results for RedCap CQI reporting**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216225 Draft CR 38.101-4 Finalization of channel quality reporting requirements under static condition for RedCap**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216231 draft CR: Correction of RedCap CSI reporting requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This draft CR corrects the RedCap CSI reporting requirements

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216233 Open issues on CSI reporting requirements for RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the open issues on CSI reporting requirements for RedCap

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216429 draftCR for RedCap UE Demodulation Requirements CQI for static channel**

*Type: draftCR For: Discussion  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216703 Discussion on CQI requirements for RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: MediaTek inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 4.6.5.2.2 PMI/RI requirements

**R4-2215627 Discussion on PMI/RI requirements in RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 38.101-4 v CR- rev Cat: (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216031 Simulation results on RedCap RI requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216032 Discussion on remaining issues on RedCap RI requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216033 Draft CR: Corrections of RedCap PMI requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216226 Draft CR 38.101-4 Finalization of Rank Indicator reporting requirements for RedCap**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216704 Discussion on PMIRI requirements for RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: MediaTek inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216706 Draft CR to TS38.101-4, Corrections to ReCap PMI requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.101-4 v17.6.0 CR- rev Cat: F (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: MediaTek inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 4.6.6 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][323] NR\_RedCap\_Demod, AI 4.6.5– Kazuyoshi Uesaka**

**R4-2216907 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][323] NR\_RedCap\_Demod**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Ericsson)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 4.7 Enhanced IIoT and URLLC support

#### 4.7.3 Demodulation performance and CSI requirements

##### 4.7.3.1 PUCCH requirements

**R4-2215543 Sub-slot based PUCCH repetition performance requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution we have discssed the last remaining issue of KPI selction for sub-slot based PUCCH repetition performance requirements.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215544 Simulation Results on Sub-slot based PUCCH repetition**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution we have provided our simulation results for Sub-slot based PUCCH repetition.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215545 Draft CR for TS 38.104 Demod performance requirement for sub-slot repetition PUCCH format 0 (Rel-17, CAT B)**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.104 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

Added conducted and Type 1-O minimum performance reuqirment section for sub-slot repetition PUCCH format 0.

Both ACK MD and DTX to ACK requirements are introduced

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215696 Simulation results for PUCCH sub-slot repetition**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

simulation results

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216034 Simulation results and discussion on remaining issues on Rel-17 URLLC PF0 subslot repetition requirments**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216035 Draft CR: Introduction of manufacturer declarations for sub-slot repetition PF0 requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-1 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216036 Draft CR: Introduction of applicability rules for sub-slot repetition PF0 requirements**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-1 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216037 Simulation summary of sub-slot repetition PF0 requirements**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216700 Discussion and simulation results for Enhanced IIOT and URLLC support**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216701 Big CR on requirement for Enhanced IIOT and URLLC for TS 38.141-2**

*Type: CR For: Agreement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR-0426 rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216702 Draft CR on OTA performance requirements for PUCCH for TS 38.141-2**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-2 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2217283 draftCR for TS38.141-1 general and PUCCH demodulation requirements for URLLC IIoT enhancement**

*Type: draftCR For: Endorsement  
 38.141-1 v17.7.0 CR- rev Cat: B (Rel-17)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

#### 4.7.4 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][324] NR\_IIOT\_URLLC\_enh\_Demod, AI 4.7.3– Axel Muller**

**R4-2216908 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][324] NR\_IIOT\_URLLC\_enh\_Demod**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Nokia)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

## 6 Rel-18 non-spectrum related work items and study items for NR

### 6.4 Study on NR BS RF requirement evolution

#### 6.4.1 General and work plan

**R4-2215575 Proposals on definition of FR2 multi-band BS**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides investigation on current FR1 definition of multi-band RIB and proposals on definition of FR2 multi-band BS according to the agreed WF.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216241 TP for deployment scenarios**

*Type: pCR For: Agreement  
 38.877 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-18)  
  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.4.2 Investigation of mmWave multi-band BS

**R4-2215413 General consideration on mmWave multi-band BS**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215576 Discussion on possible issues on performance of wideband RF and antenna architectures**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides investigation on feasibility of FR2 multi-band BS, focusing on the feasibility of multi-band RIB w.r.t. different band combinations.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215768 FR2 Multi-band BS**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Murata Manufacturing Co Ltd.*

**Decision:** The document was **withdrawn**.

**R4-2216240 Definition of FR2 multi-band BS**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216242 Discussion of mmWave multi-band BS feasibility**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216243 Study on the FR1 multi-band methods**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216397 TP to TR 38.877: NR mm wave BS**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.877 v0.0.0 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-18)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Observations on mm wave BS

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216551 Further discussion on FR2 multi-band operation**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.4.3 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][307] FS\_NR\_BS\_RF\_evo, AI 6.4– Liehai Liu**

**R4-2216891 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][307] FS\_NR\_BS\_RF\_evo**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Huawei)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 6.5 Study on NR FR2 OTA testing enhancements

#### 6.5.1 General and work plan

#### 6.5.2 Test methods for RF/RRM/Demodulation requirements

**R4-2215540 Testing Considerations for Multi AoA UE RF Spherical Coverage Test Case and FR2 Demodulation**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215658 On FR2 OTA test methodology enhancements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215703 Discussion on full degree of rotation freedom with 2AoA**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215711 Views on FR2-1 RF OTA test for a device with multi-panel reception (2)**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Anritsu Corporation*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution we show our views to each candidate option at sub-topic 2-1, issue 2-1-4 in the WF (R4-2214357)

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216079 Discussion on Test methods**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216114 Discussion on FR2 OTA test methods for multi-Rx**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216169 on the FR2 OTA Test method**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216415 Discussion on test methodology for FR2 UE with multi-Rx**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216450 Views on FR2 OTA enhanced test method**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: OPPO*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216642 Discussion on FR2 methods for UEs with multi-panel reception**

*Type: discussion For: Endorsement  
 38.871 v CR- rev Cat: (Rel-18)  
  
 Source: ROHDE & SCHWARZ*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.5.3 Test uncertainty assessments

**R4-2216078 Discussion on MU impacts for Multi-Rx test system**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216755 On QoQZ Validation and MU for Multi-AoA Systems for Multi-Chain Operation**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.5.4 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][325] FS\_NR\_FR2\_OTA\_enh, AI 6.5– Bin Han**

**R4-2216909 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][325] FS\_NR\_FR2\_OTA\_enh**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Qualcomm)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 6.13 Air-to-ground network for NR

#### 6.13.4 BS RF requirements

**R4-2215414 General consideration on BS RF core requirements for ATG network for NR**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215508 ATG BS classes, types and requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Decision  
 Source: CMCC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216052 Discussion on ATG BS type**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei, Hisilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216053 TP for TR 38.876**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei, Hisilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216400 ATG BS requirements**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Proposals for remaining BS issues

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216540 Further discussion on ATG BS RF requirements**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.13.6 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][308] NR\_ATG\_BSRF, AI 6.13.4– Wubin Zhou**

**R4-2216892 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][308] NR\_ATG\_BSRF**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (ZTE)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 6.14 Enhancement of TRP and TRS requirements and test methodologies

#### 6.14.1 General and work plan

**R4-2215323 On the work scope of CA and RedCap**

*Type: discussion For: (not specified)  
 Source: Huawei Tech.(UK) Co.. Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215656 On TRP TRS requirement development prioritization**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216103 Workplan of Rel-18 TRP TRS WI**

*Type: Work Plan For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216104 TR 38.870 Skeleton for enhanced TRP TRS test methods**

*Type: draft TR For: Approval  
 38.870 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-18)  
  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216105 LS on 3GPP NR TRP TRS OTA requirements**

*Type: LS out For: Approval  
 to ETSI MSG TFES, GCF CAG, GCF PAG, CTIA Certification, GSMA TSG-AP, NGMN Alliance, PTCRB, CCSA TC9 WG1, cc 3GPP RAN Plenary, 3GPP RAN5  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216473 General views on Rel-18 TRP TRS OTA WI**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: CAICT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.14.2 Enhancement of test methodology

##### 6.14.2.1 Anechoic chamber test methodology

**R4-2215324 On TRP Measurement under TxD**

*Type: discussion For: (not specified)  
 Source: Huawei Tech.(UK) Co.. Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215653 On TRP TRS methodology enhancements**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215654 LS on the availability of wrist phantoms for OTA testing of wearable devices**

*Type: LS out For: Approval  
 to CTIA OTA WG, CTIA OTA Near Field Phantom WG  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215704 Initial discussion of TRP TRS on NR 2Tx UE**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216106 Discussion on Anechoic Chamber test methodology**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216172 on the Anechoic chamber test methodology**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216414 Discussion on enhancement of UE TRP and TRS**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216446 Considerations on TRPTRS test methodology for 2Tx UE**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: OPPO*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 6.14.2.2 Reverberation chamber test methodology

**R4-2215322 on test methodology for reverberation chambers**

*Type: discussion For: (not specified)  
 Source: Huawei Tech.(UK) Co.. Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215655 On reverberation chamber harmonization with the reference methodology**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216107 Discussion on Reverberation Chamber test methodology**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216173 on the Reverberation chamber test methodology**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216447 For reverberation chamber test methodology**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: OPPO*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 6.14.2.3 MU assessment

**R4-2215320 MU for Reverberation Chambers and BHH**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Huawei Tech.(UK) Co.. Ltd*

**Abstract:**

MU value proposals for RC and BHH

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216108 Discussion on MU work management**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216110 LS to RAN5 on MU work of Rel-18 FR1 TRP TRS WI**

*Type: LS out For: Approval  
 to RAN5  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 6.14.2.4 Testing time reduction

**R4-2215539 Test Time Reduction using Coarser TRP/TRS Measurement Grids**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216109 Views on testing time reduction methodologies**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.14.3 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][326] NR\_FR1\_TRP\_TRS\_enh, AI 6.14– Ruixin Wang**

**R4-2216910 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][326] NR\_FR1\_TRP\_TRS\_enh**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (vivo)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 6.15 Enhancement of Multiple Input Multiple Output Over-the-Air test methodology and requirements for NR UEs

#### 6.15.1 General and work plan

**R4-2215542 On FR2 Requirements Framework and Correlation between Simulations and Measurements**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Keysight Technologies UK Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216357 Work plan for Rel-18 NR MIMO OTA WI**

*Type: Work Plan For: Approval  
 Source: CAICT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.15.2 FR2 MIMO OTA test methodology enhancement

**R4-2215657 On FR2 MIMO OTA test methodology enhancement**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216077 Discussion on FR2 MIMO OTA test methodology enhancement**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei,HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216111 Discussions on FR2 MIMO OTA enhancement**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216171 on the FR2 MIMO OTA test methodology enhancement**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216359 Views on the framework for FR2 MIMO OTA requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: CAICT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216413 Discussion on FR2 MIMO OTA requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216448 Views on the framework for FR2 MIMO OTA requirement development**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: OPPO*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.15.3 FR1 MIMO OTA test methodology enhancement

**R4-2215321 on MIMO OTA tests for tablets and smartphones in browsing mode**

*Type: discussion For: (not specified)  
 Source: Huawei Tech.(UK) Co.. Ltd*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215705 Necessity and feasibility of hand phantom MIMO OTA test method**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216112 Discussions on FR1 MIMO OTA enhancement**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216170 on the FR1 MIMO OTA test methodology enhancement**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216358 Discussion on FR1 MIMO OTA testing for hand phantom browsing mode**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: CAICT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216449 FR1 MIMO OTA in browsing mode**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: OPPO*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.15.4 MU assessment

**R4-2216113 Views on Rel-18 MIMO OTA MU work handling**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.15.5 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][327] NR\_MIMO\_OTA\_enh, AI 6.15– Xuan Yi**

**R4-2216911 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][327] NR\_MIMO\_OTA\_enh**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (CAICT)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 6.16 BS and UE EMC enhancements

**R4-2216166 on the regulation study of UE EMC**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.16.1 General and work plan

**R4-2216168 Rel-18 UE EMC work plan**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216488 BS EMC enhancements - Work Plan proposal**

*Type: Work Plan For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Proposal for work plan on BS EMC enhacements

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.16.2 BS EMC enhancements

**R4-2215731 Discussion on EMC BS enhancement R18**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215958 Discussion of BS EMC Enhancement for NR and LTE**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the proposal of optimization of EMC test configurations.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215959 Proposal for Optimization of EMC Test Configurations**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses how to use the proposed optimization method, and the resulting capability sets/test configurations for EMC test purpose.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.16.3 UE EMC enhancements

**R4-2216167 on the study phase of UE EMC**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.16.4 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][309] NR\_LTE\_EMC\_enh, AI 6.16– Aurelian Bria**

**R4-2216893 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][309] NR\_LTE\_EMC\_enh**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Ericsson)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 6.17 Study on evolution of NR duplex operation

#### 6.17.1 General and work plan

**R4-2215384 Further discussion of the interference modelling for duplex evolution SLS**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216200 General considerations for the study of sub-band full-duplex (SBFD) in RAN4**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216406 On general and deployment considerations for SBFD**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

General considerations

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216542 Further discussion on reply LS for full duplex BS**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.17.2 Study the feasibility of and impact on RF requirements

**R4-2216836 Duplex enhancements UE-UE CLI modelling remaining aspects**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: MediaTek (Chengdu) Inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 6.17.2.1 Adjacent channel co-existence evaluation

**R4-2215345 SBFD adjacent channel coexistence evaluation**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm CDMA Technologies*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215385 Further discussion on adjacent channel co-existence simulation assumption**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215486 Study on the simulation assumption for adjacent channel co-existence**

*Type: discussion For: Decision  
 Source: CMCC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215619 On UE-UE CLI modeling**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Apple*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215776 Discussions on adjacent channel co-existence evaluation**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215789 On interference modelling for duplex evolution**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: LG Electronics Finland*

**Abstract:**

This contribution shows simulation results for UE TX emissions using the UE TX non-linearity model provided in TR 38.803 and proposes a sub-band approach for modeling of TX emissions in system simulations.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215835 On initial results for SBFD adjacent channel co-existence evaluation**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this contribution we present an overview of simulation assumptions and initial simulation results related to SFBD adjacent channel co-existence between two networks.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216133 Further discussion on co-existence in adjacent channel for full duplex**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216201 Assumptions and Initial Simulation results for SBFD coexistence evaluation**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216237 Discussion on the co-existence study for NR duplex operation**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216543 Further discussion on full duplex coexistence in adjacent channel scenario**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 6.17.2.2 Study the feasibility of and impact on RF requirements

###### 6.17.2.2.1 BS aspect

**R4-2215346 SBFD feasibility and impact on RF requirements: BS aspects**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Qualcomm CDMA Technologies*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215390 Further discussion on feasibility study for duplex evolution**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215484 study the feasibility of and impact on RF requirements on gNB side**

*Type: discussion For: Decision  
 Source: CMCC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216134 Further discussion on self-interference modelling for full duplex from BS aspect**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216202 SBFD Base Station aspects**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216238 Feasibility study from RF perspective**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216239 Evolution of receiver blocking and AGC**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216404 SBFD gNB RF considerations**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Examination of gNB RF issues

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216409 Discussion on Total Achievable Self-Interference Cancellation**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Intel Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216544 Further discussion on self-interference and CLI for full duplex BS**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216717 Discussion on feasibility and RF impact for SBFD capable gNB**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

###### 6.17.2.2.2 UE aspect

**R4-2215485 Study the feasibility of and impact on RF requirements on UE aspect**

*Type: discussion For: Decision  
 Source: CMCC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216135 Further discussion on interference modelling for full duplex from UE aspect**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: vivo*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216203 UE to UE interference in Sub Band non-overlapping Full Duplex**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216405 SBFD UE RF considerations**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

Extracting UE parameters from the UE spec

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216718 Discussion on UE aspect for SBFD operation**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Samsung*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216794 Modelling UE CLI SINR for SBFD system study**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Qualcomm France*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.17.3 Summary of regulatory aspects

**R4-2216204 Regulatory considerations on sub-band non-overlapping full duplex operation**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216518 Sub-Band Full Duplex - Regulatory aspects**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 38.858 v0.0.2 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-18)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the regulatory aspects of sub-band full duplex

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.17.4 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][310] FS\_NR\_duplex\_evo\_Part1, AI 6.17.1, 6.17.2.2, 6.17.3– Jackson Wang**

**R4-2216894 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][310] FS\_NR\_duplex\_evo\_Part1**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Samsung)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][311] FS\_NR\_duplex\_evo\_Part2, AI 6.17.2.1– Chunxia Guo**

**R4-2216895 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][311] FS\_NR\_duplex\_evo\_Part2**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (CMCC)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 6.22 NR NTN enhancement

#### 6.22.1 General and work plan

**R4-2215709 NR NTN enhancement workplan**

*Type: Work Plan For: Approval  
 Source: NTT DOCOMO, INC.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 6.22.1.1 System parameters

**R4-2216076 Discussion on Rel-18 NTN regulatory information and ka band**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Huawei, HiSilicon*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216148 Initial discussion for NR to support non-terrestrial networks**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Xiaomi*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216372 Discussion on above 10GHz NTN band**

*Type: discussion For: Agreement  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216516 NTN enhancement: System parameters**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the system parameters for NTN enhancements

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216556 Discussion on system parameter for NTN in Ka band**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216651 Ka band system parameters for NTN**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 6.22.1.2 Regulatory information

**R4-2215775 Utilization of frequency range 27.50-28.35GHz spectrum in USA**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Verizon, T-Mobile USA*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216515 NTN enhancement: Regulatory aspects and band discussion**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the regulatory context related to NTN operation in Ka-band

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

##### 6.22.1.3 Others

#### 6.22.2 Co-existence study for above 10GHz bands

**R4-2215348 VSAT UE Characteristics and Initial Simulation Parameters**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: THALES*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides material for discussion with respect to Ka-band coexistence simulations and VSAT antenna parameters.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215352 Discussion on Ka-band NTN-TN NR adjacent band coexistence scenarios**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: THALES, Lockheed Martin, Hispasat, Intelsat, Magister Solutions Ltd, Satellite Applications Catapult, ESA, Avanti, Hughes/EchoStar, Inmarsat, Eutelsat, Sateliot*

**Abstract:**

This contribution provides material for discussion on handling of satellite FR2 bands as part of NTN Rel-18 WI.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2215777 Simulation assumptions for above 10GHz NTN co-existence study**

*Type: discussion For: Decision  
 Source: Samsung Electronics Nordic AB*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216517 NTN enhancement - coex simulations: scenarios and assumptions**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

This contribution discusses the coexistence scenarios and associated assumptions for NTN operation in the Ka-band

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216557 Discussion on coexistence evaluation for NTN in Ka-band**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.22.3 SAN RF requirements

**R4-2215415 General consideration on SAN RF requirements for above 10GHz bands**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: CATT*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216558 Discussion on SAN RF requirements for NTN in Ka-band**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.22.5 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][312] NR\_NTN\_enh\_Part1, AI 6.22.1,6.22.3– Dorin Panaitopol**

**R4-2216896 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][312] NR\_NTN\_enh\_Part1**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Thales)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

**[104-bis-e][313] NR\_NTN\_enh\_Part2, AI 6.22.2– Yiran Jin**

**R4-2216897 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][313] NR\_NTN\_enh\_Part2**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Samsung)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 6.24 NR Network-controlled Repeaters

#### 6.24.1 General and work plan

**R4-2216198 Discussion on NR Network-controlled repeaters**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216552 Discussion on work plan and spec drafting for NCR in Rel-18**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.24.2 Study of RF core and EMC requirements

**R4-2215488 discussion on NCR RF requirements**

*Type: discussion For: Decision  
 Source: CMCC*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216199 On RF core requirements of NR Network-controlled repeaters**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216553 Discussion on RF requirements for NCR in Rel-18**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216789 On RF and EMC requirements for network controlled repeaters**

*Type: other For: Discussion  
 Source: Ericsson France S.A.S*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216793 Network-controlled repeater specification impact in 38.106**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 38.106 v CR- rev Cat: (Rel-18)  
  
 Source: Qualcomm France*

**Abstract:**

Discuss impact of adding network control to core RF specification

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 6.24.4 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][314] NR\_netcon\_repeater, AI 6.24.1, 6.24.2– Xue Fei**

**R4-2216898 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][314] NR\_netcon\_repeater**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (ZTE)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

## 7 Rel-18 Work Items for LTE

### 7.4 New bands and BW allocation for 5G terrestrial broadcast - part 2

#### 7.4.4 BS RF requirement maintenance

**R4-2215342 BS requirements for 5G terrestrial broadcast**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: SWR*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216550 Further discussion on BS RF requirements for LTE based broadcast**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216735 BS requirements for LTE based 5G terrestrial broadcast band(s)**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 7.4.5 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][315] LTE\_terr\_bcast\_bands\_BSRF, AI 7.4.4– Iwajlo Angelow**

**R4-2216899 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][315] LTE\_terr\_bcast\_bands\_BSRF**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (Nokia)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

### 7.5 NB-IoT/eMTC core & perf. requirements for NTN

#### 7.5.3 Co-existence verification

**R4-2216418 Coexistence simulation results for TN-NTN NB IoT**

*Type: discussion For: Approval  
 Source: Qualcomm Incorporated*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216547 Further discussion on simulation assumptions and evaluation results for IoT over NTN**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216634 IoT NTN coexisting initial results**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, we present our initial results on the IoT NTN /TN coexisting simulation.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216800 IoT NTN coexistence remaining aspects**

*Type: discussion For: Discussion  
 Source: MediaTek (Chengdu) Inc.*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 7.5.4 SAN RF requirements

**R4-2216545 Draft spec for TS 36.108**

*Type: draft TS For: Approval  
 36.108 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-18)  
  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216548 Further discussion on SAN RF requirements for IoT over NTN**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source: ZTE Corporation*

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

**R4-2216638 TP for SAN RF requirement**

*Type: pCR For: Approval  
 36.108 v0.0.1 CR- rev Cat: (Rel-18)  
  
 Source: Ericsson*

**Abstract:**

In this paper, TP is proposed for some of SAN requirement.

**Decision:** The document was **not treated**.

#### 7.5.7 Moderator summary and conclusions

**[104-bis-e][316] IoT\_NTN\_Co-existence\_SANRF, AI 7.5.3, 7.5.4– Fei Xue**

**R4-2216900 Email discussion summary for [104-bis-e][316] IoT\_NTN\_Co-existence\_SANRF**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (ZTE)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**Conclusions after 2nd round**

## BACKUP

**R4-22AAAAA Email discussion summary for**

*Type: other For: Information  
 Source: Moderator (TBA)*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**

**R4-22AXXXX WF for**

*Type: other For: Approval  
 Source:*

**Abstract:**

**Discussion:**

**Decision: Return to.**