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# Introduction

*This E-mail thread will address the following issues for NTN BS and UE*

* + 1. Satellite Access Node RF requirements [NR\_NTN\_solutions-Core]
			1. TX requirements for radiated characteristics [NR\_NTN\_solutions-Core]
			2. RX requirements for radiated characteristics [NR\_NTN\_solutions-Core]
			3. Tx requirements for conducted characteristics [NR\_NTN\_solutions-Core]
			4. Rx requirements for conducted characteristics

The discussion including 2 main Topics

* Topic 1 will handle the remaining open issue for SAN type 1-H and SAN type 1-O as listed in section 1.2.1 & 1.2.2.
* Topic 2 will review the 38.108 TPs as listed in section 2.3

# Topic #1: Open issue for BS

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2203948**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203948.zip) | CATT | Figure 2-1 reference point for SAN type 1-O**Proposal 1: It is proposed to use RIB specified in figure 2-1 as the reference point for SAN type -1-O.** |
| [**R4-2205046**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205046.zip) | Ericsson | **Proposal1: Following Tx spurious limits shall be considered for NTN satellite access node:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Spurious frequency range | *Basic limit* | *Measurement bandwidth* | Notes |
| 9 kHz – 150 kHz |  | 1 kHz | Note 1 |
| 150 kHz – 30 MHz |  | 10 kHz  | Note 1 |
| 30 MHz – 1 GHz |  | 100 kHz | Note 1 |
| 1 GHz 12.75 GHz | -13dBm | 1 MHz | Note 1, Note 2 |
| 12.75 GHz – 5th harmonic of the upper frequency edge of the DL *operating band* in GHz |  | 1 MHz | Note 1, Note 2, Note 3 |
| NOTE 1: *Measurement bandwidth*s as in ITU-R SM.329 [2], s4.1.NOTE 2: Upper frequency as in ITU-R SM.329 [2], s2.5 table 1.NOTE 3: This spurious frequency range applies only for *operating bands* for which the 5th harmonic of the upper frequency edge of the DL *operating band* is reaching beyond 12.75 GHz. |

**Proposal2 : Specify additional operating band unwanted emissions limits for Band n24 (subclause .6.4.2.5.6 in TS 38.104) for satellite band n255 as well.** |
| [**R4-2205047**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205047.zip) | Ericsson | **Proposal1: Dynamic range requirement shall not be specified for GEO SAN.****Proposal2: Dynamic range requirement shall be specified for LEO1200 SAN considering an IoT level of 10-12 dBc.****Proposal3: Dynamic range requirement shall be specified for LEO600 SAN considering an IoT level of 15-18 dBc.****Proposal4: Specify In-channel selectivity requirements accordingly, assuming a required SINR of 9.5dB (similarly to NR).****Proposal5: Based on our further analysis of case 6, the SAN ACS should be specified with 40dBc value.** |
| [**R4-2205049**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205049.zip) | Ericsson | **Proposal1: Specify OTA REFSENS requirement using the same limits than for SAN 1-H, adjusted with SAN ΔOTAREFSENS.****Proposal2: Specify SAN OTA blocking requirement based on the SAN EISREFSENS and adjusted with the SAN ΔOTAREFSENS for the interferer.****Proposal3: Specify OTA In-channel selectivity using the same limits as 1-H ones but adjusted with the SAN ΔminSENS for both wanted signal and interferer values.** |
| [**R4-2205468**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205468.zip) | ZTE Corporation | **Proposal 1**: **to define the SAN OBUE requirement for GEO, LEO-600 and LEO-1200 as following:**Table 1. GEO UEM limit values

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Frequency offset of measurement filter ‑3dB point, Δf | Frequency offset of measurement filter centre frequency, f\_offset | *Basic limits* (Note 1, 2) | *Measurement bandwidth* |
| 0 MHz ≤ Δf < 5 MHz | 0.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < 5.05 MHz |  | 100 kHz  |
| 5 MHz ≤ Δf <min(10 MHz, Δfmax) | 5.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset <min(10.05 MHz, f\_offsetmax) | 51dBm-24dBc-10\*log10(5\*10)+1dB margin=11dBm | 100 kHz  |
| 10 MHz ≤ Δf ≤ Δfmax | 10.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < f\_offsetmax | 10dBm | 100 kHz  |

Table 2. LEO-1200 OBUE requirements

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Frequency offset of measurement filter ‑3dB point, Δf | Frequency offset of measurement filter centre frequency, f\_offset | *Basic limits* (Note 1, 2) | *Measurement bandwidth* |
| 0 MHz ≤ Δf < 5 MHz | 0.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < 5.05 MHz |  | 100 kHz  |
| 5 MHz ≤ Δf <min(10 MHz, Δfmax) | 5.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset <min(10.05 MHz, f\_offsetmax) | 53dBm-24dBc-10\*log10(5\*10)+1dB margin=13dBm | 100 kHz  |
| 10 MHz ≤ Δf ≤ Δfmax | 10.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < f\_offsetmax | 12 | 100 kHz  |

Table 3. LEO-600 OBUE requirements

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Frequency offset of measurement filter ‑3dB point, Δf | Frequency offset of measurement filter centre frequency, f\_offset | *Basic limits* (Note 1, 2) | *Measurement bandwidth* |
| 0 MHz ≤ Δf < 5 MHz | 0.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < 5.05 MHz |  | 100 kHz  |
| 5 MHz ≤ Δf <min(10 MHz, Δfmax) | 5.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset <min(10.05 MHz, f\_offsetmax) | 47dBm-24dBc-10\*log10(5\*10)+1dB margin=7dBm | 100 kHz  |
| 10 MHz ≤ Δf ≤ Δfmax | 10.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < f\_offsetmax | 6 | 100 kHz |

**Proposal 2: to define the spurious emission requirement for GEO, LEO-600 and LEO-1200 as following:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Spurious frequency range | *Basic limit* | *Measurement bandwidth* | Notes |
| 9 kHz – 150 kHz |  | 1 kHz | Note 1 |
| 150 kHz – 30 MHz |  | 10 kHz  | Note 1 |
| 30 MHz – 1 GHz |  | 100 kHz | Note 1 |
| 1 GHz 12.75 GHz | -13dBm | 1 MHz | Note 1, Note 2 |
| 12.75 GHz – 5th harmonic of the upper frequency edge of the DL *operating band* in GHz |  | 1 MHz | Note 1, Note 2, Note 3 |
| NOTE 1: *Measurement bandwidth*s as in ITU-R SM.329 [2], s4.1.NOTE 2: Upper frequency as in ITU-R SM.329 [2], s2.5 table 1.NOTE 3: This spurious frequency range applies only for *operating bands* for which the 5th harmonic of the upper frequency edge of the DL *operating band* is reaching beyond 12.75 GHz. |

 |
| [**R4-2205469**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205469.zip) | ZTE Corporation | **Proposal 1**: to define Rx dynamic range requirements with IoT level as18dBc for LEO600KM NTN BS;**Proposal 2**: to define Rx dynamic range requirements with IoT level as12dBc for LEO1200KM NTN BS;**Proposal 3:** to define Rx ICS level as 9dB for GEO, 21dB for LEO1200KM and 27dB for LEO600KM; |
| [**R4-2205977**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205977.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1**: mirror the OTA EVM requirement value for 64QAM from the conducted 1-H requirement, i.e. 8 % as optional requirement subject to manufacturer declaration.**Proposal 2**: The OTA TX IMD requirement for SAN type 1-O is not necessary.  |
| [**R4-2205978**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205978.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1**: reuse the *basic limit* terminology for the NTN SAN unwanted emissions requirements.**Proposal 2**: reuse Ncells terminology for the NTN SAN.**Proposal 3**: follow the existing AAS-based framework in TS 37.105/TS 38.104 for unwanted emissions scaling, with the existing exception that for any regulatory requirements the emission scaling may not be applicable.The above proposal would be more reasonable, especially considering that future NTN evolution is expected to look more into the MIMO feature for NTN. **Proposal 4**: align the AAS architecture definition and reuse the same TRXU units number of 8 as the minimum for the SAN type 1-O.**Proposal 5**: irrespective of the emissions scaling discussion, consideration of MIMO operation for NTN SAN shall be clarified in the Rel-17 NTN WID during the next TSG RAN meeting.**Proposal 6**: adjust the WF agreements from the previous meeting, to separate the emissions scaling discussion from the MIMO feature(s) consideration in NTN WI, e.g. “*WF:* *X scaling factors is not needed for OTA out-of-band emission and OTA transmitter spurious emission ~~since MIMO is not supported on SAN~~.*” |
| [**R4-2203949**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203949.zip) | CATT | **Proposal 1: OTA REFSENS requirement can be omitted if OTA sensitivity requirement is seen enough for SAN.****Proposal 2: It is proposed that OTA In-channel selectivity is specified using the same limits as SAN type 1-H ones but adjusted with the SAN ΔminSENS for both wanted signal and interfere values.****Proposal 3: OTA in-band blocking level is specified using the same limits as SAN type 1-H but adjusted with the SAN ΔminSENS  for both wanted signal and interfering signal.** |
| [**R4-2205980**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205980.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1**: for SAN type 1-O, not to define OTA reference sensitivity level and rely on the declared sensitivity level (OTA sensitivity).**Proposal 2**: not to define Rx dynamic range requirements for NTN SAN type 1-O. |
| [**R4-2203950**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203950.zip) | CATT | **Proposal 1:** It’s proposed to adopt the SAN unwanted emission requirement as defined in Table 2.1-1. (withdrawn)**Proposal 2:**  It is proposed not to define intra-system intermodulation requirement in 38.108. |
| [**R4-2205982**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205982.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1**: Reuse the EVM requirement value for 64QAM from NR BS specification, i.e. 8 % as optional requirement subject to manufacturer declaration.**Proposal 2**: introduce the intra-system transmitter intermodulation requirement for NTN SAN type 1-H. |
| [**R4-2203951**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203951.zip) | CATT | **Proposal 1:** It is proposed to define the IOT value as 12dB for LEO1200 and 15dB for LEO600 respectively.* Define single requirement for LEO1200 and LEO600 is also fine since the difference is small.

**Proposal 2:** It is proposed to define ICS as 16dB, 21dB and 24dB for GEO, LEO1200 and LEO 600 respectively.**Proposal 3:** It is proposed to define the in-blocking level as -64dBm for SAN |
| **R4-2205285** | Huawei | **Proposal 1: When RAN4 specify ACS requirements for NTN SAN, the actual adjacent channel interference from terrestrial network should be considered.****Proposal 2: For ACS requirements for NTN SAN, the interfering signal mean power can be -75dBm at the TAB connector for SAN type 1-H.**Table 1: SAN ACS requirement for type 1-H

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *SAN channel bandwidth* of the lowest/*highest carrier* received (MHz) | Wanted signal mean power (dBm) | Interfering signal mean power (dBm) |
| 5, 10, 15, 20 | PREFSENS + 6 dB | -75 |

**Proposal 3:** **ACS interferer frequency offset and the type of interfering signal for *SAN type 1-H* can be specified as below.**Table 3 ACS interferer frequency offset for SAN type 1-H

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *BS channel bandwidth* of the *lowest/highest carrier* received (MHz) | Interfering signal centre frequency offset from the lower/upper *Base Station RF Bandwidth* edge or *sub-block* edge inside a *sub-block gap* (MHz) | Type of interfering signal |
| 5 | ±2.5025 |  |
| 10 | ±2.5075 | 5 MHz DFT-s-OFDM NR signal, |
| 15 | ±2.5125 | 15 kHz SCS, 25 RBs |
| 20 | ±2.5025 |  |

 |
| [**R4-2205985**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205985.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Proposal 1**: for LEO600/LEO1200: not to define Rx dynamic range requirements for NTN SAN type 1-H. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 1-1 Open issue for BS type 1-H

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1-1: EVM for 64QAM**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Reuse the EVM requirement value for 64QAM from NR BS specification, i.e. 8 % as optional requirement subject to manufacturer declaration.
	+ Option 2: Other, please specify
* Recommended WF

Option 1

**Issue 1-1-2: Operating band unwanted emissions**

* Proposals
* Option 1: To define the SAN OBUE requirement for GEO, LEO-600 and LEO-1200 as following:
* Table 1. GEO UEM limit values

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Frequency offset of measurement filter ‑3dB point, Δf | Frequency offset of measurement filter centre frequency, f\_offset | *Basic limits* (Note 1, 2) | *Measurement bandwidth* |
| 0 MHz ≤ Δf < 5 MHz | 0.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < 5.05 MHz |  | 100 kHz  |
| 5 MHz ≤ Δf <min(10 MHz, Δfmax) | 5.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset <min(10.05 MHz, f\_offsetmax) | 51dBm-24dBc-10\*log10(5\*10)+1dB margin=11dBm | 100 kHz  |
| 10 MHz ≤ Δf ≤ Δfmax | 10.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < f\_offsetmax | 10dBm | 100 kHz  |

* Table 2. LEO-1200 OBUE requirements

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Frequency offset of measurement filter ‑3dB point, Δf | Frequency offset of measurement filter centre frequency, f\_offset | *Basic limits* (Note 1, 2) | *Measurement bandwidth* |
| 0 MHz ≤ Δf < 5 MHz | 0.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < 5.05 MHz |  | 100 kHz  |
| 5 MHz ≤ Δf <min(10 MHz, Δfmax) | 5.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset <min(10.05 MHz, f\_offsetmax) | 53dBm-24dBc-10\*log10(5\*10)+1dB margin=13dBm | 100 kHz  |
| 10 MHz ≤ Δf ≤ Δfmax | 10.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < f\_offsetmax | 12 | 100 kHz  |

* Table 3. LEO-600 OBUE requirements

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Frequency offset of measurement filter ‑3dB point, Δf | Frequency offset of measurement filter centre frequency, f\_offset | *Basic limits* (Note 1, 2) | *Measurement bandwidth* |
| 0 MHz ≤ Δf < 5 MHz | 0.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < 5.05 MHz |  | 100 kHz  |
| 5 MHz ≤ Δf <min(10 MHz, Δfmax) | 5.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset <min(10.05 MHz, f\_offsetmax) | 47dBm-24dBc-10\*log10(5\*10)+1dB margin=7dBm | 100 kHz  |
| 10 MHz ≤ Δf ≤ Δfmax | 10.05 MHz ≤ f\_offset < f\_offsetmax | 6 | 100 kHz |

* + Option 2: Other, please specify
* Recommended WF

TBA

**Issue 1-1-3: Spurious emissions for SAN type 1-H**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Following Tx spurious limits shall be considered for NTN satellite access node

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Spurious frequency range | *Basic limit* | *Measurement bandwidth* | Notes |
| 9 kHz – 150 kHz |  | 1 kHz | Note 1 |
| 150 kHz – 30 MHz |  | 10 kHz  | Note 1 |
| 30 MHz – 1 GHz |  | 100 kHz | Note 1 |
| 1 GHz 12.75 GHz | -13dBm | 1 MHz | Note 1, Note 2 |
| 12.75 GHz – 5th harmonic of the upper frequency edge of the DL *operating band* in GHz |  | 1 MHz | Note 1, Note 2, Note 3 |
| NOTE 1: *Measurement bandwidth*s as in ITU-R SM.329 [2], s4.1.NOTE 2: Upper frequency as in ITU-R SM.329 [2], s2.5 table 1.NOTE 3: This spurious frequency range applies only for *operating bands* for which the 5th harmonic of the upper frequency edge of the DL *operating band* is reaching beyond 12.75 GHz. |

* + Option 2: Other, please specify
* Recommended WF

TBA

**Issue 1-1-4: intra-system intermodulation requirements**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: It is proposed not to define intra-system intermodulation requirement in 38.108.
	+ Option 2: introduce the intra-system transmitter intermodulation requirement for NTN SAN type 1-H.
* Recommended WF

TBA

**Issue 1-1-5: Dynamic range**

It has been agreed not to define dynamic range requirement for GEO and FFS for LEO1200 and LEO600.

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: It is proposed to define the IOT value as 12dB for LEO1200 and 15dB for LEO600 respectively.
	+ Option 2: It is proposed to define the IOT value as 12dB for LEO1200 and 18dB for LEO 600
	+ Option 3: it is proposed to define the IOT value as 10-12 dB for LEO1200 and 15-18dB for LEO600.
	+ Option 4: it is proposed to define single IOT requirement for LEO1200 and LEO600, e.g. 15dB.
	+ Option 5: for LEO600/LEO1200: not to define Rx dynamic range requirements for NTN SAN type 1-H.
	+ Other, please specify.
* Recommended WF

TBA

**Issue 1-1-6: ICS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: It is proposed to define ICS as 16dB, 21dB and 24dB for GEO, LEO1200 and LEO 600 respectively.
		- IOT for GEO=7dB according to simulations.
	+ Option 2: To define Rx ICS level as 9dB for GEO, 21dB for LEO1200KM and 27dB for LEO600KM;
		- IOT for GEO=0dB
	+ Option 3: Specify In-channel selectivity requirements according to IOT for dynamic range, assuming a required SINR of 9.5dB (similarly to NR).
	+ Option 4: Not to define Rx dynamic range requirements for LEO600/LEO1200.
* Recommended WF

TBA

**Issue 1-1-7: ACS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: For ACS requirements for NTN SAN, the interfering signal mean power can be -75dBm at the TAB connector for SAN type 1-H.
* Table 1: SAN ACS requirement for type 1-H

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *SAN channel bandwidth* of the lowest/*highest carrier* received (MHz) | Wanted signal mean power (dBm) | Interfering signal mean power (dBm) |
| 5, 10, 15, 20 | PREFSENS + 6 dB | -75 |

* + Option 2: Other, please specify
* Recommended WF

TBA

**Issue 1-1-8: in-band blocking**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: it is proposed to specify the in-band blocking as -64dBm for SAN
	+ Option 2: Other, please specify
* Recommended WF

TBA

### Sub-topic 1-1 Open issue for BS type 1-O

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-2-1: Reference point for SAN type 1-O**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: it is proposed to agree the following reference point for SAN type 1-O



* + Option 2: other, please specify.
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-2: EVM requirement for BS type 1-O**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Mirror the OTA EVM requirement value for 64QAM from the conducted 1-H requirement, i.e. 8 % as optional requirement subject to manufacturer declaration.
	+ Option 2: other, please specify
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-3: “basic limit” terminology**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Reuse the basic limit terminology for the NTN SAN unwanted emissions requirements.
	+ Option 2: Do not use the basic limit terminology
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-4: “**Ncells**” terminology for OTA UEM**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Reuse the Ncells terminology for the NTN SAN unwanted emissions requirements.
	+ Option 2: Do not use the Ncells terminology
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-5: unwanted emissions scaling**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: stick to the previous agreement in R4-2203034. X scaling is not used for SAN UEM.
	+ Option 2: follow the existing AAS-based framework in TS 37.105/TS 38.104 for unwanted emissions scaling, with the existing exception that for any regulatory requirements the emission scaling may not be applicable.
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-6: number of TRXU units**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: align the AAS architecture definition and reuse the same TRXU units number of 8 as the minimum for the SAN type 1-O.
	+ Option 2: other, please specify
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-7: MIMO operation for SAN**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Stick to the previous agreement that MIMO is not supported in Rel-17.
	+ Option 2: irrespective of the emissions scaling discussion, consideration of MIMO operation for NTN SAN shall be clarified in the Rel-17 NTN WID during the next TSG RAN meeting.
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-8: X scaling for OTA UEM and OTA spurious emissions**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Stick to the previous agreement that X scaling is not needed for SAN.
	+ Option 2: adjust the WF agreements from the previous meeting, to separate the emissions scaling discussion from the MIMO feature(s) consideration in NTN WI, e.g. “WF: X scaling factors is not needed for OTA out-of-band emission and OTA transmitter spurious emission since MIMO is not supported on SAN.”
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-9: intra-system OTA IMD requirement**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Not to define intra-system OTA IMD requirement
	+ Option 2: other, please specify
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-10: OTA reference sensitivity level**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Not to define OTA reference sensitivity level and rely on the declared sensitivity level (OTA sensitivity).
	+ Option 2: Specify OTA REFSENS requirement using the same limits than for SAN 1-H, adjusted with SAN ΔOTAREFSENS.
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-11: OTA dynamic range**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Specify OTA dynamic using the same limits as 1-H ones but adjusted with the SAN ΔminSENS for both wanted signal and interferer values.
	+ Option 2: not to define Rx dynamic range requirements for SAN type 1-O.
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-12: OTA ICS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: It is proposed that OTA In-channel selectivity is specified using the same limits as SAN type 1-H ones but adjusted with the SAN ΔminSENS for both wanted signal and interfere values.
	+ Option 2: Not to define OTA ICS requirement for SAN type 1-O
* Recommended WF

 TBA

**Issue 1-2-13: in-band blocking**

* + Option 1: OTA in-band blocking level is specified using the same limits as SAN type 1-H but adjusted with the SAN ΔminSENS for both wanted signal and interfering signal.
	+ Option 2: other, please specify.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

*One of the two formats, i.e. either example 1 or 2 can be used by moderators.*

**Example 1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Sub topic 1-1: Sub topic 1-2:….Others: |

**Example 2**

Sub topic 1-1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

Sub topic 1-2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: 38.108 spec drafting and TP review

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Proposals / Observations** | **Company** |
| **TPs for general part** |
| [**R4-2205054**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205054.zip) | Ericsson  | pCR to TS 38.108 - Scope and general |
| [**R4-2205976**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205976.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | TP to TS 38.108: section 4 |
| [**R4-2206121**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2206121.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | TP to TS 38.108: section 3 |
| **R4-2203956** | CATT | TP for 38.108: clause 9.3 OTA Satellite Access Node output power |
| [**R4-2205474**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205474.zip) | ZTE Corporation | TP for TS 38.108 Annex B |
| [**R4-2205987**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205987.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | TP to TS 38.108: annex A (FRC) |
| TPs for Tx part of BS type 1-O |
| [**R4-2203957**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203957.zip) | CATT | TP for 38.108: clause 9.7 OTA unwanted emissions |
| [**R4-2205057**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205057.zip) | Ericsson | pCR to TS 38.108 -Radiated Tx general and transmit power |
| [**R4-2205477**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205477.zip) | ZTE Corporation | TP for TS 38.108 OTA output power dynamics(9.4) |
| [**R4-2205848**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205848.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 7.3.4.7.3 OTA ACLR in TR 38.863 |
| [**R4-2205878**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205878.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 9.3 OTA Satellite Access Node output power - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205880**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205880.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 9.6 OTA transmitted signal quality - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205886**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205886.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 9.7.3 OTA Adjacent Channel Leakage Power Ratio (ACLR) - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205979**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205979.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | TP to TS 38.108: 9.5 (OTA Tx ON/OFF), 9.6 (OTA TX signal quality) and 9.8 (OTA Tx IMD) |
| TPs for Rx part of BS type 1-O |
| [**R4-2203958**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203958.zip) | CATT | TP for 38.108: clause 10.5 OTA in-band selectivity and blocking |
| [**R4-2205058**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205058.zip) | Ericsson | pCR to TS 38.108 - Radiated Rx general and sensitivity |
| [**R4-2205478**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205478.zip) | ZTE Corporation | TP for TS 38.108 OTA Rx requirements(10.3, 10.4,10.6 and 10.9) |
| [**R4-2205851**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205851.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 7.3.5.6 OTA Out-of-band blocking in TR 38.863 |
| [**R4-2205897**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205897.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 10.5 OTA in-band selectivity (ACS) and OTA in-band blocking - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205899**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205899.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 10.6 OTA out-of-band blocking - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205981**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205981.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | TP to TS 38.108: section 10.7 (OTA Rx spur) and 10.8 (OTA Rx IMD) |
| TPs for Tx part of BS type 1-H |
| [**R4-2203954**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203954.zip) | CATT | TP for 38.108: clause 6.6.1&6.6.2&6.6.3 unwanted emissions |
| [**R4-2205055**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205055.zip) | Ericsson | pCR to TS 38.108 - Transmitter spurious emissions |
| [**R4-2205445**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205445.zip) | HUGHES Network Systems Ltd | TP to TS 38.108 on 6.0 Conducted transmitter characteristics |
| [**R4-2205479**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205479.zip) | ZTE Corporation | TP for TS 38.108: Output power dynamics (6.3) |
| [**R4-2205813**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205813.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 6.1 and 6.2 Satellite Access Node output power - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205823**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205823.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 6.5.2 Modulation quality - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205825**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205825.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 6.6.3 Adjacent Channel Leakage Power Ratio - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205827**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205827.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 7.3.2.2.4.1 ACLR in TR 38.863 |
| [**R4-2205983**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205983.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | TP to TS 38.108: section 6.4 (Tx ON/OFF) and 6.5 (TX signal quality) |
| [**R4-2205984**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205984.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | TP to TS 38.108: section 6.7 (Tx IMD) |
| [**R4-2206117**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2206117.zip) | Inmarsat | Draft TP for TS 38.108 Section 6.6.4 Operating band unwanted emissions |
| TPs for Rx part of BS type 1-H |
| [**R4-2203955**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203955.zip) | CATT | TP for 38.108: clause 7.1&7.2 on Rx refsens sensitivity |
| [**R4-2205056**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205056.zip) | Ericsson | pCR to TS 38.108 - In-band selectivity and blocking |
| [**R4-2205475**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205475.zip) | ZTE Corporation | TP for TS 38.108 Dynamic range(7.3) and In channel selectivity(7.8) |
| [**R4-2205847**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205847.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 7.3.3.2.4 Out-of-band blocking in TR 38.863 |
| [**R4-2205864**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205864.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 7.4.1 Adjacent Channel Selectivity (ACS) and Clause 7.4.2 In-band blocking - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205866**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205866.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clause 7.5 Out-of-band blocking - TS 38.108 |
| [**R4-2205922**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205922.zip) | THALES | Draft text proposal for Clauses 7.3.3.2.3.1 Adjacent Channel Selectivity (ACS) and 7.3.3.2.3.2 In-band blocking in TR 38.863 |
| [**R4-2205986**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205986.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | TP to TS 38.108: section 7.6 (Rx spur) and section 7.7 (Rx IMD) |
|  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*This section only focus on specification drafts review.*

*It is noted that multiple TPs are presented for some sections, some of which are not aligned with the work split agreed in R4-2203080. To improve work efficiency and reducing work load, companies are encouraged to present comments with focus on those TPs that is aligned with the agreed work split.*

#### TPs for General Part

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Align with work split?** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2205054**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205054.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205976**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205976.zip) | No.Only section 4 and 4.1 need to be reviewed. |  |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2206121**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2206121.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205474**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205474.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205987**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205987.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| **R4-2203956** | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

#### TPs for Tx RF part of BS type 1-H

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Align with work split?** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2203954**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203954.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205055**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205055.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205445**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205445.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205479**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205479.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205813**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205813.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205823**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205823.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205825**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205825.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205827**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205827.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205983**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205983.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205984**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205984.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2206117**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2206117.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

#### TPs for Rx RF part of BS type 1-H

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Align with work split?** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2203955**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203955.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205056**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205056.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205475**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205475.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205847**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205847.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205864**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205864.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205866**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205866.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205922**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205922.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205986**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205986.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

#### TPs for Tx RF part of BS type 1-O

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Align with work split?** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2203957**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203957.zip) | Yes |  |
|  |
|  |
| [**R4-2205057**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205057.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205477**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205477.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205848**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205848.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205878**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205878.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205880**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205880.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205886**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205886.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205979**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205979.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

#### TPs for Rx RF part of BS type 1-O

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Align with work split?** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2203958**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2203958.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205058**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205058.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205478**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205478.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205851**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205851.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205897**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205897.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205899**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205899.zip) | No | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2205981**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_102-e/Docs/R4-2205981.zip) | Yes | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

# Annex

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email address** |
|  |  |  |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)