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# Introduction

TDocs submitted to the following agenda items will be treated:

- 10.17.4 RRM core requirements

According to the guidance of the Chair, Include the following tdocs from 10.17.3/4: R4-2204880, R4-2204881, R4-2205410, R4-2205962, R4-2206029, R4-2206030, R4-2203642. Other tdocs from 10.17.4 will be moved to BS RF/Test/Demod Session.

List of candidate target of email discussion for 1st round and 2nd round

* 1st round: Companies discuss open issues and provide comments on the CR/TP.
* 2nd round: Finalize on the open issues and the CR/TP.

# Topic #1: RRM requirements related to timing and CLI

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| R4-2203642 | Qualcomm | **Proposal 1: RAN4 needs to define CLI measurement requirements and Rel 16 UE CLI measurement requirement can be used as baseline.** |
| R4-2204880 | Huawei, HiSilicon | **Observation 1: There is no enhancement on CLI measurement and reporting for Rel-17 eIAB compared with Rel-16 IAB based on RAN1/2 agreements.****Proposal 1: No RRM requirements for CLI measurement need to be specified in Rel-17 IAB.** |
| R4-2204881 | Huawei, HiSilicon, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | CR on timing requirements for Rel-17 IAB |
| R4-2205410 | ZTE Corporation | Proposal 1: For CLI measurements by IAB-MT, no new RRM requirements need to be specified in R17.**Observation 1:** UL timing of a parent node will be impacted when there is a timing uncertainty between parent node DU DL Tx timing and its child node DU DL Tx timing.**Observation 2:** Te2 was agreed to be out of scope of RF session.**Proposal 2: Discuss whether to specify requirements for Te2 in RRM session for case# 6 timing.** |
| R4-2205962 | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | 1. RIM scenarios are not typical for IAB deployments. Network planning of static IAB deployments should prevent at least major interference scenarios that are addressed by CLI measurements.
2. The only agreed enhancement in RAN1 is that coordination signalling (Intended TDD DL-UL Configuration) is extended to support IAB specific UFD patterns. DFU patterns were already present in the Rel-16 IAB specifications without any impact on RAN4 RRM requirements.
3. For CLI measurements by IAB-MT, no new RRM requirements need to be specified in R17.
4. There is not need to adopt Rel 16 UE CLI measurement performance requirement shall be adopted for Rel 17 eIAB.
 |
| R4-2206029 | Ericsson | **CLI for IAB:*** **Observation 1**: Unlike, the UE, which moves around, the IAB is fixed node. Therefore, the need for CLI requirements (if CLI is needed) depends on the actual deployment scenario.
* **Observation 2**: IAB can be deployed in wide range of deployment scenarios.
* **Observation 3**: Standardization of the CLI measurement requirements will limit the IAB implementation flexibility making CLI measurement requirements less effective and even degrade the overall performance in some scenarios.
* **Observation 4**: RAN2/RAN3 signaling for CLI for IAB is sufficient for cross layer interference mitigation/management.
* **Observation 5**: RAN4 concluded in Rel-16 not to define RRM measurement requirements for IAB-MT to prevent any implementation limitation in IAB.
* **Proposal #1**: No RRM requirements related to CLI measurements are specified for IAB-MT.

**Case-6 Timing for IAB-MT:*** **Observation 6**: TAE between IAB-MT and its co-located IAB-DU and the IAB-DU’s 3 µs cell phase synchronization will result in that the IAB-MT timing become 3 µs + TAE.
* **Observation 7**: IAB-MT and IAB-DU operate in different cells and if IAB-MT does not follow the cell phase synchronization requirement then there can be interference between the two cells.
* **Proposal#2**: IAB-MT should follow the cell phase synchronization requirement of 3 µs when it transmits in DL time slot.
 |
| R4-2206030 | Ericsson | Case 6 timing requirement for IAB in TS 38.174 |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 1-1

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1: CLI measurement**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: RAN4 needs to define CLI measurement requirements and Rel 16 UE CLI measurement requirement can be used as baseline. (Qualcomm)
	+ Option 2: For CLI measurements by IAB-MT, no new RRM requirements need to be specified in R17. (Huawei, ZTE, Nokia, Ericsson)
* Recommended WF: Discussion needed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Option 2, as explained in our paper. So basically it is not necessary to copy all UE requirements and TCs to IAB-MT since they’re quite different in many aspects. |
| Qualcomm | As we pointed out in our paper, there are CLI scenarios resulting from Rel 17 IAB full-duplex operations. CLI will arise even when UEs or IAB-MTs are stationary. On the other hand, RAN1 did not agree on any enhancement to CLI related mechanisms, but RAN1 did agree on applying all Rel 16 UE CLI measurement/mitigation techniques to Rel 17 IAB-MT. Thus RAN4 should define CLI measurement requirements.  |
|  |  |

**Issue 1-2: Case 6 timing**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Discuss whether to specify requirements for Te2 in RRM session for case# 6 timing. (ZTE)
	+ Option 2: IAB-MT should follow the cell phase synchronization requirement of 3 µs when it transmits in DL time slot. (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
	+ Discussions are needed. Note that dCR R4-2206030 is directly related to this issue.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| ZTE | Agree that the technical issue raised by Ericsson is valid, but maybe we need to further discuss. For example, the analysis given by Ericsson is based on the assumption of co-located IAB MT and DU, however this might not always be the case. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Moderator: The two contributions are addressing to a same issue. See if the CR/TP can be merged.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| R4-2204881 | ZTE: Wait for issue 1-2 to see whether if the two CRs can be merged into a big CR. |
|  |
|  |
| R4-2206030 | ZTE: Wait for issue 1-2 to see whether if the two CRs can be merged into a big CR. |
|  |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Issue 1-1** |  |
| **Issue 1-2** |  |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
| R4-2204880 | Discussion on RRM requirements for eIAB | Huawei, HiSilicon |  |  |
| R4-2204881 | CR on timing requirements for Rel-17 IAB | Huawei, HiSilicon, Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell |  |  |
| R4-2205410 | On RRM for eIAB | ZTE Corporation |  |  |
| R4-2205962 | On IAB Enhanced RRM Requirements | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell |  |  |
| R4-2206029 | Further analysis of RRM requirements for enhanced IAB | Ericsson |  |  |
| R4-2206030 | Case 6 timing requirement for IAB in TS 38.174 | Ericsson |  |  |
| R4-2203642 | CLI measurement requirement for R17 NR eIAB RRM | Qualcomm |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation**  | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
	1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
	2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

# Annex

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email address** |
| ZTE Corporation | Richie Leo | Richie.leo@zte.com.cn |
| E/// | Muhammad Kazmi | Muhammad.kazmi@ericsson.com |
| Huawei | Zhongyi Shen | shenzhongyi3@huawei.com |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Dmitry Petrov | Dmitry.a.petrov@nokia-bell-labs.com  |
| Qualcomm | Jun Ma | jma@qti.qualcomm.com |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)