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# Introduction

FR2 UL gap is discussed in this email thread.

In RAN#92e, revised WID on NR RF enhancements for FR2 is approved [1]. The purpose of this WI is to specify related FR2 UE features and associated requirements, including

* UL gaps for self-calibration and monitoring: [RAN4 RF/RRM, RAN2] Study and, if feasible, introduce UE specific and NW configured gap for general self-calibration and monitoring purposes including
  + - UE Tx power management
    - Other self-calibration and monitoring are not precluded
    - Coherent uplink MIMO
  + Phase 1: Study and clearly identify the performance gain over the current baseline (Rel.16 requirements) Study of RF performance evaluation/testability related to UE self-calibration and monitoring. Study network impact of UE emissions during UL gap, if any.
  + Phase 2: Specify the UL gap configuration(s), related UE capability and interruptions, if needed, based on the identified performance gain in Phase 1 and UE fall back behavior i.e. if gaps are not available for UE requesting gaps.

Agreements in 101-e on UL gap for Tx power management are captured in the way forward R4-2119962.

*Agreements for Tx management:*

* The minimum Delta EIRP gain is at least max((Ppeak\_EIRP-[21]dBm-margin) + 10\*log10(Z/20), 3dB), where the margin is 2dB, where
  + Ppeak\_EIRP is peak EIPR with zero MPR
  + Margin is the implementation margin including false alarm and etc
  + Z is duty cycle in number of percentage for reference measurement channel, e.g. when UL duty cycle is 10%, Z=10.
* UE will report P-MPRgapon when UL gap is activated
* At most UE should report 0~3dB P-MPR in the PHR
* UE supports UL gap shall also support R16 MPE reporting at least when UL gap is activated.
* It is FFS about P-MPRgapoff reporting when UL gap is not activated and the related delta P-MPR, i.e. (P-MPRgapoff -P-MPRgapon).
* In R17, the following 4 gap configurations are introduced
  + The same requirements should be specified for all gap configurations
  + UGL indicates the number of consecutive static UL slots configured as UL gap per UGRP

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | UGL [ms] | UGRP [ms] | UGL/UGRP |
| ULGP #0 | 1.0 | 20 | 5% |
| ULGP #1 | 1.0 | 40 | 2.5% |
| ULGP #2 | 0.5 | 160 | ~0.31% |
| ULGP #3 | 0.125 | 5 | 2.5% |

* UL gaps are configured by the network using RRC configuration.
* UL gaps are deconfigured by the network using RRC configuration.
* Related to activation and deactivation of UL gaps:
* The UL gaps can be activated when configured (using RRC signalling).
* FFS: The UL gaps can additionally and optionally be activated and deactivated using MAC command after UL gap is configured by RRC Signaling
* The UL gaps are deactivated when deconfigured (using RRC signalling).

With the agreement that UE can explicitly indicate to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap”, RAN4 will leave the detailed signaling design to RAN2

* Once the UL gap is configured, and activated, the UE will perform BPS sensing only in the static UL slot, i.e. no DL slot or special slot will be used as UL gap. For example, in case of ULGP#1, DDDSU configuration with 120KHz SCS, the following figure depicts the available slots for UL gap.



* UE is not expected to be scheduled with UL transmission during the gap. Details FFS, e.g., RACH
* No interruption across FR. FR2 UL gap does not cause FR1 interruption.
* UL gap slot is static UL slot. UL gap slot applies same TA as other UL slots.
* No conflict b/w TDD config and UL gap. UL gap is always configured in static UL slot
* FFS the impact on measurement gap configuration and requirement due to UL gap.

*Agreements for UL coherent MIMO:*

* The gain and feasibility of approach 2 can be confirmed.

Approach 2

Gap occupying multiple symbols should be adjacent to PUSCH transmission, as shown in the following figure, and UE can adjust the phase difference between last transmitted SRS and PUSCH transmission. Requirements to be tested can be the same as the gap for BPS. The gap depends on side conditions including DRX on, BWP switching, SRS switching, DL measurement gap, etc. Once the side condition occurs, the gap should be triggered to detect the phase error before PUSCH transmission. If no side conditions, periodically triggered gap is not necessary. It is also worth noting that, with this case, UE need to know the PUSCH transmission phase status in advance, the compensation accuracy would rely on more calibration work.

Table

Description automatically generated with low confidence

Such gap pattern are just examples for coherence calibration, it still needs RAN4 to further study in phase II.

* Details of the relative power error and relative phase errors and their measurements can be added as appropriate.

In RAN#94e, the following agreements were made

* The revised WID RP-213666 was approved, which included the following note:
  + Note: The work of FR2 UL gaps includes (NG) EN-DC, NE-DC, NR-DC and SA. FR2 UL gap operation shall have no impacts to eNB operation or LTE RRC.
* The following proposal was endorsed:
  + Proposal #1: The work of FR2 UL gaps includes (NG) EN-DC, NE-DC, NR-DC and SA. FR2 UL gap operation shall have no impacts to eNB operation or LTE RRC.

# Topic #1: UL Gap for BPS: UE RF

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2200253**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200253.zip) | Apple | **Proposal 1: When UL gap is activated or de-activated and non-zero P-MPR is applied, the peak EIRP measurement should be averaged across UL slots with PUSCH transmission over [4]s.** |
| [**R4-2200353**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200353.zip) | Mediatek | **Observation 1: Regarding Q1 in [1], to assume no main dependency between FR2 UL gap and FR1/FR2 measurement gap since FR2 UL gap is used for enhancing TX RF performance, and FR1/FR2 measurement gap is for RX. The final FR2 UL gap time is mainly scheduled by gNB, possibility to activate simultaneous FR2 UL gap and FR2 measurement gap may be low.**  **Observation 2: Regarding Q2 in [1], in RAN-Plenary#94e Tdoc [2], the agreed proposal was about revising the WID of NR\_RF\_FR2\_req\_enh2 to capture that the work of UL gaps applies to (NG) EN-DC, NE-DC, NR-DC and SA. And importantly, FR2 UL gap operation shall have no impacts to eNB operation or LTE RRC. No matter FR2-FR2 band combination is considered or not in the FR2 UL gap design, UE still inform requirement of UL gap to gNB for certain FR2 band if needed. To assume FR2 UL gap is per-band capability.**  **Observation 3: Regarding Q2-1 in [1], FR2 UL gap can be used for enhancing UE TX FR performance for some FR2 bands if needed. This capability is based on UE reporting. UE could choose to only report requirement of the gap to gNB for certain FR2 band. Once UL gap is activated for certain FR2 band, to assume it apples to the entire band no matter single carrier, CCA or NCCA.**  **Observation 4: Whether or not the UL transmissions in FR1 serving cell(s) are impacted by the FR2 UL gap? From UE RF implementation perspective, UE FR1 and FR2 are two different RF, to assume no potential impact for FR1 operation during FR2 UL gap.** |
| [**R4-2200383**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200383.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **Proposal 1: Support measuring UE in-band Tx power during the gaps.**  **Proposal 2: The maximum value for TX\_OFFduring gap FFS.**  **Proposal 3: Support delta P-MPR, i.e. the relative value of gain i.e. (P-MPRgapoff - P-MPRgapon).** |
| [**R4-2200589**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200589.zip) | ZTE Corporation | **Proposal 1: UE should also meet the existing transmit off power requirement during the BPS UL gap to avoid causing interference.**  **Proposal 2: For the test methodology, Option 1 is preferred.**   * Option 1: Based on P-MPR reporting without phantom to distinguish UE type A, B and C.  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Type of UE** | **P-MPR value when human targets are not close to Tx antenna** | | **NOTE** | | **Without UL gap** | **With UL gap** | | **A** | High | High | UE cannot implement the human detection even if UL gaps are configured | | **B** | Mid / Low | Mid / Low | UE that shows good performance without UL gap and does not require any UL gaps | | **C**  **(Targeted UE)** | High | Low / Very Low | UE that don't shows good performance without UL gap, so it requires any UL gaps for improvement | | **D** | Mid / Low | Low / Very Low | UE that shows good performance without UL gap, but it requires any UL gaps for further improvement. | |
| [**R4-2200856**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200856.zip) | Ericsson, Sony | **Observation 1: in the absence of gaps, the UE should not expect that there are objects present (no body prioximity) and apply a large P-MPR.**  **Observation 2: for TX power management, UL gaps appear only relevant for large actual duty cycles exceeding that reported in *maxUplinkDutyCycle-FR2.***  **Observation 3: the minimum EIRP gain with gaps shall be least 3 dB under all circumstances in the conformance test. Is there a risk that the UE supporting gaps reduces its output power by 3 dB if gaps are not configured?**  **Proposal 1: support of UL gaps for MPE is a UE capability.**  The UE can always use a P-MPR if needed for MPE compliance regardless of the presence if gaps. Therefore we propose  **Proposal 2: it is optional to report P-MPR values (*mpe-Reporting-FR2* configured) with gaps present.**  **Observation 3: the network has the capability of activating/deactivating gaps, if configured, depending on the indicated P-MPR in the PHR (P-bit or reported P-MPR values).**  The P-MPR, if applied, should be reduced when the actual UL duty cycle decreases: the peak power can increase since the MPE is an average power radiation intensity no matter any duty-cycle reporting. Defining a UE behaviour following network actions would be more beneficial. For conformance testing we therefore propose that  **Proposal 3: if the actual UL duty cycle averaged over 2-4 s is greater than that reported the capability *maxUplinkDutyCycle-FR2*, and the actual duty cycle is reduced below this capability subsequently, then no P-MPR is applied (in the conformance test without the presence of any object) notwithstanding presence of UL gaps.**  and  **Proposal 4: if the actual duty cycle is reduced by 50% as averaged over 2-4 seconds and P-MPR is reported, then the P-MPR should decrease by MIN(reported P-MPR, 3 dB) notwithstanding presence of UL gaps or presence of reported duty-cycle capability *maxUplinkDutyCycle-FR2*.** |
| [**R4-2200943**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200943.zip) | vivo | **Observation 1: According to agreements in last meeting, if UE supports UL gaps, the triggering condition of P-MPR reporting is different from R16 P-MPR reporting mechanism.**  **Proposal 1: The UL gap is a new capability which is independent from the R16 MPE reporting, and only reuses some of the R16 MPE reporting mechanism when gap is activated.**  **Observation 2: It is unclear from previous RAN4 agreements what is the expected UE behavior if it supports both R16 P-MPR reporting and R17 UL gaps.**  **Proposal 2: The delta P-MPR reporting is not needed for the UE support Tx power management gap.** |
| [**R4-2201274**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2201274.zip) | OPPO | ***Observation 1: Delta PMPR has the problem of not recognizing UL GAP gain when PMPR absolute value is larger than 12dB. And no additional benefit can be observed comparing to already been agreed peak EIRP gain.***  ***Proposal 1: It is proposed to stop discussion of delta PMPR based metric.***  ***Observation 2: UL gap is needed only for the band with MPE issue, i.e. PMPR is applied, and not needed for bands without MPE issue.***  ***Proposal 2: It is proposed to define UL gap UE capability as per band reported and configured.*** |
| [**R4-2201443**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2201443.zip) | Huawei,HiSilicon | This contribution is replying some questions in LS[2] from RAN2. |
| [**R4-2201693**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2201693.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **Reply to Q1:** There is no dependency between FR2 UL gap and the legacy per UE, FR1, FR2 measurement gap  **Reply to Q2-1:** RAN4 has not yet reached agreement to support UL CA in FR2.  **Reply to Q2-2:** UL gaps are only applicable to FR2 UL. There is no impact on FR1 UL due to FR2 UL gaps.  **Reply to Q3:** The timing reference for UL GP is the DL of the FR2 cell in which the FR2 UL gap is configured  **Reply to Q4:** RAN4 agreed the time unit to be [ms]  **Reply to Q5:** UE may indicate its preference of UL gap pattern to the network. However, it is the network configured UL gap pattern that is to be applied by the UE.  For the remaining questions (Q2 and Q6) further RAN4 discussions would be necessary before reply can be provided. |
|  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 1-1: delta P-MPR reporting

*Sub-topic description:*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

* + Option 1: delta P-MPR should be tested (Nokia)
  + Option 2: delta P-MPR should not be tested (vivo, OPPO)
  + Option 3: it is optional to report P-MPR (Ericsson, Sony)

### Sub-topic 1-2: On Tx OFF Power

Moderator notes: it has been agreed that UE should meet Tx OFF power requirement during the gap

* + Support measuring UE in-band Tx power during the gaps (Nokia, ZTE)
  + The maximum value for TX\_OFFduring gap FFS (Nokia)

### Sub-topic 1-3: on time duration for peak EIRP measurement

* When UL gap is activated or de-activated and non-zero P-MPR is applied, the peak EIRP measurement should be averaged across UL slots with PUSCH transmission over [4]s. (Apple)

### Sub-topic 1-4: on related UE capability

* support of UL gaps for MPE is a UE capability. (Ericsson, Sony, vivo)
* UL gap UE capability as per band reported and configured. (OPPO)

### Sub-topic 1-5: on reply LS to RAN2 (R2-2111575)

**Q1:** Is there any dependency between FR2 UL gap and the legacy per UE, FR1, FR2 measurement gap?

* assume no main dependency between FR2 UL gap and FR1/FR2 measurement gap since FR2 UL gap is used for enhancing TX RF performance, and FR1/FR2 measurement gap is for RX. The final FR2 UL gap time is mainly scheduled by gNB, possibility to activate simultaneous FR2 UL gap and FR2 measurement gap may be low. (Mediatek)
* There is no dependency between FR2 UL gap and the legacy per UE, FR1, FR2 measurement gap (Nokia, Huawei)
* From network configuration perspective, RAN4 sees no dependency between UL gaps and measurement gaps. If interrupted slots due to measurement gaps collide with UL gaps, RRM requirements are not impacted, and relaxed RF requirements can be applied, i.e. UE is not required to meet the minimum Delta EIRP gain requirements as specified in R17 RF specs. From UE capability perspective, UE supporting UL gaps should at least support per-FR measurement gaps. (vivo)

**Q2:** Are MR-DC/NR-DC deployment scenarios included in this WI (NR\_RF\_FR2\_req\_enh2)? If NR-DC is supported, should the FR2-FR2 band combination be considered in the FR2 UL gap design?

* Moderator: this issue has been clarified in the plenary RAN#94 that The work of FR2 UL gaps includes (NG) EN-DC, NE-DC, NR-DC and SA. FR2 UL gap and operation shall have no impacts to eNB operation or LTE RRC.
* MR-DC/NR-DC deployment scenarios are not included in this WI. (Huawei)
* In our understanding, the FR2-FR2 band combination has not been introduced, so this part of work is not urgent. Considering the workload and limited time, we recommend deprioritizing related work and consider further enhancements in future release. (vivo)

**Q2-1:** When FR2 UL gap is activated, does it apply to all the FR2 serving cell(s) inside or across the NR CG configured with FR2 bands?

* FR2 UL gap is UE-specific, and it applies to all the FR2 serving cell(s). (Huawei)
* FR2 UL gap can be used for enhancing UE TX FR performance for some FR2 bands if needed. This capability is based on UE reporting. UE could choose to only report requirement of the gap to gNB for certain FR2 band. Once UL gap is activated for certain FR2 band, to assume it apples to the entire band no matter single carrier, CCA or NCCA. (Mediatek)
* RAN4 has not yet reached agreement to support UL CA in FR2. (Nokia)
* The gap for Tx power management is used by proximity sensor to get more accurate distance between UE and human body. The detection results of sensor can be used for all FR2 band. So, the UL gap is a per-FR gap and can be applied to all the serving cells within the FR2 CG. (vivo)

**Q2-2:** Does UL gap pattern also apply to the case when both FR1 and FR2 are configured inside or across the NR CG, and whether or not the UL transmissions in FR1 serving cell(s) are impacted by the FR2 UL gap?

* Yes, UL gap pattern apply to the case, and the UL transmissions in FR1 serving cell(s) are not impacted by the FR2 UL gap. (Huawei)
* From UE RF implementation perspective, UE FR1 and FR2 are two different RF, to assume no potential impact for FR1 operation during FR2 UL gap. (Mediatek)
* UL gaps are only applicable to FR2 UL. There is no impact on FR1 UL due to FR2 UL gaps. (Nokia)
* This question has discussed in the last meeting and the agreement is “No interruption across FR. FR2 UL gap does not cause FR1 interruption.” (vivo)

**Q3:** For FR2 UL gap timing reference configuration, whether the SFN/subframe of a FR2 serving cell or a FR1 serving cell (e.g., PCell, PSCell) can be used as timing reference for FR2 UL gap?

* In our understanding, the SFN/subframe of a FR2 serving cell or a FR1 serving cell (e.g., PCell, PSCell) cannot be used as timing reference for FR2 UL gap. (Huawei)
* The timing reference for UL GP is the DL of the FR2 cell in which the FR2 UL gap is configured (Nokia)
* UL gap is always configured in static UL slot, and does not cause FR1 interruption. If synchronized FR1+FR2 inter-band CA is assumed, it is feasible to use the FR1 serving cell as timing reference for FR2 UL gap. If asynchronized FR1+FR2 inter-band CA is assumed, it is not feasible to use FR1 serving cell as timing reference for FR2 UL gap, and legacy mechanisms for timing reference configuration for FR2 measurement gaps can be re-used. (vivo)

**Q4:** Regarding the FR2 UL gap parameters *ugl* and *ugrp*, RAN4 is requested to provide the detailed values and time unit.

* Moderator/vivo: we have provided the 4 gap configurations the reply LS in the last meeting in R4-21xxxxx
* RAN4 agreed the time unit to be [ms] (Nokia)

**Q5:** In RAN2 discussion, it has been brought up that from signaling point of view it is possible that UE provides its preferred FR2 UL gap patterns. Please RAN4 indicates whether it is beneficial for proper network configurations.

* UE may indicate its preference of UL gap pattern to the network. However, it is the network configured UL gap pattern that is to be applied by the UE.(Nokia)
* The UL gap pattern is closely related to capability of proximity sensor which is quite various. UE reporting the preferred gap pattern based its own implementation can ensure the performance of sensor and avoid waste of NW resource. (vivo)

**Q6:** Regarding UE capability, most companies in RAN2 thought that UE supporting Rel-17 FR2 UL gap shall also support Rel-16 MPE reporting. RAN2 would like to understand if this is also the RAN4 understanding?

* The FR2 UL gap for Tx power management aims to improve UE performance under RF exposure regulatory limits, and MPE reporting is a prerequisite. Therefore, UE supporting Rel-17 FR2 UL gap for Tx power management shall also support Rel-16 MPE reporting. (Huawei)
* RAN4 prefer treating the UL gap as a new capability which is independent from R16 MPE reporting, and only reuse the R16 MPE reporting mechanism when gap is activated. If UE only supports the UL gap capability, UE needs not to trigger the R16 MPE reporting when gaps is not activated. RAN4 will further discuss whether UE can report both capabilities and what is the expected UE behavior accordingly.(vivo)

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

**Sub-topic 1-1: delta P-MPR reporting**

* + Option 1: delta P-MPR should be tested (Nokia)
  + Option 2: delta P-MPR should not be tested (vivo, OPPO)
  + Option 3: it is optional to report P-MPR (Ericsson, Sony)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Option 2. There is no additional benefit to report delta PMPR comparing to delta Peak EIRP requirements. And Delta PMPR has the problem of not recognizing UL GAP gain when PMPR absolute value is larger than 12dB. |

**Sub-topic 1-2: On Tx OFF**

* + Support measuring UE in-band Tx power during the gaps (Nokia, ZTE)
  + The maximum value for TX\_OFFduring gap FFS (Nokia)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Sub-topic 1-3: on time duration for peak EIRP measurement**

Proposal: When UL gap is activated or de-activated and non-zero P-MPR is applied, the peak EIRP measurement should be averaged across UL slots with PUSCH transmission over [4]s.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Ok with proposal. |

**Sub-topic 1-4: on related UE capability**

Discuss the related UE capability in

* Option 1: support of UL gaps for MPE is a UE capability. (Ericsson, Sony, vivo)
* Option 2: UL gap UE capability as per band reported and configured. (OPPO)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Option 1 and 2.  UL gap UE capability should be per band reported and configured because MPE issue is per band based, and for some bands there is MPE issue but others no MPE issue. |

**Sub-topic 1-5: on reply LS to RAN2 (R2-2111575)**

**Q1:** Is there any dependency between FR2 UL gap and the legacy per UE, FR1, FR2 measurement gap?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | No dependency. |

**Q2:** Are MR-DC/NR-DC deployment scenarios included in this WI (NR\_RF\_FR2\_req\_enh2)? If NR-DC is supported, should the FR2-FR2 band combination be considered in the FR2 UL gap design?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Yes. The UL gap is per band based can be applied to FR2-FR2 band combination. |

**Q2-1:** When FR2 UL gap is activated, does it apply to all the FR2 serving cell(s) inside or across the NR CG configured with FR2 bands?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | In our view, it is per band based configured and activated. For some cases, there is possibility that one UL GAP will apply to all the bands in the CG for example single chain architecture for FR2 band combination. However, per band based capability can be applied also in this case. |

**Q2-2:** Does UL gap pattern also apply to the case when both FR1 and FR2 are configured inside or across the NR CG, and whether or not the UL transmissions in FR1 serving cell(s) are impacted by the FR2 UL gap?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | In our view there is no impact between FR1 and FR2 in UL GAP. |

**Q3:** For FR2 UL gap timing reference configuration, whether the SFN/subframe of a FR2 serving cell or a FR1 serving cell (e.g., PCell, PSCell) can be used as timing reference for FR2 UL gap?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Q4:** Regarding the FR2 UL gap parameters *ugl* and *ugrp*, RAN4 is requested to provide the detailed values and time unit.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

**Q5:** In RAN2 discussion, it has been brought up that from signaling point of view it is possible that UE provides its preferred FR2 UL gap patterns. Please RAN4 indicates whether it is beneficial for proper network configurations.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | There is benefits from UE perspective to inform NW the preferred UL GAP pattern considering different UEs might use different antenna panels in implementation. And the dynamic scenarios that UE need to handle. |

**Q6:** Regarding UE capability, most companies in RAN2 thought that UE supporting Rel-17 FR2 UL gap shall also support Rel-16 MPE reporting. RAN2 would like to understand if this is also the RAN4 understanding?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | No, there is no dependency. Both PMPR reporting and UL GAP capability are optional. |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*For close-to-finalize WIs and maintenance work, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For ongoing WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2200255**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200255.zip)  Draft CR for UL gap for Tx power management RF aspect |  |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic #1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provides recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update*

*Note: The tdoc decisions shall be provided in Section 3 and this table is optional in case moderators would like to provide additional information.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

# Topic #2: UL Gap for BPS: RRM

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Title** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2200256**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200256.zip) | UL gaps for Tx power management RRM aspect and draft reply LS | Apple | **Proposal 1: All UL gap configurations are optional.**  **Proposal 2: UE reports the supported UL gap configurations through UE capability report.**  **Proposal 3: No impact on measurement gap configuration and requirement due to UL gap**  **Proposal 4: UE should be able to transmit RACH in UL the UL gap slots in FR2 cells when UL gap is activated. No specific test cases are needed to verify RACH transmission during UL gap.**  **Proposal 5: Enable dynamic activation and de-activation of UL gap via either MAC CE**  **Proposal 6: Follow the WF agreement to enable UE explicit indication to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap”** |
| [**R4-2200384**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200384.zip) | Network impact of UE FR2 UL Gap for UE Tx power enhancements | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | **Proposal 1: RAN4 will only define mandatory UL gap patterns.**  **Observation 1: PHR reporting includes P-MPR level and provides network with needed information to activate the UL gaps.**  **Proposal 2: UL gaps may optionally be activated and deactivated using MAC command after UL gap is configured by RRC Signaling.**  **Proposal 3: The UE indicates need for activating an UL Gap using the PHR. The PH and P-MPR values will indicate to the gNB whether the UE needs UL gaps or not.**  **Proposal 4: UE reports R16 MPE reporting when UL gap is supported.**  **Proposal 5: UE reports P-MPRgapoff and the related delta P-MPR reporting when UL gap is not activated.** |
| [**R4-2200427**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200427.zip) | UL gaps for self-calibration and monitoring | Qualcomm Incorporated | **Proposal 1**: When UGL is shorter than a slot length with respect to an activated UL BWP’s SCS on a serving cell where UL gap is configured and activated, the configured UGL and UGRP are adjusted. For ULGP#3, when an SCS of active BWP is 60kHz, UGL and UGRP are adjusted to Option-A in Table 1.  **Table 1. UL gap patterns for different numerologies**   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | ULGP | SCS of active BWP | UGL | | UGRP | | UGL/UGRP | | ms | #slots | ms | #slots | | ULGP #0 | 120kHz | 1 | 8 | 20 | 160 | 5% | | 60kHz | 1 | 4 | 20 | 80 | 5% | | ULGP #1 | 120kHz | 1 | 8 | 40 | 320 | 2.5% | | 60kHz | 1 | 4 | 40 | 160 | 2.5% | | ULGP #2 | 120kHz | 0.5 | 4 | 160 | 1280 | ~0.31% | | 60kHz | 0.5 | 2 | 160 | 640 | ~0.31% | | ULGP #3 | 120kHz | 0.125 | 1 | 5 | 40 | 2.5% | | Option-A: 60kHz | 0.25 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 5% | | Option-B: 60kHz | 0.25 | 1 | 10 | 40 | 2.5% |   **Proposal 2-1**: UL gap slots are uniformly mapped to UL slots within ULGP based on RRC configured TDD-UL/DL-Config (tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon and tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationDedicated) and activated UL gap pattern.  **Proposal 2-2**: When there are multiple consecutive UL slots in one TDD DL-UL period, a selection of one slot between consecutive UL slots is made by a slot level offset parameter. The detailed signal design is up to RAN2.  **UL Resource Availability in FR2 UL Gap**  **Proposal 3-A**: When TA becomes invalid, e.g. due to timeAlignmentTimer expires, and if PRACH resources overlap with UL gap slots and/or relevant DL/UL channels, e.g. Msg2/4 or MsgA/B, overlap with UL gap and/or DL slots blanked due to UL gap, the configured/activated UL gap gets temporarily deactivated until UE obtains a valid TA.   * Additionally, all subsequent UL transmissions related to TA update should be exceptionally allowed until UE obtains a valid TA and apply the obtained TA to UL transmission. * The above principle is also applied when UE PRACH transmission is triggered by PDCCH from the serving cell.   **Proposal 3-B**: For radio link and beam failure recovery, UL transmissions during UL gaps are exceptionally allowed, i.e.   * UE is allowed to transmit PRACH or PUSCH/PUCCH on UL slots even within UL gap in response to RLF or BFD   + Additionally, all subsequent UL transmissions related to Link or Beam Recovery should be exceptionally allowed   + UL gap should be temporarily deactivated until UE recovers the link   **Proposal 3-C**: For CG-PUSCH (type1 and type2), UL transmissions during UL gaps are exceptionally allowed, i.e.   * UE is allowed to transmit CG-PUSCH on UL slots even within UL gap, i.e. whether to skip or transmit PUSCH on configured and activated CG-PUSCH should be left to UE implementation which is the same as the current RAN1/2 spec.   **Impacts on RRM Requirements**  **Proposal 4**: RRC based UL gap is (de-)activated RRC\_processing delay plus a margin (e.g. 6ms for BWP) after the RRC (de-)configuration message reception.  **Proposal 5**: RAN4 to add a requirement applicability rule, to the following legacy requirements, that, e.g. the requirements are applicable when UL gaps, if configured and activated, do not overlap with UL feedback channels:   * Interruption requirements which rely on ACK/NACK on UL * Latency requirements in which UL is supposed to transmit UL   **Proposal 6**: RAN4 to agree the following observations:   * No impact on SMTC and measurement gap configurations/activations due to configured and activated UL gap. * No impact on UL gap requirements due to configured and activated measurement gap(s). |
| [**R4-2200590**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200590.zip) | Discussion on RRM impact of UL gap for Tx power management | ZTE Corporation | **Proposal 1: The RRC signaling activation/deactivation and MAC CE activation/deactivation should not coexist. Since the former has been approved, we suggest remove the latter.**  **Proposal 2: In order to guarantee the BPS operation and avoid the interference with other UEs, UE is not expected to transmit PRACH during UL gaps. So, all types of UL transmission is not expected during the UL gaps.**  **Proposal 3: The configuration of UL gaps should not affect the configuration of measurement gap and the corresponding requirements.**  **Proposal 4: A general understanding is that all the serving cells in FR2 are impacted by UL gaps. If it is verified that any additional UE capability is needed, we are fine for the introduction of new UE capability.** |
| [**R4-2200605**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200605.zip) | Discussion on RRM impacts of UL gaps for self-calibration and monitoring | vivo | **Proposal 1 Capture UL gaps for self-calibration and monitoring in TS 38.101-2.**  **Proposal 2 Interruption requirements are not impacted by UL gaps. In case UL gaps collides with interrupted UL slots according to RRM requirements, relaxed RF requirements can be applied.**  **Proposal 3 UE supporting UL gaps should at least support per-FR measurement gaps.**  **Proposal 4 RAN4 further discuss whether delay and interruption requirements for the activation or deactivation of UL gaps are needed.**  **Proposal 5 Prefer not to specify MAC CE based activation or de-activation of UL gaps unless the necessity can be justified in RF rooms.**  **Observation 1 For legacy measurement gap configuration, the signalling for measurement gap timing reference indication can already support the case of per-FR gap for either FR1-FR2 NR-DC or FR1+FR2 inter-band CA.**  For the reply LS, we propose answers to Q1 and Q3 as  *Q1-answer:*  *From network configuration perspective, RAN4 sees no dependency between UL gaps and measurement gaps. If interrupted slots due to measurement gaps collide with UL gaps, RRM requirements are not impacted, and relaxed RF requirements can be applied.*  *From UE capability perspective, UE supporting UL gaps should at least support per-FR measurement gaps.*  *Q3-answer:*  *UL gap is always configured in static UL slot, and does not cause FR1 interruption. If synchronized FR1+FR2 inter-band CA is assumed, it is feasible to use the FR1 serving cell as timing reference for FR2 UL gap. If asynchronized FR1+FR2 inter-band CA is assumed, it is not feasible to use FR1 serving cell as timing reference for FR2 UL gap, and legacy mechanisms for timing reference configuration for FR2 measurement gaps can be re-used.* |
| [**R4-2201377**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2201377.zip) | Discussion on UL gaps for self calibration and monitoring | Ericsson | **Proposal 1: RAN4 not to consider MAC CE based activation and deactivation of the UL gaps.**  **Proposal 2: UE to prioritize some critical procedures and skip the UL gap when UL gap is overlapped with these critical procedures.**  **Proposal 3: Following procedures to be prioritized over UL gaps.**   * **Cell change procedure e.g., DAPS Handover, Conditional handover, etc.** * **SCell activation, SCell dormancy (between dormant and non-dormant) transition** * **Transmission of the positioning measurement report for public safety applications.** * **RACH for following cases**   + **Beam failure recovery**   + **upon Time alignment Timer (TAT) expiry**   + **PDCCH order based RACH** |

## Open issues summary

*Before e-Meeting, moderators shall summarize list of open issues, candidate options and possible WF (if applicable) based on companies’ contributions.*

### Sub-topic 2-1: Optionality of Gap configurations

* Option 1: All UL gap configurations are optional, and UE reports the supported UL gap configurations through UE capability report. (Apple)
* Option 2: All UL gap configurations are mandatory (Nokia)

### Sub-topic 2-2: On gap configuration #3 to support SCS=60kHz

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ULGP #3 | 120kHz | 0.125 | 1 | 5 | 40 | 2.5% |
| Option-A: 60kHz | 0.25 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 5% |
| Option-B: 60kHz | 0.25 | 1 | 10 | 40 | 2.5% |

(Qualcomm)

Option 1: When UGL is shorter than a slot length with respect to an activated UL BWP’s SCS on a serving cell where UL gap is configured and activated, the configured UGL and UGRP are adjusted. For ULGP#3, when an SCS of active BWP is 60kHz, UGL and UGRP are adjusted to Option-A in Table 1

### Sub-topic 2-3: MAC-CE based activation and deactivation

* Option 1: Enable dynamic activation and de-activation of UL gap via either MAC CE (Apple, Nokia)
* Option 2: Do not introduce MAC-CE based activation/deactivation (ZTE, vivo, Ericsson)

### Sub-topic 2-4: Procedures to be prioritized over UL gap

* all types of UL transmission is not expected during the UL gaps (ZTE)
* RACH
  + In general, all RACH should be prioritized (Apple)
  + When TA becomes invalid, e.g. due to timeAlignmentTimer expires, and if PRACH resources overlap with UL gap slots and/or relevant DL/UL channels, e.g. Msg2/4 or MsgA/B, overlap with UL gap and/or DL slots blanked due to UL gap, the configured/activated UL gap gets temporarily deactivated until UE obtains a valid TA. (Qualcomm)
  + Additionally, all subsequent UL transmissions related to TA update should be exceptionally allowed until UE obtains a valid TA and apply the obtained TA to UL transmission.
  + The above principle is also applied when UE PRACH transmission is triggered by PDCCH from the serving cell.
  + RACH for following cases (Ericsson)
    - Beam failure recovery
    - upon Time alignment Timer (TAT) expiry
    - PDCCH order based RACH
* For radio link and beam failure recovery, UL transmissions during UL gaps are exceptionally allowed (Qualcomm)
  + UE is allowed to transmit PRACH or PUSCH/PUCCH on UL slots even within UL gap in response to RLF or BFD
    - Additionally, all subsequent UL transmissions related to Link or Beam Recovery should be exceptionally allowed
    - UL gap should be temporarily deactivated until UE recovers the link
* For CG-PUSCH (type1 and type2), UL transmissions during UL gaps are exceptionally allowed (Qualcomm)
  + UE is allowed to transmit CG-PUSCH on UL slots even within UL gap, i.e. whether to skip or transmit PUSCH on configured and activated CG-PUSCH should be left to UE implementation which is the same as the current RAN1/2 spec.
* Cell change procedure e.g., DAPS Handover, Conditional handover, etc. (Ericsson)
* SCell activation, SCell dormancy (between dormant and non-dormant) transition (Ericsson)
* Transmission of the positioning measurement report for public safety applications. (Ericsson)

### Sub-topic 2-5: UE indication to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap”

* Follow the WF agreement to enable UE explicit indication to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap” (Apple)
* The UE indicates need for activating an UL Gap using the PHR. The PH and P-MPR values will indicate to the gNB whether the UE needs UL gaps or not. (Nokia)

### Sub-topic 2-6: RRM requirements to be introduced

* Activation/deactivation delay requirements:
  + RAN4 further discuss whether delay and interruption requirements for the activation or deactivation of UL gaps are needed. (vivo)
  + RRC based UL gap is (de-)activated RRC\_processing delay plus a margin (e.g. 6ms for BWP) after the RRC (de-)configuration message reception (Qualcomm)
* RAN4 to add a requirement applicability rule, to the following legacy requirements, that, e.g. the requirements are applicable when UL gaps, if configured and activated, do not overlap with UL feedback channels (Qualcomm)
  + Interruption requirements which rely on ACK/NACK on UL
  + Latency requirements in which UL is supposed to transmit UL
* Interruption requirements are not impacted by UL gaps. In case UL gaps collides with interrupted UL slots according to RRM requirements, relaxed RF requirements can be applied. (vivo)

### Sub-topic 2-7: UL Gap Mapping to Physical UL Slots

* Option 1: (Qualcomm)
  + UL gap slots are uniformly mapped to UL slots within ULGP based on RRC configured TDD-UL/DL-Config (tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon and tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationDedicated) and activated UL gap pattern.
  + When there are multiple consecutive UL slots in one TDD DL-UL period, a selection of one slot between consecutive UL slots is made by a slot level offset parameter. The detailed signal design is up to RAN2.

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

**Sub topic 2-1: Sub-topic 2-1: Optionality of Gap configurations**

* Option 1: All UL gap configurations are optional, and UE reports the supported UL gap configurations through UE capability report. (Apple)
* Option 2: All UL gap configurations are mandatory (Nokia)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Option 1. |

### **Sub-topic 2-2: On gap configuration #3 to support SCS=60kHz**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ULGP #3 | 120kHz | 0.125 | 1 | 5 | 40 | 2.5% |
| Option-A: 60kHz | 0.25 | 1 | 5 | 20 | 5% |
| Option-B: 60kHz | 0.25 | 1 | 10 | 40 | 2.5% |

(Qualcomm)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

### **Sub-topic 2-3: MAC-CE based activation and deactivation**

* Option 1: Enable dynamic activation and de-activation of UL gap via either MAC CE (Apple, Nokia)
* Option 2: Do not introduce MAC-CE based activation/deactivation (ZTE, vivo, Ericsson)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Option 1. |

* **Sub-topic 2-4: Procedures to be prioritized over UL gap**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

* **Sub-topic 2-5: UE indication to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap”**
* Follow the WF agreement R4-2119962 to enable UE explicit indication to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap” (Apple)
* The UE indicates need for activating an UL Gap using the PHR. The PH and P-MPR values will indicate to the gNB whether the UE needs UL gaps or not. (Nokia)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Ok with enable UE explicit indication to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap” |

* **Sub-topic 2-6: RRM requirements to be introduced**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

* **Sub-topic 2-7: UL Gap Mapping to Physical UL Slots**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2200257**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200257.zip)  Draft CR for UL gap for Tx power management RRM aspect |  |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Topic #3: UL Gap for Coherent UL MIMO

*Main technical topic overview. The structure can be done based on sub-agenda basis.*

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Title** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2200254**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2200254.zip) | UL gaps for coherent UL MIMO | Apple | **Proposal 1: Define the RF requirement for UL coherent MIMO as 40-degree difference of relative phase error and 4dB difference of relative power error when side condition happens, and UL gap for coherent MIMO is triggered.**  **Proposal 2: Further study the gap duration for UL coherent MIMO calibration.**  **Proposal 3: UL gap for UL coherent MIMO transmission is right before the scheduled PUSCH after side condition happens.**  **Proposal 4: Enable implicit triggering of the UL gap for UL coherent MIMO, by defining K2\_min\_cal which include the PUSCH preparation time plus the calibration time.**  **Proposal 5: Deprioritize UL coherent MIMO calibration for R17 feMIMO mTPR PUSCH enhancement.** |
| [**R4-2201444**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2201444.zip) | Discussion on UL coherent MIMO | Huawei,HiSilicon | ***Observation 1:* The limitation configurations for UE to maintain coherent UL MIMO in TS 38.101-2 are not avoidable in a real network, which makes coherent UL MIMO only paperwork.**  ***Propose 1*: Requirements for coherent UL MIMO also need to be applicable to the side condition including DRX on, BWP switching, SRS switching, DL measurement gap, etc.**  ***Propose 2*: UL gaps for coherent UL MIMO are configured/ deconfigured by the network using RRC configuration.**  ***Propose 3*: The UL gaps can be activated when configured (using RRC signalling), and The UL gaps are deactivated when deconfigured (using RRC signalling).**  ***Propose 4*: The UL gaps can be activated and deactivated using MAC command or DCI after UL gap is configured by RRC Signaling.**  ***Propose 5*: With the agreement that UE can explicitly indicate to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap”, RAN4 will leave the detailed signaling design to RAN2.**  ***Propose 6*: DMRS+Data symbols are used for calculation.**  ***Propose 7*: The relative phase and power errors for each slot should be an average over a slot.** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Open issues summary

### Issue 3-1: side conditions of RF requirements

* Define the RF requirement for UL coherent MIMO as 40-degree difference of relative phase error and 4dB difference of relative power error when side condition happens, and UL gap for coherent MIMO is triggered. (Apple)
* Requirements for coherent UL MIMO also need to be applicable to the side condition including DRX on, BWP switching, SRS switching, DL measurement gap, etc. (Huawei)

### Issue 3-2: gap configure/deconfigure and activation/deactivation

* UL gaps for coherent UL MIMO are configured/ deconfigured by the network using RRC configuration. (Huawei)
* The UL gaps can be activated when configured (using RRC signalling), and The UL gaps are deactivated when deconfigured (using RRC signalling). (Huawei)
* With the agreement that UE can explicitly indicate to NW on “need for UL gap” and “no need for UL gap”, RAN4 will leave the detailed signaling design to RAN2. (Huawei)
* Enable implicit triggering of the UL gap for UL coherent MIMO, by defining K2\_min\_cal which include the PUSCH preparation time plus the calibration time. (Apple)

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

* Issue 3-1: side conditions of RF requirements

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | Ok with:  Requirements for coherent UL MIMO also need to be applicable to the side condition including DRX on, BWP switching, SRS switching, DL measurement gap, etc |

* Issue 3-2: gap configure/deconfigure and activation/deactivation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2201442**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_101-bis-e/Docs/R4-2201442.zip)  Draft CR to 38.101-2 on requirements for coherent UL MIMO |  |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary** |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:*  *Candidate options:*  *Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation** |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

## Discussion on 2nd round (if applicable)

*Moderator can provide summary of 2nd round here. Note that recommended decisions on tdocs should be provided in the section titled ”Recommendations for Tdocs”.*

# Recommendations for Tdocs

## 1st round

**New tdocs**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Title** | **Source** | **Comments** |
| WF on … | YYY |  |
| LS on … | ZZZ | To: RAN\_X; Cc: RAN\_Y |
|  |  |  |

**Existing tdocs**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics incl. existing and new tdocs.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. For new LS documents, please include information on To/Cc WGs in the comments column
4. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

## 2nd round

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tdoc number** | **Title** | **Source** | **Recommendation** | **Comments** |
| R4-210xxxx | CR on … | XXX | Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued |  |
| R4-210xxxx | WF on … | YYY | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
| R4-210xxxx | LS on … | ZZZ | Agreeable, Revised, Noted |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. Please include the summary of recommendations for all tdocs across all sub-topics.
2. For the Recommendation column please include one of the following:
   1. CRs/TPs: Agreeable, Revised, Merged, Postponed, Not Pursued
   2. Other documents: Agreeable, Revised, Noted
3. Do not include hyper-links in the documents

# Annex

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email address** |
|  |  |  |

Note:

1. Please add your contact information in above table once you make comments on this email thread.
2. If multiple delegates from the same company make comments on single email thread, please add you name as suffix after company name when make comments i.e. Company A (XX, XX)