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# 1 Overall description

RAN3 has discussed the NGAP impact to support NTN MBS in Rel-19. More specifically, how the AMF indicate the gNB when intended service area is smaller than the coverage of the satellite footprint. A NTN cell may support multiple TAIs. RAN3 agreed that the intended small service area provided to the gNB can be Mapped Cell ID(s), or TAI(s), or geographical service area information to be determined by RAN2.

Considering this will affect SA2 and CT4, we would like to ask SA2 and CT4 to provide feedback, if any.

# 2 Actions

**To <destination\_group(s)\_to>**

**ACTION:** [Your Group] asks [RANx, SAx, CTx] to …

Guidance – Provide clear information on what the destination group(s) must do, and by when

# 3 Dates of next TSG <TSG> WG <WG> meetings

<meeting\_identity> <start\_date> - <end\_date> <town>, <country>

<meeting\_identity> <start\_date> - <end\_date> <town>, <country>