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# Introduction

This is the summary document for the following come back:

CB: # R18XR1\_PDUSet

- Discuss the open issues above

- Provide TPs if agreeable

(moderator - Nok)

Please provide your comments by 6AM, Wednesday (Nov 15th) Chicago time, in order to discuss it in Wed morning.

# For the Chairman’s Notes

1. **UP design**
2. **TP**

# Discussion first round

## PDU Set handling Support indicator

RAN3 agreed:

**Turn the following WA into agreement on the capability indication of PDU set handling: Taking Opt1 (Explicit PDU Set handling Support indicator) for XR in R18.**

Moderator propose to check the related NGAP TP, e.g. R3-237438 (Please only focus on the new text/IE on PDU Set QoS Support Indicator)

Contribution (R3-237359) proposes the Support Indicator is also needed in Xn HANDOVER REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGE mssage.

Contribution (R3-237261) proposes the Support Indicator is also needed in F1AP-UE CONTEXT SETUP/MODIFICATION RESPONSE messages, and E1 E1AP-BEARER CONTEXT SETUP/MODIFICATION RESPONSE messages.

Contribution (R3-237331) proposes the Support Indicator is also needed in Xn HANDOVER REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGE mssage, and E1AP.

**Q1: Please share your view on:**

* Any comments on NGAP TP R3-237438 (Please only focus on PDU Set QoS Support Indicator related text).
* Any view to add the PDU Set handling Support indicator in XnAP HANDOVER REQUEST ACKOWLEDGE message, F1AP-UE CONTEXT SETUP/MODIFICATION RESPONSE messages, and E1 E1AP-BEARER CONTEXT SETUP/MODIFICATION RESPONSE messages.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | Agree to use R3-237438 as a starting point.  Agree to add the support indicator in XnAP, F1AP and E1AP. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

## UP design

**Down-select between opt 1 and opt 3**

Option1: define PSI and EoDB into the existing Frames (e.g frame with PDU Type =0) of NG-U/F1-U: 9 companies

Option3: Define a new GTP-U extension PDU Set container for PSI and EoDB: 3 companies

There is a question on how an XR-incapable target NG-RAN node ignore the new XR field during the data forwarding of Xn-HO.

**Q2: Please share your view on adopt Option 1 or Option 3, and how to address the above issue:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | As we commented online, we prefer Option 1, but can also accept Option 3 if majority want.  For the issue, it can be avoided. Source NG-RAN node can know whether target NG-RAN node support it during the Xn HO preparation procedure. Then source NG-RAN node does not include the new XR field during the data forwarding. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

## N6 Jitter

There is UL Jitter reported from the UE, and the DL Jitter received from 5GC. For UL jitter, UE report it via *UEAssistanceInformation-v1800*. Current *CU to DU RRC Information* IE includes the *UEAssistanceInformation* IE. The UL Jitter can be different to DL Jitter.

The DL Jitter is received from 5GC, as defined in 23.501:

At reception of measured N6 Traffic Parameter(s) from the UPF in the N4 Session Level Report, the SMF includes the N6 jitter range together with the associated DL periodicity and the UL periodicity if not provided by the AF in the TSCAI and forwards it to the NG-RAN in an NGAP message, see clause 5.27.2.

NOTE 2: In order to prevent frequent updates from the UPF, the UPF sends the N6 Jitter Measurement Report periodically or only when the N6 jitter is larger than a threshold.

The DL periodicity associated N6 jitter indicates the positive or negative deviation of the arrival time of first packet of a Data Burst compared to the ideal Data Burst start time which is be determined based on the DL periodicity.

Contribution (R3-237392) proposes to transfer the UL Traffic Information to UP via E1AP.

**Q3: Please share your view on:**

* Whether need any enhancement to support UL Jitter in F1AP, and E1AP
* How to define the N6 Jitter, following options:
* **Opt1: Define N6 jitter with the range of [-7, +7] ms and the granularity of 0.5 ms**
* **Opt2: Define N6 jitter with reference to TS29.244**
* **Opt3: ENUMERATED (ms1, ms2, ms3,...)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | For UL Jitter, No new IE is needed in F1AP, since current *CU to DU RRC Information* IE also contains the *UEAssistanceInformation* IE.  For DL Jitter, prefer Opt 2 for following reasons: 1) DL Jitter can be different to UL Jitter, 2) 23.501 states it should be a singed value in order to provide a “positive or negative deviation” |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

## Non-homegeneous deployment

Moderator believes Contribution (R3-237391) proposes a good summary for Stage-2 TP. Moderator propose to use this contribution as a starting point, and check whether there is anything missing.

**Q4: Please share your view on Stage-2 TP (R3-237391), e.g. anything missing, or any error, etc:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | Agree with **Stage-2 TP (R3-237391)** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

## Separate UL/DL PDU Set QoS parameters

Contribution (R3-237332, R3-237390, R3-237540) proposes to add a direction field to indicate whether the PDU Set QoS parameter is for UL, or DL, or both UL and DL.

9.3.1.x PDU Set QoS Parameters

This IE defines the PDU Set QoS Parameters to be applied to a QoS flow.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IE/Group Name** | **Presence** | **Range** | **IE type and reference** | **Semantics description** |
| PDU Set Packet Delay Budget | O |  | Extended Packet Delay Budget 9.3.1.135 | PDU Set Delay Budget as specified in TS 23.501 [9]. |
| PDU Set Error Rate | O |  | Packet Error Rate  9.3.1.81 | PDU Set Error Rate as specified in TS 23.501 [9]. |
| PDU Set Integrated Handling Information | O |  | ENUMERATED (true, false, …) | PDU Set Integrated Handling Information as specified in TS 23.501 [9]. |
| Direction information | O |  | ENUMERATED (uplink, downlink, both-uplink-and-downlink, …) | Direction Information for the PDU Set QoS Parameters. |

Moderator consider adding the *Direction information* can make this IE more future-proof.

**Q5: Please share your view on adding *Direction Information* IE in NGAP/XnAP/F1AP/E1AP *PDU Set QoS Parameters* IE.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | Agree to add *Direction Information* IE in NGAP/XnAP/F1AP/E1AP *PDU Set QoS Parameters* IE.  Sorry to the co-signers, I forgot to submit the E1AP TP. I uploaded the E1AP TP in the draft folder. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

## QoS Flow established with PDU Set QoS or normal QoS parameters

Contribution (R3-237359, R3-237624, R3-237678, R3-237392) proposes: the NG-RAN node needs to provide an indication of whether the PDU Set QoS handling is supported. The reason is the QoS flow may be established with PDU Set QoS parameters, or with normal QoS parameters (e.g. when an XR-capable NG-RAN node does not have enough resource to support the PDU Set QoS parameters)

**Q6: Please share your view whether an XR-capable NG-RAN node need to inform SMF whether a QoS Flow is established with PDU Set QoS parameters or with normal QoS parameters.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | It is beneficial for SMF to know whether a QoS Flow is established with PDU Set QoS parameters, or with normal QoS parameters. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

## End of Data Burst

Contribution (R3-237359) provides following analysis:

First, in TS 26.522, End of Data Burst (EDB) field is defined as 3 bits length to provide guidelines to UPF for identifying the end of a Data Burst, as described below.

|  |
| --- |
| - **End of Data Burst [EDB] (3 bits):** The EDB field is 3 bits in length and indicates the end of a Data Burst. The 3 bits encode the End of Data Burst indication as per the encoding and guidelines provided in Clause 4.4.2.6.1. |

However, the field provided by UPF to NG-RAN could be much simpler since the end of the Data Burst is already identified by the UPF, and one bit would be enough to indicate the end to NG-RAN. So to make things simpler and to save the extension header overhead, we suggest RAN3 to define EDB as 1 bit length in the GTP-U headers.

***Proposal 10*: RAN3 to use 1 bit to indicate the End of Data Burst in TS 38.415 and TS 38.425.**

Moderator believes that it may be better to align with 26.522. Otherwise, it can cause issue in case the full 3-bit is used in future release.

**Q7: Please share your view on use 3-bit (align with TS26.522), or 1-bit for End of Data Burst.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | Prefer 3-bit to align with TS 26.522 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

## New DRX Cycle in F1AP

Contribution (R3-237633) provides new DRX cycle based on rational numbers which aligns with RAN2, needs to be contained in F1 interface.

**Q8: Please share your view on introduce new DRX cycle based on rational numbers in F1AP.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
| Nokia | Agree. A new F1AP IE may be needed. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

## Other issues

Please add other issues if missing. Moderator suggest only list the urgent issues that must be solved in this meeting in order to complete the WI. Other enhancements can be further proposed in Feb meeting. Thank you very much for your understanding!

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Any issues need to be resolved in this meeting** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**
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