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# 1 Overall description

In MR-DC case, and in signalling based MDT, the NG-RAN node may receive two MDT configurations from AMF via NGAP which are coming from OAM originally, one for MN and one for SN. They are named as *MDT-Configuration NR* IE and *MDT-Configuration E-UTRA* IE in TS 38.413. Depending on whether the secondary cell is E-UTRA or NR, RAN3 has assumed that the MN is able to forward the appropriate second MDT configuration to the SN if received while the UE is configured with MR-DC.

However, in NR-DC case, only one *MDT configuration-NR* is signalled to the MN. There is ambiguity in the NGAP specification on whether the gNB is allowed to initiate the MDT task towards the SN for the UE.

RAN3 discussed whether it is beneficial for the OAM to indicate whether an MDT configuration should be triggered for the MN node only or for the SN node only or for both. During this discussion, some companies favoured such indication, claiming that this would allow for better granularity of MDT data collection (for the PCell layer, for the PSCell layer, or for both).

RAN3 would like to ask SA5 feedback on whether such indication from OAM is considered beneficial.

# 2 Actions

**To** SA5

**ACTION:** RAN3 kindly asks SA5 to feedback on above issue.

# 3 Dates of next RAN3 meetings

Updated meeting schedule can be found at: <https://portal.3gpp.org/?tbid=373&SubTB=381#/>
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