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# 1 Introduction

This paper summarizes the following email discussion:

**CB: # NRIIOT2\_PDC**

**- The Uu time synchronization error budget is introduced over F1 interfaces?**

**- Introduce a new Measurement and report procedure to support TA-based and/or RTT-based PDC?**

**- The UE-associated signalling is needed over F1AP to provide gNB Rx-Tx time difference measurement (RTT-based PDC) and/or TA measurement (TA-based PDC) from gNB-DU to gNB-C?**

**- Whether the gNB-CU needs to provide the TRS/PRS and SRS configuration information to gNB-DU in RTT measurement**

**- During the handover, what kinds of time synchronization assistance information should the source gNB notify the target gNB, e.g., Uncertainty, Time Information Type, TSN distribution, Periodicity…?**

**- Capture agreements and provide TPs if agreeable**

(Nok - moderator)

Summary of offline disc [R3-222453](Inbox\R3-222453.zip)

# 2 For the Chair’s Notes

TBD

# 3 Discussion (Round 1)

Please provide your Round 1 views (5 questions) by **11:00 UTC Wednesday February 23rd**, so that comments may be taken into account during the online discussion later that day.

## 3.1 Propagation Delay Compensation

RAN3 received an LS from RAN2 in [1], listing their agreements on Propagation Delay Compensation (PDC). In this 1st round of discussion, it is proposed to focus on the following RAN3 aspects:

a) Signalling framework over F1AP for RTT-based PDC and TA-based PDC

b) Whether PD pre-compensation is performed by the gNB-CU or by the gNB-DU

Also, it is proposed to first focus on unicast delivery of RTI without any ongoing NR positioning (e.g. E-CID positioning using “NR TADV” has not been initiated by the LMF). Potential specification impacts of broadcast delivery of pre-compensated RTI and of concurrent NR positioning procedures can be handled in 2nd round discussion.

Overview of related papers:

Company views on the high level PDC signalling framework are summarized in Table 1 below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Company* | *RTT-based PDC at gNB/UE* | *TA-based PDC at gNB* |
| Nokia [2] | New UE-associated Class 1 request (CU-initiated)  New UE-associated Class 2 report (DU-initiated) | Same as RTT-based PDC |
| ZTE [4][5] | New IE in UE Context Setup/Modification  New UE-associated Class 2 report (DU-initiated) | ? |
| Huawei [7][8] | New UE-associated Class 1 request (CU-initiated)  New UE-associated Class 2 report (DU-initiated) | New IE in RTI Reporting Control / RTI Report |
| Ericsson [10] | ? | New UE-associated Class 1 request (CU-initiated) |
| CATT [11] | New UE-associated Class 1 request (CU-initiated)  New UE-associated Class 2 report (DU-initiated) | Same as RTT-based PDC |

Table 1: Company views on PDC signalling framework

Company views on which node performs PD pre-compensation are summarized in Table 2 below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Company* | *RTT-based PDC at gNB/UE* | *TA-based PDC at gNB* |
| Nokia [2] | CU performs PD pre-compensation | CU performs PD pre-compensation |
| ZTE [4][5] | CU performs PD pre-compensation | ? |
| Docomo [6] | CU performs PD pre-compensation | CU performs PD pre-compensation (unicast)  DU performs PD pre-compensation (broadcast/SIB9) |
| Huawei [7][8] | CU performs PD pre-compensation | DU performs PD pre-compensation |
| Ericsson [10] | ? | CU performs PD pre-compensation |
| CATT [11] | CU performs PD pre-compensation | DU performs PD pre-compensation (slight preference) |

Table 2: Company views on node that performs PD pre-compensation

Moderator’s observations for RTT-based PDC:

- 5 of 5 companies propose that CU performs PD pre-compensation.

- 4 of 4 companies propose to introduce a new UE-associated Class 2 procedure to enable DU to report gNB Rx-Tx time difference to CU.

- 3 of 4 companies propose to also introduce a new UE-associated Class 1 procedure to enable CU to request (either “on-demand” or “periodic”) gNB Rx-Tx time difference from DU. The remaining 1 company proposes to reuse the UE Context Setup/Modification procedure for the same purpose.

Based on the above observations, it seems there is (near-)consensus on the following:

**Proposal #1:** For RTT-based PDC, PD pre-compensation is performed by CU.

**Proposal #2:** To support RTT-based PDC, introduce a new UE-associated class 1 procedure (CU-initiated) and a new UE-associated class 2 procedure (DU-initiated) to enable reporting of gNB Rx-Tx time difference from DU to CU.

**Question 1: Can Proposals #1 & #2 be agreed for RTT-based PDC?**

NOTE: If proposal 2 is agreeable, then details of the new procedures can be discussed in the 2nd round.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary:   * TBD | |

Moderator’s observations for TA-based PDC:

- 3 of 5 companies propose that CU performs PD pre-compensation, while the remaining 2 companies propose that DU performs PD pre-compensation.

- 3 of 4 companies propose to introduce a new UE-associated Class 1 procedure to enable CU to request (either “on-demand” or “periodic”) TA-based PDC information from DU. The remaining 1 company proposes to enhance the existing non-UE associated Reference Time Information Reporting Control and Reference Time Information Report procedures to carry UE-specific information.

- 2 companies additionally indicate that common procedures can be used for both TA-based and RTT-based PDC.

Based on the above observations, companies are split whether PD pre-compensation is performed by CU or DU, i.e. whether DU reports NR Timing Advance or compensated RTI. Regardless of this, all but one company proposes to introduce new UE-associated procedures for TA-based PDC, while the one company that proposes to reuse non-UE associated procedures indicated at last RAN3 meeting that new UE-associated F1AP procedure is acceptable (see [13] question #6).

Therefore, the following is proposed:

**Proposal #3:** To support TA-based PDC, introduce a new UE-associated class 1 procedure (CU-initiated) and a new UE-associated class 2 procedure (DU-initiated) to enable reporting of “TA-based PDC information” (details FFS).

**Issue-1:** Further discuss whether the “TA-based PDC information” is:

a) NR Timing Advance (meaning PD pre-compensation is performed by CU) or

b) compensated RTI (meaning PD pre-compensation is performed by DU).

**Question 2: Can Proposal #3 be agreed for TA-based PDC? If so, can TA-based PDC and RTT-based PDC use common procedures (i.e. the new procedures of Proposal #2 and #3 can be the same)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary:   * TBD | |

**Question 3: For TA-based PDC, what does the gNB-DU report to the gNB-CU: (a) NR Timing Advance or (b) compensated RTI?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary:   * TBD | |

## 3.2 Uu time synchronisation error budget over F1

Overview of related papers:

- 2 companies (ZTE in [4][5] and CATT in [12]) propose to introduce a “cell level” Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget over F1AP in the REFERENCE TIME INFORMATION REPORTING CONTROL message. The “cell level” value is e.g. the most stringent value among the UE-specific values provided over NGAP from the CN.

- 1 company (Nokia in [2]) believes that a “cell level” Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget is useful for the DU to derive SIB9 periodicity for broadcast-based RTI delivery due to UE clock drift. However, it may be sufficient in Rel-17 to assume that DU is configured via OAM with an appropriate SIB9 periodicity value.

- 1 company (Huawei in [8]) believes that Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget is only useful for PDC decision by the CU, and therefore is not needed by the DU.

Moderator’s Summary:

Companies are split whether DU needs to know the Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget. Among companies who do see the need, there are differing views on why (e.g. accuracy of unicast RTI delivery or periodicity of broadcast RTI delivery).

**Issue-2:** Further discuss whether:

a) CU provides a “cell level” Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget (e.g. the most stringent value among the UE-specific values provided over NGAP from the CN) in the REFERENCE TIME INFORMATION REPORTING CONTROL message; or

b) No need to signal any Uu Synchronization Error Budget over F1 in Release 17.

**Question 4: Should Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget (cell level, e.g.** **most stringent value among the UE-specific values provided over NGAP from the CN) be included in the REFERENCE TIME INFORMATION REPORTING CONTROL message?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary:   * TBD | |

## 3.3 Time synchronization: XnAP open issues

The following are the relevant agreements / open issues already captured in the Chair’s minutes:

**Introduce the Time Synchronisation Assistance Information IE as an optional UE-level parameter in**

**- XnAP (HANDOVER REQUEST and RETRIEVE UE CONTEXT RESPONSE)**

**It is FFS on whether assistance information (e.g., UE TSN timing reference, referenceTimeInfo delivery periodicity, timestamp) should be delivered during HO.**

Overview of RAN3 papers:

- Nokia [3]: The *Time Synchronization Assistance Information* IE already agreed to be exchanged over XnAP is enough for the target gNB to determine the delivery configuration of RTI to the UE in the new cell. Therefore, no additional time synchronisation assistance information is needed over XnAP in Release 17.

- Huawei [7]: The RTI configuration in the source gNB can be used by the target gNB to determine the suitable time sync delivery mode and delivery period after the handover completion. At least the RTI periodicity is needed.

- Ericsson [9]: It is beneficial for the target gNB to know the UE’s TSN time reference information used in the source gNB as early as possible during NG and Xn handover. Therefore, the TSN Time Reference Information (Uncertainty, Time Information Type, TSN distribution, and Periodicity) should be included in the HANDOVER REQUEST message.

Moderator’s Summary:

To assist the target gNB in deciding the RTI delivery configuration, two companies believe it is useful for the source gNB to provide the RTI Periodicity in the HANDOVER REQUEST message. In addition, one company believes that RTI Uncertainty, Time Information Type, and TSN Distribution are also useful.

This topic has been discussed for several meetings without any change to company opinions. Since this is the last meeting of the work item, the moderator suggests to first focus on RTI periodicity which has support from at least 2 companies.

**Issue-3:** Further discuss whether to enable source gNB to provide RTI periodicity to the target gNB in the HANDOVER REQUEST message.

**Question 5: In case of handover, should RTI periodicity be included in the HANDOVER REQUEST message?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary:   * TBD | |

# 4 Discussion (Round 2)

TBD

# 5 Conclusions, Recommendations

TBD
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