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# 1 Introduction

This paper summarizes the following email discussion:

**CB: # NRIIOR1\_PDC**

**- The time synchronization assistance parameters (a time distribution indication and a Uu time synchronization error budget) are introduced over NG, Xn and F1 interfaces?**

**- During the handover, what kinds of time synchronization assistance information should the source gNB notify the target gNB, e.g., ReferenceTimeInfo (periodicity, clock source, and Timestamp of last RTI); TSN reference information (Uncertainty, Time Information Type, TSN distribution, Periodicity) …?**

**- the gNB-DU estimates and provides the compensated time reference value to the gNB-CU?**

**- Capture agreements and open issues**

**- TPs if agreeable**

(Nok - moderator)

Summary of offline disc [R3-215885](Inbox%5CR3-215885.zip)

# 2 For the Chair’s Notes

[TBD]

# 3 Discussion (Phase 1)

Please provide your Phase 1 views (8 questions) by **11:00 UTC Wednesday November 3rd**, so that they may be taken into account during the online session.

## 3.1 Time synchronisation information (NGAP)

Related papers from ZTE [1][2], Nokia [3], Qualcomm [5], Samsung [7], CATT [8], and Huawei [9].

SA2 has agreed that the TSCTSF can provide a **time distribution indication** and a **Uu time synchronisation error budget** to the NG-RAN via the PCF/AMF. The following proposals seem to represent a common denominator for almost all companies:

Proposal 1: Introduce a *Time Synchronisation Assistance Information* IE that includes a *Time Distribution Indication* IE and *Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget* IE.

Proposal 2: The *Time Synchronisation Assistance Information* IE is a UE-level parameter that can be optionally included over NGAP in the following messages: INITIAL CONTEXT SETUP REQUEST, UE CONTEXT MODIFICATION REQUEST, HANDOVER REQUEST, and PATH SWITCH REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

Proposal 3: The *Time Distribution Indication* IE is encoded as ENUMERATED type having two codepoints (enabled, disabled).

Proposal 4: The *Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget* IE is encoded as INTEGER type.

Note: The range and granularity of the *Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget* IE is addressed separately.

**Question 1: Can proposals 1-4 be agreed?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary: TBD |

Regarding the range and granularity of the *Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget* IE, companies provided the following proposals:

a) range 250ns to 1ms with 50ns granularity [3]

b) range 0 to 1023ns with 1ns granularity [5]

c) range 10 to 900ns with 10ns granularity [7]

d) range 0 to 1000ns with 1ns granularity [9]

For the granularity, most companies prefer a value of 1ns or 10ns.

**Question 2: For the granularity of the *Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget* IE, please indicate your preference between 1ns, 10ns, either (i.e. no strong preference), or other (please specify)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary: TBD |

For the maximum value, most companies propose values motivated by TS 22.204 Table 5.6.2-1 for the control-to-control (900ns) and smart grid (1000ns) use cases. One company suggests a larger maximum value taking into account other use cases in TS 22.204 Table 5.6.2-1 such as telesurgery (50us) and Rel-18 use cases in TS 22.261 Table 7.8-2 such as trading (1ms) to be more futureproof.

**Question 3: For the maximum value of the *Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget* IE, please indicate your preference between 1000ns, 1ms, either (i.e. no strong preference), or other (please specify)?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary: TBD |

For the minimum value, it is the moderator’s view that a 0 value does not make sense. One option is to choose the minimum value to be the same as the granularity (i.e. minimum value 1ns if granularity is 1ns, or minimum value 10ns if granularity is 10ns, etc.).

**Question 4: For the minimum value of the *Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget* IE, can the same value as the granularity be agreed? If not, please propose an alternative.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary: TBD |

## 3.2 Time synchronisation information (F1AP)

Related papers from ZTE [1] and Samsung [7].

Regarding potential F1AP impacts, it is proposed in [1] and [7] that the Uu Time Synchronisation Error Budget must be known by the gNB-DU to decide the reference time distribution to the UEs, e.g. determine the periodicity of SIB9 and/or provide appropriate reference time accuracy. Therefore, the *Time Synchronisation Assistance Information* IE needs to be delivered over the F1 interface.

**Question 5: Should the *Time Synchronisation Assistance Information* IE be provided to the gNB-DU over F1 interface?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary: TBD |

## 3.3 Time synchronisation information (XnAP)

Related papers from ZTE [1], Nokia [4], Qualcomm [5], Samsung [7], CATT [8], Huawei [9][10], and Ericsson [11][12][13].

It seems that all companies that propose to include the **time distribution indication** and a **Uu time synchronisation error budget** (i.e. *Time Synchronisation Assistance Information* IE) over NGAP also propose that the same information be passed over XnAP.

Proposal 5: Introduce the *Time Synchronisation Assistance Information* IE (same as NGAP) as an optional UE-level parameter in the following XnAP messages: HANDOVER REQUEST and RETRIEVE UE CONTEXT RESPONSE.

**Question 6: Can proposal 5 be agreed?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary: TBD |

Then, there are companies proposing that some additional information about the *ReferenceTimeInfo* (RTI) configuration at the source gNB can be passed to the target gNB over XnAP:

1) Periodicity of RTI delivery [9][11]

2) Time Information Type (i.e. *timeInfoType* field of the RTI) [4][11]

3) Uncertainty (i.e. *uncertainty* field of the RTI) [11]

4) TSN distribution (i.e. broadcast or unicast) [11]

**Question 7: Please provide feedback on why the above parameters should or should not be passed from source gNB to target gNB over XnAP.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary: TBD |

## 3.4 gNB-based PDC

Related papers from Samsung [6] and Huawei [9].

Two companies propose that RAN3 initiate discussion on gNB-based propagation delay compensation (PDC), citing further progress in RAN2 based on the following agreement at RAN2#115-e:

1. RAN2 assumes that gNB can perform pre-compensation. RAN2 agrees to introduce signalling to enable/disable UE-side PDC.
2. The gNB can enable/disable UE-side PDC via unicast-RRC signalling for Rel-17
3. RAN2 shall wait for RAN1 to decide the measurement framework for RTT based PDC method and does not preclude UE-side PDC or gNB based pre-compensation at this point. RAN2 is expecting guidance from RAN1 on what is needed.

RAN3 briefly discussed gNB-based PDC at the beginning of Rel-17, and an LS was sent to RAN1 and RAN2 which stated that RAN3 will not further discuss gNB-based PDC unless support for the functionality is first confirmed by RAN1/RAN2 [14]. The following agreement was captured in the RAN3#111-e Chair’s Minutes:

**Wait for reply LS from RAN1 and RAN2, before further discussing gNB-based PDC.**

Therefore, it should first be confirmed that companies are willing to reopen RAN3 discussion on gNB-based PDC to perform a RAN3 impact analysis (but still with the understanding that support for gNB-based PDC is up to RAN1 and RAN2 decisions [14]).

Proposal 6: Further discuss the RAN3 impacts of gNB-based PDC, with the understanding (as agreed by RAN3 in [14]) that support for gNB-based PDC is up to RAN1 and RAN2 decisions.

**Question 8: Can proposal 6 be agreed?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Moderator Summary: TBD |

# 4 Discussion (Phase 2, if needed)

*Moderator Note: Phase 2 topics (if any) to be decided during online session, e.g. possible TPs for baseline CRs, additional topics for discussion, etc.*

# 5 Conclusions, Recommendations

Capture the following in the Chair’s Notes: [TBD]
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