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# Introduction

**CB: # AIRAN6\_DataSecandTrans**

**- Data transmission via control plane could be reasonable way for huge quantity of AI data transmission?**

**- Security address, e.g., IP-Sec or DTLS address, carried in interface setup procedure can be used to guarantee the security of data transmission?**

**- Provide TP if agreeable**

**- Capture agreements and open issues**

(ZTE - moderator)

Summary of offline disc in [R3-214224](https://ericsson-my.sharepoint.com/personal/angelo_centonza_ericsson_com/Documents/Local%20Documents/3GPP_ETSI/RAN3/RAN3-113/EmailDiscussions/CB%20%23%20AIRAN6_DataSecandTrans/Inbox/R3-214224.zip)

Two phases of this email discussion:

**Phase 1 email discussion deadline:12am UTC, Friday, Aug 20th**

**Phase 2 email discussion deadline:4am UTC, Tuesday, Aug 24th, try to come up with TP if agreeable in the 2nd discussion.**

# For the Chairman’s Notes

Propose the following:

xxxxxx

# Background

At the latest RAN3#112e meeting, security related issue on AI RAN has been discussed, and it was agreed below:

**Security aspects should be considered and coordinated with other working groups later if needed.**

Currently, three prioritized use case (e.g., energy saving, mobility optimization and load balancing) were agreed to discuss the solution and standard impacts first. Regardless of which AI-based use case, decision or prediction will be generated by analysis large quantity of required data. So how to support AI data transmission in an existing RAN network, and how to guarantee the security of transmission shall be solved.

In this CB, we focus on discussing the data security and transmission for AI RAN, see [1].

# Discussion

[1] mentioned that in order to enable AI functionality into the RAN network, the current open standardized backhaul interface should be enhanced to support AI function, e.g., AI function start/stop, AI measurement configuration/collection, AI model deployment/distribution/update, etc. However, AI data (e.g., training data, inference data, or model related information, etc.) perhaps are very large, so RAN3 needs to consider and discuss how to support the large size data transmission in the existing RAN network.

**Question 1:** **Companies are invited to provide their views on whether the size of AI data transmission over current network interface is huge and large？**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson |  | This problem, if at all confirmed, should be discussed once we converge more on use case solutions |
| Deutsche Telekom | Perhaps | The final objective of this question is somehow unclear. The data volume required is strongly dependent on the use cases under consideration. There is also the need to separate between the types of interface, i.e., CP, UP, or MP (Management Plane). We see e.g. AI model deployment/update etc. under MP domain. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s summary:**

xxxxx

Considering the ML model and AI measurements for various use cases may be huge, while SCTP has the benefits to guarantee the robustness and reliability, it also supports data fragmentation, so the AI data transmission via control plane seems a reasonable way.

[1] proposed to support huge quantity of AI data transmission via control plane.

**Question 2:** **If you agree that the quantity of AI data transmission is huge, companies are invited to provide their views on which could be a reasonable way for huge quantity of AI data transmission, via control plane or user plane?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Control plane, or user plane?** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson |  | As commented above, it is not possible to answer these sort of questions without knowing which solutions RAN3 will promote per use case |
| Deutsche Telekom |  | Similar understanding as E///. As stated under Q1, MP is missing here, that could be related to model deployment aspects. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s summary:**

xxxxxx

Besides solving huge data transmission issues, security of data transmission should also be considered because some AI data per UE basis and then ML model per UE basis are privacy. [1] also proposed that security address, e.g., IP-Sec or DTLS address, can be used to guarantee the security of AI data transmission.

**Question 3:** **Companies are invited to provide comments on whether security address (e.g., IP sec or DTLS address), or other methods to support and guarantee the security of AI data transmission?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson |  | It is already possible to date to secure interface traffic with IPSec and other security techniques. It is not clear what else RAN3 should do in this respect. |
| Deutsche Telekom |  | W.r.t. security techniques we should involve SA3, especially if we go beyond features already defined on RAN interfaces. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s summary:**

xxxxxx

Moreover, [1] proposed that security address (e.g., IP-Sec or DTLS address) can be carried to exchange or transfer different measurements or ML models related information during the interface setup/modify procedure, as shown below. The encrypted and encapsulated data can achieve the purpose of integrity protection, replay protection and confidentiality protection.

**

Figure 1. Interface security setup procedure between NG-RAN nodes

**Question 4:** **Companies are invited to provide their views on whether security address (e.g., IP-Sec or DTLS address) can be carried to exchange or transfer different measurements or ML models related information during the interface setup/modify procedure?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson |  | This work is based on current architecture and interfaces. Current interfaces already provide the possibility of being security protected. We do not see the reason for this discussion right now |
| Deutsche Telekom |  | Same view as E///. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Moderator’s summary:**

xxxxxx

# Conclusion, Recommendations [if needed]

If needed
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