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# 1 Introduction

This paper provides summary of discussions at RAN#107bis-e on MDT for Inactive UEs:

**CB: # 1009\_Email\_SON-MDT\_MDT\_Inactive**

**- Take into account related points raised in 1790 (e.g. “open issue #1”) and 1783, 1784 (submitted to 10.3.1)**

**- Collect companies’ views on the issue of Logged MDT availability flag in the RETRIEVE UE CONTEXT RESPONSE message, proceed only if there is consensus or at least clear majority view**

(E/// - moderator)

Summary of offline discussion [R3-202470](file:///E:\3GPP%20meeting\RAN3\107bis\inbox\CB%20%23%201009_Email_SON-MDT_MDT_Inactive\Inbox\R3-202470.zip)

It is proposed to allocate related TPs to companies as follows:

* TBD

# 2 For the Chairman’s Notes

[To be completed]

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 How to enforce RAN2’s agreement “*Management based MDT should not overwrite signaling based MDT*”

RAN2 agreed that “*Management based MDT should not overwrite signaling based MDT*”. Companies should provide their view on how to ensure that this agreement is fulfilled for UEs in RRC Inactive that re-connect in a new NG-RAN node.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| ZTE | RAN2 ‘s agreement is not only cover UEs in RRC inactive state but in other RRC state.  Take signalling logged MDT for example, after UE receive signalling based logged MDT configuration via RRC message, the UE enters RRC\_IDLE state and starts MDT measurement. When the UE accesses to another gNB, how does the new gNB know whether the UE has a valid signalling based MDT configuration?  If the issue is identified, then the candidate approaches including Assistant from Core network, Indication in Xn (as Moderator suggested ), Indication via RRC, etc. The approach provided in R3-202262 seems only apply MDT for UE in RRC\_INACTIVE state.  It seems some typos in the tile: the AI seems to be 10.3.2; the title Tdoc number R3-202470. |
| Samsung | When the inactive mode UE is resumed in a new gNB, the new gNB knows whether there is a signalling based logged MDT configuration from the UE CONTEXT RETRIVE RESPONSE message. And if the logged MDT configuration is already sent to the UE, a logMeasAvailable Indicator is included in RRC RESUME COMPLETE message, so the new gNB knows if the UE is configured logged MDT or not. If the UE is not configured, the new gNB sends a configuration message before UE is moves into idle/inactive mode.  So the new gNB can ensure “*Management based MDT should not overwrite signaling based MDT*”. |
| Huawei | Agree to Samsung’s comments above. The only case is that there is no logged MDT configuration at the source node, in this case, there is no logged MDT config propagation either. The drawback could be that UE will not be selected by the target node for management based MDT. However, this does not violate RAN2 agreement.  At RAN2-109-e meeting, the following agreements were made:  Agreements:  1 Only immediate MDT is supported for EN-DC scenario in R16 MDT  2 In signaling based immediate MDT, MME provides MDT configuration for both MN and SN towards MN including multi RAT SN configuration, specifically E-UTRA and NR MDT configuration. MN then forwards the NR MDT configuration towards SN (EN-DC scenario, SN is always NR).  3 In management-based immediate MDT, OAM provides the MDT configuration to both MN and SN independently. Inform other working group that Management based MDT should not overwrite signaling based MDT.  4 For immediate MDT configuration, MN and SN can independently configure and receive measurement from the UE.  5 UE follow the release 15 RRM behavior to report the triggered measurements for Immediate MDT.  It is apparent that the RAN2 agreement “Inform other working group that Management based MDT should not overwrite signaling based MDT.” Was made under the context of MDT in EN-DC.  Therefore, we would like to propose to send a LS to RAN2 to clarity whether that agreement is also applicable for MR-DC case which impact Xn considering that MDT in EN-DC is not supported in rel-16.  Furthermore, it is noticed that RAN2 also discusses this issue at this week. It seems not only UE mobility in inactive state, but also in idle state should be considered. The idle mode use case could be that the UE received a signaling based logged MDT in cell 1 and went to idle, then reselect to cell 2 and transfer to RRC\_ACTIVE which the logged duration is not expired.  Transferring only a single bit in UE context retrieve may not solve the issue for idle mode UEs.  So, we propose to send a LS to RAN2 to clarity above two issues. |
| CMCC | Same comment as Samsung.  In addition, how to ensure “*Management based MDT should not overwrite signaling based MDT*” is a general question for all RRC states, especially for RRC idle and inactive, not specific for RRC\_inactive.  As mentioned by Huawei, RAN2 is also discussing how to ensure this agreement for RRC\_inactive and idle UEs, if for example a solution that to include a signalling-based or management-based MDT indication in Loggedmeasurementconfig message at Uu interface was agreed by RAN2, it can ensure the RAN2 agreement for both inactive and idle.  Having a single flag cannot solve the whole issue. |

## 3.2 “Signaling Based Logged MDT State” flag in the RETRIEVE UE CONTEXT RESPONSE message on XnAP

In R3-202261, R3-201790 and R3-201784 a solution to ensure the agreement for RAN2 on “*Management based MDT should not overwrite signaling based MDT*” has been proposed. The solution is based on signalling of Logged MDT configurations as pert of the UE Context Retrieval procedures over the Xn interface, together with an indication of whether the configuration has been activated at the UE or whether it is pending to be activated. Companies should provide their view on this solution.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| ZTE | As explained in section 3.1 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 3.1.2

# 4 Conclusion, Recommendations [if needed]

If needed
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