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# Introduction

This contribution is to summarize the offline discussion for the following CB:

**CB: # 48\_Email048-IAB\_routing\_AOB**

**- anything in 0760 to be captured/agreed, i.e. not already captured/agreed in other aspects?**

(HW)

Summary of offline disc [R3-201146](file:///D:\RAN3\RAN3-107\CBs\CB%20%23%2048_Email048-IAB_routing_AOB\Inbox\R3-201146.zip)

The offline assigned by chairman will mainly cover the issues in contribution R3-200760[1], which aims at remaining issues for routing in IAB network. The discussion will be parted in to two phases:

**Phase 1**: Collection of viewpoints on remaining issues.

The deadline for Phase 1 is Tuesday, Feb. 25, 18:00 CET.

**Phase 2**: Concludes agreeable way forward and TP if any.

The deadline for Phase 2 is Thursday, Feb. 27, 18:00 CET.

# Discussion

Based on discussion in previous RAN2&RAN3 meetings, the UL and DL routing across wireless links rely on the routing function in BAP layer, which is configured with one or multiple routing entries. Each routing entry contains a BAP routing ID and a corresponding next hop node, and the BAP routing ID is composed by a BAP address and a BAP path ID. The BAP address in each DL packets indicates the access IAB node. But according to the above agreements, the processing of UL packets in the IAB donor is still FFS. The remaining issues are listed as follows.

## Issue 1: Whether to configure the BAP address to the IAB donor DU?

In RAN2 #108 meeting, we have the following agreement about BAP layer in IAB network [2]:

* *For both UL and DL, The BAP header for Data PDU has a length of 3B, which hold 1 D/C bit, 3 R bits, 10 bits for BAP address, and 10bits for BAP path ID (this overrides earlier agreement).*

For the DL transmission, each IAB node is configured with a BAP address, and the IAB node will use this BAP address to check whether it is the destination of the DL packets in wireless backhaul transmission. Obviously, the UL packet also carries a destination BAP address in the BAP header. And the length of BAP address in UL packet is agreed to be same as in the DL packet. About the UL processing in the BAP entity of IAB donor DU, there are two different options, which are

**Option 1. IAB donor DU always deliver the payload of any received BAP data PDU to upper layer.**

**Option 2, the IAB donor DU check the BAP address in received BAP data PDU and deliver those ones which contains same BAP address as the configured BAP address for the IAB donor DU.**

As shown in the endorsed stage 2 running CR of TS 38.300[3], the BAP entity for UL transmission terminates at the IAB donor DU. And no matter which node the BAP entity locates at, the data transmission modelling of BAP layer should be consistent. From such perspective, option 2 is more reasonable, since the IAB donor DU will use same data transmission model as the DL processing in each IAB node.

Otherwise, if option 1 is agreed, the BAP layer in the IAB donor DU performs a different data transfer modelling, i.e. skipping the BAP address checking step. In addition, in some abnormal case, if IAB donor DU receives some packets which not been forwarded correctly by previous links, option 1 will allow the IAB donor DU still forwarding these packet to upper layers (i.e. the IP layer), and the packets will still be routed in the IP domain of IAB donor DU. If the packets cannot be routed successfully in the IP domain, it will be discarded finally. In such case, it is better to discard these packets by the IAB donor DU before deliver to upper layer to avoid causing useless data forwarding in the IP domain.

Thus, from the rapporteur’s view, option 2 is suggested to be preferred, and it is straightforward that each IAB donor DU should be configured with a BAP address also. Since the BAP address is special for the IAB donor DU, and is not related to any specific child node or UE, non-UE associated F1AP signalling is recommended for such configuration.

However, we still need to collect companies view before get conclusions. Companies are encouraged to provide your views and comments into the tables for each issue list below.

***Q1: Between the above two options, which one do you prefer for UL packet processing in IAB donor DU?***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred option** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei | Option 2 | The data transmission modelling of BAP layer for IAB node should be same as the BAP layer modelling in the IAB donor DU. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

***Q2: Do you think the IAB donor DU needs to be configured with a BAP address?***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei | Yes | IAB donor DU needs to be configured with its own BAP address if option 2 is preferred. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

***Q3: What kind of signalling （i.e. UE associated or non-UE associated） is used for configuring BAP address to the IAB donor DU, and whether existing F1AP message or new F1AP message will be used, if your answer to Q2 is Yes?***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **F1AP type for configuration: UE-associated or non-UE associated; existing ones or new one** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei | New, non-UE associated | The BAP address is special for the IAB donor DU, and is not related to any specific child node or UE, non-UE associated F1AP signalling is recommended for such configuration. On the other hand, the BAP address is not useful for intra-donor F1 transmission, new F1AP message special for BAP layer configuration in the IAB donor DU is a better choice. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Issue 2: The UL BAP address indicates IAB donor CU or IAB donor DU?

The agreements about the definition of BAP address is “*Each BAP address defines a unique destination (unique for IAB network of one Donor, either an IAB access node, or the IAB donor)*” [4]. For upstream destination, it has not been clarified whether the BAP address should identify an IAB donor CU or the IAB donor DU.

There are 3 options for this issue:

**Option 1. The BAP address in UL packets indicates the IAB donor DU**

**Option 2. The BAP address in UL packets indicates the IAB donor CU**

**Option 3. Vague meaning, i.e. no need to clarify which node the BAP address in UL packets indicates.**

At first, option 3 is not a good choice, since anyway the BAP address is used to identify some nodes, and it is too strange to not clarifying what this address means. Among the rest two options, using the BAP address to identify the IAB donor DU is reasonable since the BAP layer based routing will be performed across the wireless BH links, IP based routing will be used for the intra donor routing. Otherwise, if the BAP address is used to identify the IAB donor CU, each IAB donor DU will be responsible to forward packets with different BAP addresses carried in upstream packets, since the IAB donor CU-CP and IAB donor CU-UPs may have different BAP addresses. In such case, each IAB donor DU needs to be configured with multiple BAP addresses, such redundant configuration is not necessary, since one BAP address is enough for the IAB donor DU’s UL receiving.

***Q4: Among the above three options of which node the UL BAP address indicates, which one do you prefer?***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred option** | **Comments (if any)** |
| Huawei | Option 1 | BAP address is used to identify some node, vague value is strange. And option 2 will result in more than one BAP addresses to be configured to the IAB donor DU, which is not necessary. Option 1 is the most straightforward choice among the three. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Summary

To be added.
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