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# 1 Introduction

# 1 Introduction

This document is to collect comments for the CR:

* [Pre117-e][613][POS] RAN1 parameter list impact to RRC running CR (Ericsson)

Please provide your comments related to the CR

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
| Intel | Yi Guo (yi.guo@intel.com) |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yinghao Guo (yinghaoguo@huawei.com) |
| vivo | Xiang Pan (panxiang@vivo.com) |
| Nokia | Mani Thyagarajan (mani.thyagarajan@nokia.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Comments

**Please provide the comments on the CR here:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments |
| Intel | 1 Following RAN1 parameter is missing, should be added in reconfiguration message?  UETxTEG\_Request\_UL-TDOA, The parameter is used for the serving gNB to request a UE to provide UE Tx TEG association for UL-TDOA .  2 comma is missing for uePositioningAssistanceInfo-r17 UEPositioningAssistanceInfo-IES-r17  3 For new message, why SRB3 is needed? We should not consider SCG case; |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | 1/ On the field description for resourceType, we think it can be captured as “when SRS config is included in RRCRelease with suspendConfig, the resourceType should not be set as “aperiodic””  2/ We think it is better not to use the IE BWP to configure offsetToPointA, SCS and CP type. In the IE BWP, there is locationAndBandwidth, but the Bandwidth here is not needed.  Bandwidht is configured under the SRS configuration. We prefer to configure offsetToPointA, SCS and CP type in separate fields.  3/ TA validation is captured in the MAC spec for SDT. We think this should be the same for INACTIVE SRS, same view as Intel above.  4/ Same view as Intel on msg3. It should also be noted that discussion is still ongoing in another email |
| vivo | 1. In the cover sheet, the following editorial change:   High accuracy and low latency features may not be supported   1. In section 5.7.XX.1, the RRCReconfiguration procedure can be added in Figure 5.7.XX.1-1 to illustrate when will the UE send the UE Positioning Assistance Information. 2. In section 5.7.XX.2, the following editorial change:   A UE capable of providing the association between UL SRS Resource for positioning and UE Tx TEG ID in RRC\_CONNECTED may initiate the procedure upon being configured to provide this ~~indication~~ association.  if *txTEG-PeriodicalReporting* in *SRS-Config IE* is configured to provide the association between UL SRS Resource for positioning ~~with~~ and Tx TEG:   1. In section 5.7.XX.3, the following editorial change:   The UE shall set the contents of the *~~UEAssistanceInformation~~* *UEPositioningAssistanceInfo* message as follows:  1> if transmission of the *UEPositioningAssistanceInfo* message is initiated to provide the association between UL SRS Resource for positioning ~~with~~ and Tx TEG according to 5.7.XX.2 ;  2> include *ue-TxTEG-AssociationList* in the *UEPositioningAssistanceInfo* message;   1. The “DL-PRS-PROCESSINGWINDOW” should be “DL-PRS-ProcessingWIndow”. (Note the case of letters). 2. Agree with Intel and Huawei, the “SRB3” should be removed. 3. The format of SRS in UEPositioningAssistanceInfo shall align with the conclusion in [611], which could be a bitmap. 4. “gapConfigList-r17” and “DL-PRS-ProcessingWindowConfig” should be explicitly reflected as pre-configured. So we suggest changing to “preGapConfigList-R17” and “DL- PRS-ProcessingWindowPreConfig”. 5. We wonder whether the pre-configured gap should support per-UE/per-FR1/per-FR2 similar to the legacy gap. |
| Nokia | 1. The UE positioning assistance information procedure and message is generic now but should this be specific to TEG association reporting in terms of procedure and message name?  2. Why do we need signaling over SRB3 for UEPositioningAssistanceInfo message?  3. Why is a *nr-TimeStamp-r17* needed in *UE-TxTEG-Association-r17*?  4. Some typos in the CR e.g. UETxTEG-Assoiciation, AssocaitedSRS -PosResourceId  5. Fix the font case in DL-PRS-PROCESSINGWINDOW  6. in the description for DL-PRS-ProcessingWindow, we don’t have to say “where subject to UE capability”  7. Good to have a field description for cellID-r17 in DL-PRS-ProcessingWindow field descriptions  8. Instead of adding gapConfigID-r17 to MeasGapConfig IE, can we not define a new IE MeasGapPreConfig which includes MeasGapConfig and gapConfigID-r17?  9. Having oneShot in txTEG-PeriodicalReporting-r17 looks unusual. Can we not define a txTEG-Reporting-r17 IE with CHOICE oneshot and periodical and then for periodical choice we define txTEG-PeriodicalReporting with ENUMERATED {ms120, ms240, ms480, ms640, ms1024, ms2048, ms5120, ms10240, ms2048, ms5120, ms10240, ms20480, spare4, spare3, spare2, spare1}? |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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# Conclusion

In the previous sections we made the following observations: