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# Introduction

This document is the report of the pre-117e email discussion “*[Pre117-e][008][QoE] QoE Open Issues Input (China Unicom)*”, which is based on R2-2202043.

* *[Pre117-e][008][QoE] QoE Open Issues Input (China Unicom)*

*Deadline: Monday 2022-02-14 23:59 UTC.*

This document will collect company inputs and give proposals for the open issues on R17 NR QoE.

# Contact information

Please provide your contact information when feedback:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email Address** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Dawid Koziol | dawid.koziol@huawei.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

According to the QoE related open issue list [1], the following open issues will be focused on in this document.

Issue 1: Whether and how the data should be retransmitted during HO.

Issue 2: Which SRB (SRB2 or SRB4) to transmit RAN visible QoE measurements.

Issue 3: Which of the following options to choose for RRC segmentation capability:

Option 1: Conditional mandatory without UE capability parameter (no extra bit)

Option 2: Optional without UE capability parameter (no extra bit)

Option 3: Optional with UE capability parameter (one extra bit)

Issue 4: Whether the Pause and resume capability is one of basic sub-features.

Issue 5: Which of the following options to choose for RVQoE capability:

Option 1: One parameter indicating whether UE supports RAN visible QoE

Option 2: Separate parameters indicating whether UE supports RAN visible QoE for each service type.

Note that issues 3~5 are related with UE capabilities.

## Open Issue 1: Retransmission of QoE reports during HO

For issue 1, it's observed in RAN2#116b-e meeting, whether and how the data (QoE reports) should be retransmitted during HO was discussed but no consensus was made. The Chair Notes can be found as follows:

* Except for restarts transmission of QoE reports after handover, The TP in the Annex of R2-2200011 is included in the running CR for QoE measurements.

During the online discussion in RAN2#116b-e, some companies wonder if it’s needed to retransmit the QoE reports during HO, and other companies also propose how and what layer shall retransmit the QoE reports need to be discussed. Thus companies are invited to provide your comments on issue1:

**Question 1: Whether the data (QoE reports) should be retransmitted during HO? If the answer is Yes, and how the QoE reports are retransmitted during HO?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | We think this is a useful mechanism which comes at the minimal specifications impact. If the related QoE configuration still exists after the handover, the UE may resend the unacknowledged QoE report. This may lead to duplicate reports, but that is something that can be dealt with during post-processing in OAM system. Dropping the report means that the measurement session is incomplete and such sessions are less useful. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Open Issue 2: SRB selection for RAN visible QoE

For Issue2, In R2-116-e meeting, An LS is sent to RAN3 for decision on RAN visible [2]. And RAN3 has agreed RAN2 can decide which SRB (SRB2 or SRB4) to transmit RAN visible QoE measurements at last online meeting. So the companies are invited to give comments on which SRB (SRB2 or SRB4) to transmit RAN visible QoE measurements?

**Question 2a: Which SRB (SRB2 or SRB4) to transmit RAN visible QoE measurements?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **SRB2/SRB4** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | SRB2 | In the latest incoming LS R3-221465 LS, RAN3 mentions the following:  *RAN3’s understanding is that RAN visible QoE reports, which include the related RAN visible QoE metrics, could be utilized by the NG-RAN node for radio network optimization during an ongoing application/QMC session. However, there is no consensus in RAN3 with respect to whether the delivery of RAN visible QoE reports is with a higher priority than legacy QoE reporting, and the final decision with respect to which SRB should be used for RAN visible QoE reporting can be made by RAN2.*  In our paper R2-2110607, we proposed to use SRB2 for transmitting RAN visible QoE reports due to the following observations:  **Observation 1: If both QoE reporting container and RAN visible QoE report are put in SRB4, the priority of SRB4 may be hard to set as the priority and size of the application layer reports and RAN visible reports is different.**  **Observation 2: If the RAN visible QoE report is used for real-time optimization for RAN, it may be inappropriate to consider SRB4 for transmitting the report.**  **Observation 3: SRB2 can be a good candidate for carrying RAN visible QoE reports, considering its relatively high priority, but lower than critical SRB1 signalling.**  We think using SRB2 is the best compromise to give RAN visible QoE higher priority than application layer QoE reports without impacting high priority signaling carried by SRB1. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 2b: Based on the answer of Q2b, do companies have any other issues if SRB2 or SRB4 are selected?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Open Issue 3~5: UE capabilities for QoE

RAN2 has discussed UE capabilities for NR QoE in the R2#116b-e meeting, but some FFSs are left for discussed and decide. Such as the following Issue 3~Issue 5 listed at [1]:

Issue 3: Which of the following options to choose for RRC segmentation capability:

**Option 1:** Conditional mandatory without UE capability parameter (no extra bit)

**Option 2:** Optional without UE capability parameter (no extra bit)

**Option 3:** Optional with UE capability parameter (one extra bit)

Issue 4: Whether the Pause and resume capability is one of basic sub-features.

Issue 5: Which of the following options to choose for RVQoE capability:

**Option 1:** One parameter indicating whether UE supports RAN visible QoE

**Option 2:** Separate parameters indicating whether UE supports RAN visible QoE for each service type.

**Question 3: For issue 3, which of the options to choose for RRC segmentation capability?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 2 | Since QoE configuration is included in the RRCReconfiguration message, there is no additional UE complexity in supporting QoE configuration segmentation, on top of the already existing dl-DedicatedMessageSegmentation-r16 capability. When it comes to QoE report segmentation, this can be handled in a way similar to how UECapabilityInformation message segmentation is possible, i.e. we can specify it as an optional feature without capability signalling, e.g. by having the following change in section 5.4 of TS 38.306:  “It is optional for UE to support segmentation of UECapabilityInformation and/or MeasurementReportAppLayer as specified in TS 38.331 [9].”  This way this capability can be handled in exactly the same way as for UECapabilityInformation and there is no need to introduce two different UE/network behaviours.  Option 3 is also acceptable to us, but this extra signaling is not really useful. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 4: For issue 4, whether the Pause and resume capability is one of basic sub-features?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | This feature imposes some extra requirements on the UE, e.g. on its memory requirements, especially in case AS layer is chosen for storing the reports. We believe this feature should be optional for the QoE UE. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 5: For issue 5, which of the following options to choose for RVQoE capability?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comment** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 1 | In our opinion, option 1 is simpler than option 2 and would make the RAN visible QoE feature most useful. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion

TBD
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