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# Introduction

This report is for the following offline discussion during RAN2#117-e:

|  |
| --- |
| * [AT117-e][004][ePowSav] PEI and paging subgrouping (MediaTek)   Scope:  Following the on-line discussion on R2-2202769:  a) clarify details on UE behaviour for PEI in last cell, e.g. UE storing last cell info etc, and related TS impacts (can ask input on what need to be clarified).  b) whether we can assume that PEI with no subgrouping is implemented by using PEI + UEID subgrouping with one subgroup, or whether also other variants should be supported.  Treat R2-2203720 (taking into account on-line agreements).  Determine agreeable points, points for discussion if needed  Intended outcome: Report.  Deadline: In time for CB online W2 Tuesday |

In Week1, RAN2 made the following agreements, which should be taken into account in this discussion.

|  |
| --- |
| * PEI + UEID subgrouping is one capability * Network indicates whether UE monitors PEI in last used cell in system information. |

Rapporteur invites companies to provide their comments before **23:59 UTC, Feb. 27 (Sun)**, so as to allow some time report preparation.

Contact information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email |
| MediaTek | Li-Chuan TSENG | li-chuan.tseng@mediatek.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

## UE behaviour for PEI in last cell

RAN2 just agreed that the network indicates whether UE monitors PEI in last used cell in system information. A rough description of UE behaviour could be as follows: When PEI-capable UE is released, it monitors the PEI in the same cell if PEI is broadcasted there. Once the UE moves and reselects to another cell, it checks the “last used cell” indication in the new cell, and monitors PEI only if the indication is negative (i.e., PEI is not restricted to the last used cell).

From the online and offline discussions, Rapporteur sees the following discussion points for UE behaviour:

* A UE may reselect to another cell and then reselect back to the last used cell, while keeping Idle/Inactive. Should UE store the “last used cell” information so that it can monitor PEI in the last used cell?
* If UE stores the “last used cell” information, will this information expire? (i.e., is there an associated timer?)
* Can one “last used cell only” indication be applied to all subgroups, or separate indication is needed for each subgroup?
* Do we need “no last cell update” in *RRCRelease* message for NR PEI (similar to *noLastCellUpdate* in *RRCConnectionRelease* for LTE WUS)?
* Implementation in TS: RRC CR rapporteur suggested that we make the “last used cell only” indication cell-specific and broadcast it along with PEI configuration, for example:

PEI-Config-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

pei-SearchSpace-r17 SearchSpaceId,

po-NumPerPEI-r17 ENUMERATED {1, 2, 4, 8},

payloadSizeDCI-2-7-r17 INTEGER (1..maxDCI-2-7-Size-r17),

pei-FrameOffset-r17 FFS,

firstPDCCH-MonitoringOccasionOfPEI-O-r17 FFS,

subgroupConfig-r17 SubgroupConfig-r17 OPTIONAL, -- Need R

lastUsedCellOnly ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL,

...

}

The abovementioned discussion points are formulated into the questions below.

**Q1.1: Should a PEI-capable UE store the “last used cell” information?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | Y | If UE reselects back to the cell where it was released, it should be able to monitor PEI there even if a “last used cell only” indication is set. |

**Q1.2: If UE stores “last used cell” information, is there an associated timer for it?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | N | If a timer is introduced, the network and UE behaviors may be more complicated. |

**Q3: Can one “last used cell only” indication be applied to all subgroups?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | Y | We do not see the need of finer granularity then cell-specific configuration. |

**Q1.4: Do we need “no last cell update” in *RRCRelease* message for NR PEI?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | Y | We can reuse the mechanism. |

**Q1.5: Can we adopt the proposed RRC configuration for *lastUsedCellOnly*?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | Y |  |

**Q1.6: Is there any other issue to be discussed for UE behaviors about “last used cell only”? Please also provide your preference or proposed solutions.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
|  |  |

## Implementation of PEI with no subgrouping

RAN2 just agreed that “PEI + UEID subgrouping is one capability”. If PEI-capable UEs always support UEID-based subgrouping, it seems that “PEI without subgrouping” can be implemented by having PEI plus UEID subgrouping with one subgroup.

We may first confirm companies’ understanding about the RAN2 agreement of “PEI + UEID subgrouping is one capability”: Does it mean that a PEI-capable UE must support at least UEID-based subgrouping method?

**Q2.1: Do you agree that a PEI-capable UE must support at least UEID-based subgrouping method?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | Y | This is our understanding about the RAN2 agreement. |

If the answer to Q2.1 is ‘yes’, we would like to know if network can implement “PEI without subgrouping” by configuring PEI plus UEID subgrouping with one subgroup (and no CN-assigned subgroups). That is, in *PEI-Config*, *subgroup-Config* is present, and Nsg-UEID = 1.

**Q2.2: If PEI-capable UEs always support UEID-based subgrouping, do you agree that “PEI without subgrouping” can be implemented by configuring PEI plus UEID subgrouping with one subgroup?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | Y | Then we need an additional rule: UEs with CN-assigned subgroup ID should derive UEID-based subgroup ID when monitoring PEI in a cell supporting only UEID-based subgrouping. |

Another way to implement “PEI without subgrouping” is to have *subgroup-Config* absent in *PEI-Config*. If the answer to Q2.2 is ‘yes’, we will have two method for network to implement “PEI without subgrouping”.

**Q2.3: Should we allow multiple methods for network to implement “PEI without subgrouping”?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | N | We’d like to keep thing simple. In RAN1 discussion of (PDCCH-based) PEI format, RAN1 implicitly assumed that every UE has a subgroup ID, and a corresponding bit is used for paging indication. What described in Q2.2 can be considered as the only implementation for “PEI without subgrouping”. Then *subgroup-Config* must present in *PEI-Config*. |

## Proposals in R2-2203720 (AI summary)

AI summary for 8.9.3.2.1 is provided in [1], where we have the following proposals:

|  |
| --- |
| Easy agreements  **Proposal 1: When PEI is applied with eDRX, the UEID for UEID-based subgrouping is determined by 5G-S-TMSI mod 32768.**  **Proposal 2: No special handling or configuration is introduced for PEI monitoring with PTW (i.e., PEI is applicable to each PO within PTW)**  **Proposal 4: No additional handling for PEI and PO monitoring is introduced, even if certain gNB within a RNA does not support CN controlled subgrouping.**  **Proposal 5: UE PHY processing for DCI format 2\_7 is the same for PEI without subgrouping and PEI with one subgroup if UE monitors PEI.**  For discussion  **Proposal 3: RAN2 to check PEI-related signaling between AMF and UE, between AMF and gNB, and between gNBs, and decide if LS to SA2/CT1/RAN3 is still needed.**  **Proposal 6: RAN2 to confirm the configurations and PEI/PO monitoring for different cases with “K=1”.**  **Proposal 7: RAN2 discuss the following proposals about PEI configurations:**   * + **(a) PEI configuration is included in SIBx currently proposed for TRS resource configuration.**   + **(b) CN informs RAN about the number of *subgroupsNumPerPO* to use for the CN-assigned subgrouping.**   + **(c) Network can optionally configure a separate set of PO(s) dedicated to Rel-17 UEs with new paging capabilities.**   + **(d) Network can configure dedicated POs for UEs supporting PEI and K0>0 via a second set of ns, and/or *nAndPagingFrameOffset* and/or *firstPDCCH-MonitoringOccasionOfPO* parameters.**   + **(e) If configured by the NW, UE indicates whether PEI is currently useful for the UE.** |

First, we would like to know if the “easy agreements” in [1] can be accepted.

**Q3.1: Can we accept the “easy agreements” in [1]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | Y |  |

Then regarding the “for discussion” proposals in [1], rapporteur thinks that

* “Proposal 3”: We may discuss here is LS to RAN3/SA2/CT1 is need.
* “Proposal 6”: This overlaps with the “PEI without subgrouping” discussion above, so it can be skipped.
* “Proposal 7”: These are proposals extracted from [2]. It may be a bit late to discuss them, but we may still consider some of them if we see a good support.

**Q3.2: Do we need to send LS to RAN3/SA2/CT1?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Y/N | Comment |
| MediaTek | Y | We believe that at least they need to know RAN2 agreements about UE capability. We can send a copy of RAN2 agreements after this meeting and let them check if any work is needed. |

**Q3.3: Should we agree to any items in “Proposal 7” in [1]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Items (a)-(e) | Comment |
| MediaTek | (b) | It seems that this has been implemented by SA2/CT1 |

## Other comments

**Q4.1: Please provide your comments here.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| MediaTek | By confirming that a PEI-capable UE must support at least UEID-based subgrouping, we can eliminate many cases of “RAN-UE mismatch”. If UE still cannot find its subgroup ID (e.g., UE supports UEID-based subgrouping only, but RAN configures CN-assigned subgrouping only), we suggest that RAN2 confirm that UE monitors legacy paging. |

# Conclusion

It is proposed to discuss and decide on the following proposals:
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