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# Introduction

This report summarizes the email discussion below that took place during RAN2#115-e meeting:

* [AT115-e][050][NPN] LS out (CMCC)

Scope: LS out acc to discussion, related to P2 in R2-2109017

Intended outcome: Approved LSout

Deadline: Tuesday W2 (CB online only if needed)

# Reference

# Contact information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact Name, Email** |
| Nokia | Gyuri Wolfner, gyorgy.wolfner@nokia.com |
| OPPO | fanjiangsheng@oppo.com |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Lili Zheng, zhenglili4@huawei.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## Comments on the LS

Here companies may provide comments on the LS.

**Question 1:** Do companies have comments on whether the availability of emergency services of the R15/R16 UEs should be included in the LS?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Other comments** |
| Nokia | We think that including the question on R15 and R16 UEs is OK to make the situation clear and avoid further debates. |
| OPPO | Usually, any new function introduced in the later release should not impact the behaviour of legacy UEs if early implementation is not considered. We think RAN2 alone can make the decision, so tend to not include the legacy UEs part in the LS. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | We are ok to send the questions. However, we’re not sure if SA2 can provide the answers because in R16 SA2 raised the questions regarding the support of emergency service for different kinds of UEs to RAN2 (R2-1908651):   |  | | --- | | Regarding Emergency service in CAG cells:  E1: SA2 concluded that the UE should be allowed to camp for Emergency services for the case where UE supports the CAG feature, but is not authorized for any of the advertised CAG IDs.  E2: SA2 could not conclude whether Rel-16 UEs not supporting the CAG feature should be allowed to camp in a CAG cell in limited service state. There is no SA2 consensus to support this scenario. | |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Rapporteur’s summary:** <tbd>

**Question 2:** Do companies have other comments on this LS?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Other comments** |
| Nokia | Rewording proposal is uploaded in [R2-21xxxx Draft LS on limited service availability of an SNPN-v01-Nokia.doc](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_115-e/Inbox/Drafts/%5BOffline-050%5D%5BNPN%5D%20LS%20out%20(CMCC)/R2-21xxxx%20Draft%20LS%20on%20limited%20service%20availability%20of%20an%20SNPN-v01-Nokia.doc) |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | It would be difficult to allow legacy UEs to camp on R17 SNPN to obtain emergency services because legacy UEs cannot recognize the R17 IEs in system information.  On the LS itself, the latest version in the folder (provided by Nokia) looks good to us. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Rapporteur’s summary:** <tbd>