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# 1 Introduction

This document is to continue the email discussion:

* [AT114-e][108][NTN] UE location aspects (CATT)

Final scope: Continue the discussion on the expected granularity of the coarse UE location information and, depending on the outcome, on the need of an LS to other groups

Final intended outcome: Summary of the offline discussion with e.g.:

* + - List of proposals for agreement (if any)
		- List of proposals to be postponed to the next meeting

Final deadline (for companies' feedback): Wednesday 2021-05-26 1400 UTC

Final deadline (for rapporteur's summary in R2-2106535): Wednesday 2021-05-26 1600

Proposals marked "for agreement" in R2-2106535 not challenged until Thursday 2021-05-27 0600 will be declared as agreed via email by the session chair (for the rest the discussion will continue in the next meeting).

This email continues the discussion on the expected granularity of the coarse UE location information and, depending on the outcome, on the need of an LS to other groups.

# 2 Contact Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Respondents to the email discussion are kindly asked to fill in the following table. Company | Contact: Name (E-mail) |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Tangxun (tangxun@huawei.com) |
| Samsung | Nishith Tripathi (nishith.t@samsung.com) |
| ZTE | Yuan Gao (gao.yuan66@zte.com.cn) |
| Apple | Sarma Vangala (svangala@apple.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 The expected granularity of the coarse UE location information

At the online meeting #114-e, companies agree that

Agreements via email - from offline 108:

1. RAN2 will work on a solution to ensure that the CGI constructed by NG-RAN corresponds to a fixed geographical area with a size comparable with a cell for TN including connected mode and initial access.

There are candidate solutions to support this requirement that the CGI constructed by NG-RAN corresponds to a fixed geographical area with a size comparable with a cell for TN are discussed as well during the online session.

**Proposal 2:** The possible mechanism can be options for further discussion, if there is the need to ensure (for both the earth-fixed and earth-moving cell cases) that the CGI constructed by NG-RAN corresponds to a fixed geographical area with a size comparable with a cell for TN:[17]

* + - **gNB finalizes CGI mapping by retrieving the UE’s coarse location info directly from UE**
		- **gNB reports Earth-Fixed Virtual Cells**
		- **Earth-Fixed Hierarchical Regions**
		- **gNB finalizes CGI mapping by using V2X-like zone ID provided by UE**
		- **UE report the CGI of detected TN cell as assistance information**

**Proposal 2a:** RAN2 to discuss if there is a need to send LS to SA3 to check what granularity of UE location (i.e., 500m, 1 km, 5 km, 10 km etc) can be exposed to gNB.[17]

Option 1 (gNB finalizes CGI mapping by retrieving the UE’s coarse location info directly from UE) got the highest support during the email discussion. Some company suggest that the UE’s coarse location info should be checked by SA3 because gNB is not permitted to get UE’s accurate geographical location info unless the security authority.

During the online session, some companies don’t think this is necessary to send this LS, because typical cell size as in rural area would be sufficient to meet the agreement: the CGI constructed by NG-RAN corresponds to a fixed geographical area with a size comparable with a cell for TN.

All our candidate solutions above are based on the UE’s coarse location information. So it is necessary to discuss the expected granularity of the coarse UE location information at first.

There are few options of expected granularity of the coarse UE location information:

* **Option 1:** typical TN cell size as in rural area, e.g. radius 2~5km
* **Option 2**: smaller granularity: radius 500m~1km
* **Option 3**: larger granularity: radius10km

**Question 1: What’s the expected granularity of the coarse UE location information to meet the agreement from offline 108? Please specify the reasons or comments if any.**

Note: Please focus on the expected granularity of the coarse UE location in order to support the CGI constructed by NG-RAN corresponds to a fixed geographical area with a size comparable with a cell for TN including connected mode and initial access.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option 1/2/3/others | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | 1 or 3 | We don’t think we need an exact number for the upper boundary, as TN cell size is a general principle. And in rural cases we have larger cell size than urban cases. |
| Samsung | Others | There is no need for an exact number, because the RF propagation environment and capacity requirements dictate a typical TN cell size (rural, suburban, urban). The operator can define its own layout of virtual/logical cells based on the environment and specific situations (e.g., finer granularity to detect country border crossing and regular size deep inside the country per topology).  |
| ZTE | 1 or 3 | Agree with others that TN cell size is a general principle and no exact number is needed for now. |
| Apple | Option 1  | Option 3 is preferred less but is also ok. The SA2 LS response mentions TN granularity and it is ok to just stick with it. There is no need to go below that as there are no known issues. With proper planning, even country border issues can be solved using TN like granularity.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 2: Do you think there is a need of an LS to other groups (e.g. SA3/SA3LI) on the expected granularity of the coarse UE location information? Please specify the reasons or comments if any.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/ No | Comments |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | TN cell size is already clear. We can consider radius 10km as an working assumption if needed. |
| Samsung | No | For Proposal 2, we have a minor edit. “The gNB finalizes CGI mapping by retrieving the UE’s coarse or GNSS-based location info directly from UE” [Note: The UE may determine the ID of the virtual/logical cell or the gNB determines the ID of the virtual/logical cell based on the Ue-reported GNSS-based location.] |
| ZTE | No  | We discuss the candidate solutions in RAN2 first. If we conclude on a solution which requires a exact number of the granularity to design the ASN.1, a LS can be sent to other WGs for confirmation.For now, no need to send LS. |
| Apple | No  | It is already clear from the earlier reponse that TN like granularity is needed. We already have various proposals in RAN2 to obtain that level of granularity. So we can discuss in RAN2 and then go back to other groups as needed. Also the other groups can come back to us if they find TN like granularity is not sufficient.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

# 4 Conclusion

This section summarizes the discussion and reports the following proposals:

*Proposals for easy agreements:*

**Proposal 1:**

*Proposals for further discussion:*

**Proposal 2:**
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