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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT113-e][602][POS] LTE Rel-15 positioning CRs (CATT)

      Scope: Discuss and conclude on R2-2100391/R2-2100392/R2-2100393, R2-2100394/R2-2100395/R2-2100396, and R2-2101819/R2-2101818

      Intended outcome: Agreed CRs

      Deadline:  Monday 2021-02-01 1200 UTC

In this offline discussion, we will discuss and conlcde based on the prescribed scope related with LTE Rel-15 positioning stage2 and stage 3 CRs. The tdocs under this discussion are:

R2-2100391 Corrections on the descriptions of RequestLocationInformation message in TS36.305 CATT CR Rel-14 36.305 14.3.0 0094 - F UTRA\_LTE\_iPos\_enh2-Core

R2-2100392 Corrections on the descriptions of RequestLocationInformation message in TS36.305 CATT CR Rel-15 36.305 15.5.0 0095 - A UTRA\_LTE\_iPos\_enh2-Core

R2-2100393 Corrections on the descriptions of RequestLocationInformation message in TS36.305 CATT CR Rel-16 36.305 16.2.0 0096 - A UTRA\_LTE\_iPos\_enh2-Core

R2-2100394 Corrections on the indication for the not provided assistance data and location information in TS36.305 CATTCR Rel-14 36.305 14.3.0 0097 - F UTRA\_LTE\_iPos\_enh2-Core

R2-2100395 Corrections on the indication for the not provided assistance data and location information in TS36.305 CATT CR Rel-15 36.305 15.5.0 0098 - A UTRA\_LTE\_iPos\_enh2-Core

R2-2100396 Corrections on the indication for the not provided assistance data and location information in TS36.305 CATT CR Rel-16 36.305 16.2.0 0099 - A UTRA\_LTE\_iPos\_enh2-Core

R2-2101818 Correction to the basic production for positioning AD broadcast-R16 Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 37.355 16.3.0 0289 - A LCS\_LTE\_acc\_enh-Core

R2-2101819 Correction to the basic production for positioning AD broadcast-R15 Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-15 37.355 15.1.0 0290 - F LCS\_LTE\_acc\_enh-Core

# 2 Discussion

To make it easier to find the correct contact delegate in each company for potential follow-up questions, the rapporteur encourages the delegates who provide their contact information in this table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Delegate contact |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intel | Yi.guo@intel.com |
| Huawei, Hisilicon | yinghaoguo@huawei.com |
| CATT | lijianxiang@datangmobile.cn |
| Ericsson | Ritesh.shreevastav@ericsson.com |
| Qualcomm | sfischer@qti.qualcomm.com |
| Nokia | mani.thyagarajan@nokia.com |
| vivo | yuanyuanwang@vivo.com |

Companies are requested to add their comments for each of the treated CRs of this email discussion in the boxes below.

## 2.1 Corrections on what can be requested within *RequestLocationInforamtion*

2.1.1 Positioning instructions supported within RequestLocationInformation

According to the LPP *RequestLocationInformation* message in TS36.355 [9], positioning instructions are not included in WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Barometric Pressure Sensor positioning methods. However, the current specification of stage 2 specifies that Standalone is included in positioning mode within the positioning instructions for WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Barometric Pressure Sensor positioning methods, which is conflict with TS36.355 [9]. Thus, the CRs of [1], [2] and [3] propose to delete the descriptions of positioning instructions from what can be requested within the location information transfer procedure for positioning methods of WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Barometric Pressure Sensor.

**Proposal 1: RAN2 to discuss to delete the positioning instructions from what can be requested within the location information transfer procedure for WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Sensor-based positioning method.**

Based on the above contributions, the following correction in clause 8.6.3.1 of TS36.305 is shown below high light in yellow. Other corrections in clause 8.7.3.1.1, 8.8.3.1.1, 8.9.3.1.1, 8.10.3.1[1] [2][3]are similar with it, which are not listed here.

============================CHANGE BEGINS===================================

8.6.3.1 E-SMLC initiated Location Information Transfer Procedure

Figure 8.6.3.1-1 shows the Location Information Transfer operations when the procedure is initiated by the E-SMLC.

****

**Figure 8.6.3.1-1: E-SMLC-initiated Location Information Transfer Procedure**

(1) The E-SMLC sends a LPP Request Location Information message to the UE for invocation of barometric pressure sensor positioning. This request includes an indication of the positioning mode (UE-assisted, UE-based), specific requested UE measurements if any, and quality of service parameters (accuracy, response time).

(2) The UE performs the requested measurements and possibly calculates its own position. The UE sends an LPP Provide Location Information message to the E-SMLC before the Response Time provided in step (1) elapsed. If the UE is unable to perform the requested measurements, or if the Response Time provided in step 1 elapsed before any of the requested measurements have been obtained, the UE returns any information that can be provided in an LPP message of type Provide Location Information which includes a cause indication for the not provided location information.

===============================CHAGNE ENDS==================================

**Q1: Please provide your views on proposal 1 of deleting the positioning instructions from what can be requested within the location information transfer procedure for WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Sensor-based positioning method.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comments** |
| Intel |  | In stage 3 postioning mode is reflected based on “locationInformationType” in CommonIEsRequestLocationInformation.Stage 2 used “positioning instructions”, it does not mean the fields “gnss-PositioningInstructions”. Therefore nothing wrong? |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Disagree | Same view as Intel. Same comment as we made for NR R15 changes organized by QC |
| CATT | Agree | To Intel and Huawei:  Within *CommonIEsRequestLocationInformation* of the *RequestLocationInformation* message, there is an indication of the requested information type, i.e., measurement information only, location estimate only, or location estimate and measurement information both supported, which may imply the positioning method, i.e., measurement information only corresponding to the UE-assisted positioning mode, while location estimate only as well as location estimate and measurement information both supported imply the UE-based positioning method.  However, there is not any indication of the standalone positioning method within *RequestLocationInformation* message. |
| Ericsson | Disagree | To CATT: standalone is only indicated in capabilities by IE  “PositioningModes and in message *RequestLocationInformation* it can be implicit; i.e UE based without providing AD.  Further These are legacy text and fairly stable since early releasses we should not change it anyway now. |
| Qualcomm | Disagree | This is general Stage 2 description and "Positioning Instructions" include common and method specific instructions. |
| Nokia | Partially agree | We think use of the term “positioning instructions” in stage 2 is just a figurative text used at a high level. It is not meant to translate to the field called positioning instructions in stage 3. So, for this part of the changes in the CR, the existing text is OK. Not OK to make the changes relating to positioning instructions.  On the deletion of standalone as a signalled mode, we agree that UE use of “standalone” mode is not instructed by the server because standalone operation is left to UE implementation without any network assistance. So, deleting “standalone” inside the parenthesis next to positioning mode is OK (as it is not signalled). However, this is not that essential to correct in earlier releases. May be we can just make this change to the latest version of the specification. |
| vivo | Disagree | locationInformationType doesn’t mean positioning mode. There’s nothing related to standalone need modify. |
| CATT |  | To Ericsson:  As commented byNokia, UE use of standalone mode is not instructed by the server because standalone operation is left to UE implementation without any network assistance. Thus, it is better to delete “standalone” mode inside the parenthesis next to the positioning mode.  To vivo:  According to vivo’s comment, if *locationInformationType* doesn’t mean positioning mode, then there is not any indicaton of the positioning mode within RequestLocationInformation message. Thus, we should delete all the refered positioning mode (UE-assisted, UE-based, standalone) from the current specification.  Besides, we think LocationInformationType can implicitly indicate the positioning mode, i.e., measurement information only corresponding to the UE-assisted positioning mode, while location estimate only as well as location estimate and measurement information both supported imply the UE-based positioning method. |

The CRs of [1], [2] and [3] also propose to remove the positioning mode from the description of the positioning instructions within the location information transfer procedure of A-GNSS. They pointed out that according to TS36.355 [9], although there is a positioning instruction for A-GNSS positioning method within the *A-GNSS-RequestLocationInformation* in *RequestLocationInformation* message, there is not any indication of the positioning mode for A-GNSS within the *GNSS-PositioningInstructions* of *A-GNSS-RequestLocationInformation* in *RequestLocationInformation* message. However, the current specification specifies that there is positioning mode within the positioning instructions of A-GNSS, which is conflict with TS36.355 [9].

-- ASN1START

GNSS-PositioningInstructions ::= SEQUENCE {

gnss-Methods GNSS-ID-Bitmap,

fineTimeAssistanceMeasReq BOOLEAN,

adrMeasReq BOOLEAN,

multiFreqMeasReq BOOLEAN,

assistanceAvailability BOOLEAN,

...,

**Proposal 2: RAN2 to discuss to remove the positioning mode from the description of the positioning instructions within the location information transfer procedure of A-GNSS.**

Based on the above contributions, the following correction has been proposed high light in yellow.

============================CHANGE BEGINS===================================

8.1.3.3.1 E-SMLC initiated Location Information Transfer Procedure

Figure 8.1.3.3.1-1 shows the Location Information Transfer operations for the network-assisted GNSS method when the procedure is initiated by the E-SMLC.

****

**Figure 8.1.3.3.1-1: E-SMLC-initiated Location Information Transfer Procedure**

(1)The E-SMLC sends a LPP Request Location Information message to the UE for invocation of A-GNSS positioning. This request includes an indication of the positioning mode (UE-based, UE-assisted) and positioning instructions such as the positioning methods (GPS, Galileo, Glonass, BDS, etc. and possibly non-GNSS methods, such as downlink positioning or E-CID), specific UE measurements requested if any, such as fine time assistance measurements, velocity, carrier phase, multi-frequency measurements, and quality of service parameters (accuracy, response time).

(2) The UE performs the requested measurements and possibly calculates its own location. The UE sends an LPP Provide Location Information message to the E-SMLC before the Response Time provided in step (1) elapsed. If the UE is unable to perform the requested measurements, or if the Response Time provided in step 1 elapsed before any of the requested measurements have been obtained, the UE returns any information that can be provided in an LPP message of type Provide Location Information which includes a cause indication for the not provided location information.

===============================CHAGNE ENDS==================================

**Q2: Please provide your views on proposal 2 of removing the positioning mode from the description of the positioning instructions within the location information transfer procedure of A-GNSS.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comments** |
| Intel |  | In stage 3 postioning mode is reflected based on “locationInformationType” in CommonIEsRequestLocationInformation.Stage 2 used “positioning instructions”, it does not mean the fields “gnss-PositioningInstructions”. Therefore nothing wrong? |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Disagree | Same as above |
| CATT | Agree | To Intel and Huawei:  Within *CommonIEsRequestLocationInformation* of the *RequestLocationInformation* message, there is an indication of the requested information type, i.e., measurement information only, location estimate only, or location estimate and measurement information both supported, which may imply the positioning method, i.e., measurement information only corresponding to the UE-assisted positioning mode, while location estimate only as well as location estimate and measurement information both supported imply the UE-based positioning method.  However, there is not any indication of the standalone positioning method within *RequestLocationInformation* message. |
| Ericsson | Disagree | To CATT: standalone is only indicated in capabilities by IE  “PositioningModes and in message *RequestLocationInformation* it can be implicit; i.e UE based without providing AD.  Further These are legacy text and fairly stable since early releasses we should not change it anyway now. |
| Qualcomm | Disagree | Same as above. |
| Nokia |  | See our comment on Q1. |
| vivo | Disagree | Same with Q1. |
|  |  |  |

Summary of Q1 and Q2:

6/7 compaines did not agree with deleting/removing the positioning mode from the description of the positioning instructions within the location information transfer procedure, for the reason that the term “positioning instructions” in stage 2 is just a figurative text used at a high level, and it is not meant to translate to the field called positioning instructions in stage 3.

Since most of companies do not agree with the changes of Q1 and Q2, rapporteur thinks it is better not to pursue proposal 1 and proposal 2.

2.1.2 Positioning mode indicated within *RequestLocationInformation*

The CRs of [1], [2] and [3] propose to clarify what positioning mode can be indicated within the *RequestLocationInformation* message for A–GNSS, WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Sensor-based positioning method. Within *CommonIEsRequestLocationInformation* of the *RequestLocationInformation* message as specified in TS36.355 [9], there is an indication of the requested information type, i.e., measurement information only, location estimate only, or location estimate and measurement information both supported, which may imply the positioning method, i.e., measurement information only corresponding to the UE-assisted positioning mode, while location estimate only as well as location estimate and measurement information both supported imply the UE-based positioning method. However, there is not any indication of the standalone positioning method within *RequestLocationInformation* message.

**Proposal 3: RAN2 to discuss to add a clarification of what positioning mode can be indicated within the *RequestLocationInformation* message for A-GNSS, WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Sensor-based positioning method.**

Based on the above contributions, the following correction in clause 8.6.3.1 of TS36.305 is shown below high light in yellow. Other corrections in clause 8.7.3.1.1, 8.8.3.1.1, 8.9.3.1.1, 8.10.3.1[1][2][3] are similar with it, which are not listed here.

============================CHANGE BEGINS===================================

8.6.3.1 E-SMLC initiated Location Information Transfer Procedure

Figure 8.6.3.1-1 shows the Location Information Transfer operations when the procedure is initiated by the E-SMLC.

****

**Figure 8.6.3.1-1: E-SMLC-initiated Location Information Transfer Procedure**

(1) The E-SMLC sends a LPP Request Location Information message to the UE for invocation of barometric pressure sensor positioning. This request includes an indication of the positioning mode (UE-assisted, UE-based), specific requested UE measurements if any, and quality of service parameters (accuracy, response time).

(2) The UE performs the requested measurements and possibly calculates its own position. The UE sends an LPP Provide Location Information message to the E-SMLC before the Response Time provided in step (1) elapsed. If the UE is unable to perform the requested measurements, or if the Response Time provided in step 1 elapsed before any of the requested measurements have been obtained, the UE returns any information that can be provided in an LPP message of type Provide Location Information which includes a cause indication for the not provided location information.

===============================CHAGNE ENDS==================================

**Q3: Please provide your views on proposal 3 of adding a clarification of what positioning mode can be indicated within the *RequestLocationInformation* message for A-GNSS, WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Sensor-based positioning method.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comments** |
| CATT | Agree | Within *CommonIEsRequestLocationInformation* of the *RequestLocationInformation* message, there is an indication of the requested information type, i.e., measurement information only, location estimate only, or location estimate and measurement information both supported, which may imply the positioning method, i.e., measurement information only corresponding to the UE-assisted positioning mode, while location estimate only as well as location estimate and measurement information both supported imply the UE-based positioning method. However, there is not any indication of the standalone positioning method within *RequestLocationInformation* message. |
| Ericsson | Disagree | To CATT: standalone is only indicated in capabilities by IE  “PositioningModes and in *RequestLocationInformation* it can be implicit; i.e UE based without providing AD.  Further These are legacy text and fairly stable since early releasses we should not change it anyway now. |
| Qualcomm | Disagree | Same as above. |
| Nokia |  | See our comment on Q1. |
| vivo | Disagree | Same with Q1. |
|  |  |  |

Summary of Q3:

2/5 compaines agreed with adding a clarification of what positioning mode can be indicated within the *RequestLocationInformation* message for A-GNSS, WLAN, Bluethooth, TBS and Sensor-based positioning method. They think UE use of “standalone” mode is not instructed by the server, because standalone operation is left to UE implementation without any network assistance.

3/5 compaines did not agree with Q3. According to the comment of QC and Ericsson (opponent), standalone is implicitly indicated with a *RequestLocationInformation* message without any assistance data, e.g., maybe even without any method specific rquests, just the *commonIEsRequestLocation* with *locationEstimateRequired* or *locationMeasurementsRequired*.

Since most of companies do not agree with the changes of Q3, rapporteur thinks it is better not to pursue proposal 3.

## 2.2 Standalone mode supported

According to CR [1], CR [2] and CR [3], A-GNSS positoing method also support standalone mode. However, there lacks of A-GNSS positioning methods in the general descriptions of positioning methods supported in standlone mode in clause 4.3. Thus they propose to add the A-GNSS positioning method in the descriptions of the standalone mode supported positioning method.

**Proposal 4: RAN2 to discuss to add A-GNSS positioning method in the general descriptions of positioning methods supported in standlone mode in clause 4.3 of TS36.305.**

Based on the above contributions, the following correction has been proposed high light in yellow.

============================CHANGE BEGINS===================================

4.3 Standard UE Positioning Methods

The standard positioning methods supported for E-UTRAN access are:

- network-assisted GNSS methods;

- downlink positioning;

- enhanced cell ID method;

- uplink positioning;

- barometric pressure sensor method;

- WLAN method;

- Bluetooth method;

- Terrestrial Beacon System method.

Hybrid positioning using multiple methods from the list of positioning methods above is also supported.

Standalone mode (e.g. autonomous, without network assistance) using one or more methods from the list of positioning methods above is also supported.

These positioning methods may be supported in UE-based, UE-assisted/E-SMLC-based, eNB-assisted, and LMU-assisted/E-SMLC-based versions. Table 4.3-1 indicates which of these versions are supported in this version of the specification for the standardised positioning methods.

**Table 4.3-1: Supported versions of UE positioning methods**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Method** | **UE-based** | **UE-assisted, E-SMLC-based** | **eNB- assisted** | **LMU-assisted/ E-SMLC-based** | **SUPL** |
| A-GNSS | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes  (UE-based and UE-assisted) |
| Downlink Note1 | No | Yes | No | No | Yes (UE-assisted) |
| E-CID | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes (UE-assisted) |
| Uplink | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| Barometric | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |
| WLAN | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Bluetooth | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| TBS Note 2 | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes (MBS) |
| NOTE 1: This includes TBS positioning based on PRS signals.  NOTE 2: In this version of the specification only for TBS positioning based on MBS signals. | | | | | |

A-GNSS, Barometric pressure sensor, WLAN, Bluetooth, and TBS positioning methods based on MBS signals are also supported in standalone mode, as described in the corresponding sections.

===============================CHAGNE ENDS==================================

**Q4: Please provide your views on proposal 4 of adding A-GNSS positioning method in the general descriptions of positioning methods supported in standlone mode in clause 4.3 of TS36.305.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comments** |
| Intel |  | Not essential. Nothing broken. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | Not essential |
| CATT | Agree | We support to fix obvious issues of stage2 not introducing more and more legacy issues for the next release to improve the quality of stage 2 protocol.  Since A-GNSS method also support in standalone, thus should be added in the general descriptions of positioning methods supported in standlone mode in clause 4.3 of TS36.305. otherwise, some confusions may be introduced and it is confilct with stage 3. |
| Ericsson | Disagree | We can correct in Rel-16. Here it is not essential. |
| Qualcomm | Disagree | Defining A-GNSS as standalone adds more confusion (this was discussed in Rel-14, and A-GNSS is not listed intentionally). |
| Nokia | Disagree | Not essential. Historically, the description about standalone was introduced when BT and WLAN positioning was first discussed but we never describe standalone aspects in detail because it is up to UE implementation and it is handled without network assistance. As Qualcomm states it creates more confusion because standalone GNSS is not Assisted-GNSS. |
| vivo | Disagree | Agree with Qualcomm. |

Summary of Q4:

5/7 companies did not agree with adding A-GNSS positioning method in the general descriptions of positioning methods supported in standlone mode in clause 4.3 of TS36.305. As QC pointed out, they think defining A-GNSS as standalone adds more confusion (this was discussed in Rel-14, and A-GNSS is not listed intentionally).

Since most of companies do not agree with the changes of Q1 and Q2, rapporteur thinks it is better not to pursue proposal 4.

## 2.3 Supported handling when some of the requested assistance or location information not supported

According to the LPP error detection in the error handling procedures as specificed in TS36.355 [9]:

|  |
| --- |
| 1> if the message type is an LPP *RequestAssistanceData* or *RequestLocationInformation* and some or all of the requested information is not supported:  2> return any information that can be provided in a normal response, which includes indications on other information that is not supported. |

It specifies that if some or all of the requested information is not supported for the assistance data or location information transfer procedure, E-SMLC or UE will reture any information that can be provided in an LPP message, which includes indications on the information that is not provided.

The CR [4], CR [5] and CR [6] point out that as for description of the assistance data transfer procedure for A-GNSS, OTDOA, Sensor-based, WLAN and TBS positioning method in TS36.305 [10], it only specifies the case that when all of the requested assistance data is not supported, E-SMLC will return any information that can be provided in an LPP message, which includes indications on the assistance data that is not provided. As for the case that some of the requested assistance data is not provided, what E-SMLC should to do is unclear. Thus, they propose to add a clarification about how to handle the case that only some of the requested assistance information is not supported.

**Proposal 5: RAN2 to discuss to add a clarification about how to handle the case that only some of the requested assistance information is not supported.**

Based on the above contributions, the following correction in clause 8.1.3.2.2 of TS36.305 is shown below high light in yellow. Other corrections in clause 8.2.3.2.1.2, 8.6.3.3.2, 8.7.3.2.1, 8.9.3.2.2[4] [5][6] are similar with it, which are not listed here.

============================CHANGE BEGINS===================================

8.1.3.2.2 UE initiated Assistance Data Transfer

Figure 8.1.3.2.2-1 shows the Assistance Data Transfer operations for the network-assisted GNSS method when the procedure is initiated by the UE.

****

**Figure 8.1.3.2.2-1: UE-initiated Assistance Data Transfer Procedure**

(1) The UE determines that certain A-GNSS assistance data are desired (e.g., in case the UE requires its own location with autonomous self location or as part of a positioning procedure when the E-SMLC provided assistance data are not sufficient for the UE to fulfill the request) and sends a LPP Request Assistance Data message to the E-SMLC. This request includes an indication of which specific A-GNSS assistance data are requested for each GNSS, possibly together with additional information (e.g., for which GNSS signal types, or satellites, or times the assistance is requested, etc.). Additional information concerning the UE's approximate location and serving and neighbour cells may also be provided in the Request Assistance Data message and/or in an accompanying Provide Location Information message to help the E-SMLC provide appropriate assistance data. This additional data may include the UE's last known location if available, the cell IDs of the UE serving eNodeB and possibly neighbour eNodeBs, as well as E-CID measurements.

(2) The E-SMLC provides the requested assistance data in a LPP Provide Assistance Data message, if available at the E-SMLC. The entire set of assistance data may be delivered in one or several LPP messages, e.g., one message per GNSS. In this case, this step may be repeated by the E-SMLC several times. If any of the UE requested assistance data in step (1) are not provided in step 2, the UE shall assume that the requested assistance data are not supported, or currently not available at the E-SMLC. If some or none of the UE requested assistance data in step (1) can be provided by the E-SMLC, return any information that can be provided in an LPP message of type Provide Assistance Data which includes a cause indication for the not provided assistance data.

===============================CHAGNE ENDS==================================

**Q5: Please provide your views on proposal 5 of adding a clarification about how to handle the case that only some of the requested assistance information is not supported.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comments** |
| Intel |  | The only thing is not covered in stage 2 is  “If any of the UE requested assistance data in step (1) are not provided in step 2, “the LMF also needs to indicate the error cause. But no essential since anyway the UE will be implemented based on stage 3. |
| Huawei, HiSIlicon |  | Not essential |
| CATT | Agree | To Intel and Huawei:  We are fine with the comment from Intel, which is “if any of the UE requested assistance data in step (1) are not provided in step 2”.  Besides, as for the essential of the change:  We support to fix obvious issues of stage2 not introducing more and more legacy issues for the next release to improve the quality of stage 2 protocol.  Currently, the server will indicate the error cause only when all of the requested assistance data are not provided, it will lead to the inconsistent between stage 2 and stage 3 specifications. |
| Ericsson | Disagree | These are legacy text and fairly stable since early releasses we should not change it anyway now. |
| Qualcomm | Disagree | This is a general Stage 2 description since Rel-9, which doesn’t look wrong. |
| Nokia | Disagree | Not essential. Stage 2 only covers the case of “None” of the requested information is availabe. However, from stage 3 it is clear how to handle if “Any’ of the requested information is not available. |
| vivo | Disagree | Not essential. |

The CR [4], CR [5] and CR [6] point out that as for description of the location related information transfer procedure for A-GNSS, OTDOA, E-CID, Sensor-based, WLAN, Bluethooth and TBS positioning method in TS36.305 [10], it only specifies the case that when all of the requested location information is not supported, UE will return any information that can be provided in an LPP message, which includes indications on the location related information that is not provided. As for the case that some of the requested location information is not provided, what UE should to do is unclear. Thus, they propose to add a clarification about how to handle the case that only some of the requested location related information is not supported.

**Proposal 6: RAN2 to discuss to add a clarification about how to handle the case that only some of the requested location related information is not supported.**

Based on the above contributions, the following correction in clause 8.1.3.3.1 of TS36.305 is shown below high light in yellow. Other corrections in clause 8.2.3.3.1, 8.3.3.3.1, 8.6.3.1, 8.7.3.1.1, 8.8.3.1.1, 8.9.3.1.1, 8.10.3.1[4] [5] [6] are similar with it, which are not listed here.

============================CHANGE BEGINS===================================

8.1.3.3.1 E-SMLC initiated Location Information Transfer Procedure

Figure 8.1.3.3.1-1 shows the Location Information Transfer operations for the network-assisted GNSS method when the procedure is initiated by the E-SMLC.

****

**Figure 8.1.3.3.1-1: E-SMLC-initiated Location Information Transfer Procedure**

(1)The E-SMLC sends a LPP Request Location Information message to the UE for invocation of A-GNSS positioning. This request includes positioning instructions such as the GNSS mode (UE-assisted, UE-based, UE-based preferred but UE-assisted allowed, UE-assisted preferred, but UE-based allowed, standalone), positioning methods (GPS, Galileo, Glonass, BDS, etc. and possibly non-GNSS methods, such as downlink positioning or E-CID), specific UE measurements requested if any, such as fine time assistance measurements, velocity, carrier phase, multi-frequency measurements, and quality of service parameters (accuracy, response time).

(2) The UE performs the requested measurements and possibly calculates its own location. The UE sends an LPP Provide Location Information message to the E-SMLC before the Response Time provided in step (1) elapsed. If the UE is unable to perform the requested measurements, or if the Response Time provided in step 1 elapsed before all of the requested measurements have been obtained, the UE returns any information that can be provided in an LPP message of type Provide Location Information which includes a cause indication for the not provided location information.

===============================CHAGNE ENDS==================================

**Q6: Please provide your views on proposal 6 of adding a clarification about how to handle the case that only some of the requested location related information is not supported.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comments** |
| Intel |  | Do not see the problem. Current text is “before any of the requested measurements have been obtained”, shall already cover “UE can only provide some of the requested information” |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | Not essential |
| CATT | Agree | To Intel and Huawei:  We support to fix obvious issues of stage2 not introducing more and more legacy issues for the next release to improve the quality of stage 2 protocol.  Since current text “before any of the requested measurements have been obtained” means that the reponse time elapsed while none of the requested measurements have been obtained, which cannot cover “UE can only provide some of the requested information”. If it is not clarified in stage 2, inconsistent between stage 2 and stage 3 specifications will be introduced. |
| Ericsson | Disagree | These are legacy text and fairly stable since early releasses we should not change it anyway now. |
| Qualcomm | Disagree | Same as above |
| Nokia | Disagree | In this instance, we feel the correction is wrong since it is about the response time expiry before all the requested measurements are obtained. The current text is correct. |
| vivo | Disagree | Not essential. |

Summary of Q5 and Q6:

6/7 companies did not agree with adding a clarification about how to handle the case that only some of the requested assistance data or location related information is not supported. Opponents thinks that although stage 2 lacks the description of how to handling the response message when only some of the requested information is provided exist, there is no essential to make a clarification in stage 2, since stage 3 is clear.

1/7 companies agreed since they think it is better to make a clarification in stage 2 not introducing more and more legacy issues for the next release to improve the quality of stage 2 protocol.

Since most of companies do not agree with the changes of Q5 and Q6, rapporteur thinks it is better not to pursue the proposal 5 and proposal 6.

## 2.4 List of parameters for the basic production of broadcast AD

According to CR [7] and CR [8], the list of parameters for the basic production of broadcast AD in LPP spec is not complete, which lacks the A-GNSS, TBS, as well as sensor related assistance data. If some of the parameters are not correctly refered in the basic production, the syntac for ASN.1 will be wrong and the ASN.1 coding may not be successfully generated for broadcast AD. Thus, they propose to complete the list of parameters for the basic production of broadcast AD in LPP spec by add A-GNSS, TBS, as well as sensor related assistance data.

**Proposal 7: RAN2 to discuss to further add A-GNSS, TBS, as well as sensor related assistance data into the list of parameters for the basic production of broadcase AD in LPP spc.**

Based on the above contributions, the following correction has been proposed high light in yellow.

============================CHANGE BEGINS===================================

7.4.1 Basic production

This clause defines the LPP broadcast information elements which are encoded as 'basic production' for system Information broadcast purposes (see TS 36.331 [12], TS 38.331 [35]) .

The 'basic production' is obtained from their ASN.1 definitions by use of Basic Packed Encoding Rules (BASIC-PER), Unaligned Variant, as specified in ITU-T Rec. X.691 [22]. It always contains a multiple of 8 bits.

– LPP-Broadcast-Definitions

This ASN.1 segment is the start of the LPP Broadcast definitions.

-- ASN1START

LPP-Broadcast-Definitions

DEFINITIONS AUTOMATIC TAGS ::=

BEGIN

IMPORTS

OTDOA-ReferenceCellInfo,

OTDOA-NeighbourCellInfoList,

NR-TRP-LocationInfo-r16,

NR-DL-PRS-BeamInfo-r16,

NR-RTD-Info-r16,

GNSS-IonosphericModel,

GNSS-EarthOrientationParameters,

GNSS-RTK-ReferenceStationInfo,

GNSS-RTK-CommonObservationInfo,

GNSS-RTK-AuxiliaryStationData,

GNSS-SSR-CorrectionPoints,

GNSS-TimeModelList,

GNSS-DifferentialCorrections,

GNSS-NavigationModel,

GNSS-RealTimeIntegrity,

GNSS-DataBitAssistance,

GNSS-AcquisitionAssistance,

GNSS-Almanac,

GNSS-UTC-Model,

GNSS-AuxiliaryInformation,

BDS-DifferentialCorrections,

BDS-GridModelParameter,

GNSS-RTK-Observations,

GLO-RTK-BiasInformation,

GNSS-RTK-MAC-CorrectionDifferences,

GNSS-RTK-Residuals,

GNSS-RTK-FKP-Gradients,

GNSS-SSR-OrbitCorrections,

GNSS-SSR-ClockCorrections,

GNSS-SSR-CodeBias,

GNSS-SSR-URA,

GNSS-SSR-PhaseBias,

GNSS-SSR-STEC-Correction,

GNSS-SSR-GriddedCorrection,

NavIC-DifferentialCorrections,

NavIC-GridModelParameter,

Sensor-AssistanceDataList,

TBS-AssistanceDataList

FROM LPP-PDU-Definitions;

-- ASN1STOP

===============================CHAGNE ENDS==================================

**Q7: Please provide your views on proposal 7 of adding A-GNSS, TBS, as well as sensor related assistance data into the list of parameters for the basic production of broadcase AD in LPP spc.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree/Disagree** | **Comments** |
| Intel |  | Why the new IEs need to be IMPORTED? These IEs are not used in the fields under 7.4.2. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Agree | @ Intel  They are used for the generation of assistanceDataElement-r15. |
| Ericsson | Disagree | Agree with Intel comments. This will cause warnings as ununsed IEs have been imported. |
| Qualcomm | Disagree | These IEs are not imported, and therefore, create compiler warnings/errors. The assistanceDataElement-r15 OCTET STRING is created as basic production. Section 6.1 of LPP:  Transfer syntax for LPP IEs is derived from their ASN.1 definitions by use of Basic Packed Encoding Rules (BASIC-PER), Unaligned Variant, as specified in ITU-T Rec. X.691 [22]. The encoded LPP IE always contains a multiple of 8 bits. This applies when a single LPP IE is encoded as the basic production, i.e. for other purposes than encoding the LPP IE within an LPP message.  Currently, LPP IEs are used in RRC for e.g. MDT and for the *LPP-Broadcast-Definitions*. |
| Nokia | Disagree | Our understanding is, for posSibType3-1 alone, a new abstract type of OTDOA-UE-Assisted-r15 was created to package both the reference cell and neighbour cell list in to one IE. For other positioning SIB types the broadcast information in the positioning SIB is the LPP IE itself that is already defined in LPP ASN.1 in 37.355. So, it is not needed to import these other LPP IEs used for broadcast. |

Summary of Q7:

3/5 companies did not agree with adding A-GNSS, TBS, as well as sensor related assistance data into the list of parameters for the basic production of broadcase AD in LPP spc, and 1/5 company have some wondering of the change. Ericsson (opponent) thinks warings may be introduced if imported these unused IEs.

Since most of companies do not agree with the changes of Q7, rapporteur thinks it is better not to pursue the proposal 7.

# 3 Conclusion

Rapporteur would like to thank all companies participating in the email discussion. In summary, based on the discussion the following is proposed:

Not to pursue the changes of R2-2100391/ R2-2100392/ R2-2100393/R2-2100394/ R2-2100395/ R2-210036/ R2-2101818/ R2-2101818.
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